

Original Research Article

An *in vitro* Assessment of the Genotoxic Potential of In-Shell Nut Ingredients According to OECD Genotoxicity Guidelines 471 and 487

ABSTRACT

Aims: *In vitro* genotoxicity of three shell-comprising nut ingredients, i.e. Almond Solids from Roasted in-shell Almonds (Almond Solids), Almond Liquid Extract from Roasted in-shell Almonds (Almond Liquid Extract) and Peanut Paste from Roasted in-shell Peanuts (Peanut Paste) was assessed.

Study design: Genotoxic safety was evaluated performing two standard genotoxicity tests according to OECD 471 (the bacterial reverse mutation assay) and OECD 487 (the *in vitro* mammalian cell micronucleus test)

Place and Duration of Study: OECD 471 assays were performed in 2025 at Toxi-Coop Zrt., Balatonfüred, Hungary and at Charles River Laboratories, DD's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands. OECD 487 assays were performed in 2025 at Eurofins Munich, Planegg, Germany in 2025.

Methodology: All assays were conducted in accordance with internationally recognized OECD Test Guidelines 471 and 487 and complied with the principles of Good Laboratory Practice (GLP).

Results: The test results showed that neither Almond Solids and Peanut Paste at 1.6-5000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{plate}$ nor Almond Liquid Extract at 52-5000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{plate}$ induce significant bacterial reverse mutations in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 and in *Escherichia coli* strain WP2uvrA, both in presence and absence of a metabolic activation system.

The *in vitro* micronucleus assays using human lymphocytes, performed on Almond Solids at 250-1500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, Almond liquid Extract at 78.15-1250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and Peanut Paste at 50-200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ were all negative for genotoxicity, with no increases in micronucleated cells frequency, neither with nor without metabolic activation.

Conclusion: Under the experimental conditions used, negative results in the genotoxicity assays support the good safety profile of Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract and Peanut Paste. These three shell-comprising nut ingredients can be thus considered as not of genotoxic concern. These studies lay the foundation for future toxicological evaluations of ingredients based on nutshells.

21 *Keywords: almond solids; almond liquid extract; peanut paste; nutshell; mutagenicity;*
22 *genotoxicity; OECD guideline 471; OECD guideline 487.*

23

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**

25

26 Recently there has been an increased interest in the preparation of plant-based alternatives
27 to the animal-based products (meat, dairy, milk ...) to address health-related problems linked
28 to its consumption as well as to contribute to the reduction of the environmental impact that
29 comes with the production of animal-based products. As a result, the food industry faces the
30 dual challenge of developing nutritionally improved products while minimizing environmental
31 impacts along the value chain.

32

33 For that purpose, extracts from culinary nuts such as almonds, peanuts, and hazelnuts are
34 widely used as raw materials to produce such alternative products. However, the nut
35 production is in general highly resource-intensive, though still far more environmentally friendly
36 than meat production. For example, the water footprint of Californian almonds is calculated at
37 10240 L of water per kg of kernels over the period 2004-2015, making them one of the most
38 water-demanding crops (Fulton, 2019; Marvinney, 2021). Peanut cultivation, while less water-
39 intensive due to its nitrogen-fixing properties, still contribute to notable fresh-water use and
40 ecotoxicity in production systems (Deepa, 2022). Hazelnut farming, on the other hand,
41 imposes considerable land, fertilizer, and energy demands, with conventional hazelnut
42 systems having higher global warming potential and eutrophication potentials than organic
43 systems, largely driven by fertilizer use and orchard maintenance (Biagetti, 2023).

44

45 Besides their intensive resource use, nut processing also generates substantial by-product
46 streams, mainly from shelling and hulling operations. Traditionally, the kernel (with or without
47 skin) has been considered the only valuable and edible component, leaving other fractions,
48 such as shells, underutilized. However, this particular by-product represents a promising
49 source of fibers and functional ingredients that could be incorporated into food formulations,
50 contributing to a more circular and sustainable nut industry.

