

**Review Form (Research)**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms AJEBA 157336</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PSYCHOLOGICAL BELIEFS AND FINANCIAL WELL-BEING AMONG WORKING ADULTS IN GHANA: THE MEDIATING ROLE OF FINANCIAL BEHAVIOR</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

**PART 1 (Importance of the manuscript)**

	Comments of the Reviewers	Author's Feedback
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The manuscript is apt and timely</b>	

**PART 2.1 (Objective Evaluation)**

	Rating of the Reviewers	Author's Feedback
<b>1. Is the title clear and appropriate for the study?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>4</b>	
<b>2. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>2</b>	
<b>3. Are the keywords appropriate and useful?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>2</b>	
<b>4. Is the background information of the paper sufficient and well organized?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>2</b>	
<b>5. Are the research objectives/hypotheses clearly stated?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>4</b>	
<b>6. Is the literature review relevant and up to date?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>2</b>	
<b>7. Is the research methodology appropriate for the study?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>4</b>	
<b>8. Were ethical issues properly addressed (if applicable)?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>1</b>	
<b>9. Are the results presented clearly?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>4</b>	
<b>10. Are tables and figures clear, relevant, and necessary?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>2</b>	
<b>11. Does the discussion relate findings to existing literature?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>3</b>	
<b>12. Are the conclusions supported by the data?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>4</b>	
<b>13. Are the limitations of the study discussed?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	<b>1</b>	

Review Form (Research)

	Rating of the Reviewers	Author’s Feedback
<b>14. Are the references relevant and sufficient (in number)?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	2	
<b>15. Is the manuscript written in clear and understandable language?</b> Rating Scale: 5 = Excellent 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Poor N/A = Not Applicable	4	

PART 2.2 (Subjective Evaluation)

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  If your answer is NO, please provide a brief, clear suggestion for improvement.	<b>Yes. However, ADULT should be replaced with CLASS</b>	Replacing adult with class give it a different meaning. The paper is not about the group working class but adults who are employed.
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  If your answer is NO, please provide a brief, clear suggestion for improvement.	<b>Abstract need improvement</b>	Improvement done.
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically correct?</b>  If your answer is NO, please provide a brief, clear suggestion for improvement.	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent?</b> (YES or NO)  If your answer is NO, please provide clear suggestion for improvement.	Yes. But need to check there are some duplications	Duplications correted.
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> (YES or NO)  (If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No	Ethical issues addressed.