51 RE-NUT AG (Switzerland) developed and patented an innovative process that allow the
52 processing of in-shell nuts (unshelled, containing the outer hard shell): Almond Solids from
53 Roasted in-shell Almonds (Almond Solids), Almond Liquid Extract from Roasted in-shell
54 Almonds (Almond Liquid Extract) (Laux & Hühn, 2022) and Peanut Paste from Roasted in-
55 shell Peanuts (Peanut Paste) (Laux & Hühn, 2023). This patent-protected technology is now
56 ready to be licensed by nut processors and food companies. Such food ingredients fall under
57 the EU Novel Food Regulation (EU) 2015/2283.

58

59 Given that nut shells lack a documented history of dietary consumption and considering the
60 limited toxicological data available for shell-comprising ingredients, it is necessary to evaluate
61 their safety through established genotoxicity and other testing. Almond and peanut shells
62 consist largely of a lignocellulosic structure and are known to contain phenolic constituents,
63 including flavonoids and condensed tannins like proanthocyanidins (Li, 2018; Queirós, 2020;
64 Tomé, 2023). Polyphenols and tannins are redox-active compounds that can exhibit both
65 antioxidant and pro-oxidant behavior depending on their chemical structure, concentration,
66 and environmental conditions. Under certain circumstances, including metal-catalyzed
67 oxidation, these compounds may form electrophilic quinones and contribute to the generation
68 of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Quinones and ROS have been shown in experimental
69 systems to interact with DNA, leading to strand breaks, oxidative DNA damage, or adduct
70 formation. In addition, lignin-rich plant materials may contain phenolic degradation products
71 that warrant evaluation from a genotoxicity perspective (Bolton, 2016; Chedea, 2012; Stoeva,
72 2025).

73 From a regulatory standpoint, incorporating shell-comprising nut into food ingredients differs
74 from traditional nut consumption and introduces compositional elements with potential
75 biological activity. As such, and consistent with internationally accepted safety-assessment
76 frameworks, the evaluation of genotoxic potential is a critical component of the safety
77 assessment of in-shell nut derived food ingredients prior to market introduction. Conducting
78 standard *in vitro* genotoxicity assays provides assurance that the combined shell, skin, and
79 kernel matrix does not pose a genotoxic hazard under the intended conditions of use, thereby
80 supporting the overall safety determination for these novel food ingredients.

81
82 Accordingly, the genotoxic potential of these RE-NUT ingredients was assessed in this
83 manuscript in compliance with OECD Test Guidelines 471 (Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test)
84 and 487 (*in vitro* Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test). These two *in vitro* tests are aligned with
85 “Tier 1” of the European Food Safety Authority’s approach to genotoxicity testing for novel
86 food ingredients (EFSA, 2012).

87
88 These genotoxicity studies were conducted as part of a comprehensive safety assessment
89 package supporting the use of shell-comprising nut ingredients in food applications, thereby
90 establishing a scientific basis for their inclusion in sustainable, plant-based product
91 formulations.

92

93

94

95 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

96

97

98 **2.1 Test materials**

99

100 The ingredients evaluated in this study were manufactured in Germany by three different
101 partners: Almond Solids from Roasted in-shell Almonds (Almond Solids) by Jäckering
102 Research GmbH, Almond Liquid Extract from Roasted in-shell Almonds (Almond Liquid
103 Extract) by SIG Combibloc Systems GmbH and Peanut Paste from Roasted in-shell Peanuts
104 (Peanut Paste) by ProXES Technology GmbH.

105

106 The production was carried out on behalf of RE-NUT and supervised by RE-NUT to ensure
107 full compliance with the patents held by RE-NUT (Laux, 2022; Laux,2023). The ingredients
108 generated and used for the study are RE-NUT property.

109 Dietary fibers, protein, ash, and fatty acids have been identified as major constituents by
110 compositional analyses along with minor constituents including minerals, polyphenols and
111 tannins. All three ingredients are soluble in water. The detailed analyses of the ingredients
112 Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract and Peanut Paste are shown in Table1.

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

Table 1. Specifications of Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract and Peanut Paste and analytical methods

Compositional Parameters	Almond Solids		Almond Liquid Extract		Peanut Paste	
	Specification	Method	Specification	Method	Specification	Method
Dietary fiber (%)	60-78	VIM (ASU L00.00-18, 1997-01, Ber. 2017-10)	<4	VIM (ASU L00.00-18, 1997-01, Ber. 2017-10)	14 to 35	AOAC 2011.25-M
Fat (%)	10-20	VIM	<12	VIM	40 to 70	VIM; Gravimetry [Weibull-Stoldt]
Protein (%)	≤13	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-7 [Stand 2014-08])	<4	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-7 [Stand 2014-08])	<15	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-7 [Stand 2014-08])
Water (%)	≤8	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-3 [Stand 2014-08])	80-99	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-3 [Stand: 2014-08])	<2.0	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-3 [Stand 2014-08])
Ash (%)	≤4	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-4 [Stand 2017-10])	<1	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-4 [Stand 2017-10])	<3.0	VIM (§64 LFGB L 06.00-4 [Stand 2017-10])
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic (mg/kg)	<0.1	} DIN EN 15763:2010 (2010-04), modified	<0.1	} DIN EN 15763:2010 (2010-04), modified	<0.1	} DIN EN 15763:2010 (2010-04), Modified
Lead (mg/kg)	<0.1		<0.1		<0.01	
Cadmium (mg/kg)	<0.05		<0.05		<0.05	
Mercury (mg/kg)	<0.01		<0.01		<0.01	
Microbiological Parameters						
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g)	<10,000	ISO 4833-1	<10,000	ISO 4833-1	<10,000	ISO 4833-1
Yeasts (CFU/g)	<10	ISO 21527-1-modified	<100	ISO 21527-1-modified	<100	ISO 21527-1, Modified
Molds (CFU/g)	<100	ISO 21527-1-modified	<100	ISO 21527-1-modified	<100	ISO 21527-1, Modified
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (CFU/g)	<10	ISO 16649-2-modified	<10	ISO 16649-2-modified	<10	ISO 16649-2, Modified
<i>Salmonella</i> (CFU/25 g)	Negative	AFNOR EGS 38/01-03/15	Negative	AFNOR EGS 38/01-03/15	Negative	AFNOR EGS 38/01-03/15
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> (CFU/25 g)	Negative	AFNOR EGS 38/05-03/17	Negative	AFNOR EGS 38/05-03/17	Negative	AFNOR EGS 38/05-03/17
Aflatoxins (µg/kg)						
Aflatoxin B1	<0.1	} DIN EN 14123 (2008-03), modified	<0.1	} DIN EN 14123 (2008-03), modified	<0.1	} DIN EN 14123 (2008-03), modified
Aflatoxin B2	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Aflatoxin G1	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Aflatoxin G2	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

AOAC = Association of Official Analytical Collaboration; AFNOR = Association Française de Normalisation; CFU = colony-forming units; DIN = Deutsches Institut für Normung (German Institute for Standardization); ISO = International Organization for Standardization; LFGB = Lebensmittel-, Bedarfsgegenstände- und Futtermittelgesetzbuch (the German Food and Feed Code); VIM = Validated Internal Method

129 **2.2 Assays**

130

131 The *in vitro* genotoxicity assays reported below were conducted under Good Laboratory
132 Practice and in compliance with the internationally accepted guidelines. Almond Solids and
133 Peanut Paste studies (OECD 471) were performed at Toxi- Coop Zrt., Arácsi út 97 and Ady
134 E. utca 12, 8230 Balatonfüred, Hungary. The Almond Liquid Extract study (OECD 471) was
135 performed at Charles River Laboratories, Hambakenwetering 7, 5231 DD 's-Hertogenbosch,
136 The Netherlands. All OECD 487 studies, for Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract and Peanut
137 Paste, were performed at Eurofins Medical Device Testing Munich GmbH, Robert-Koch-
138 Strasse 3a, 82152 Planegg, Germany. All studies were notified to EFSA to support novel food
139 application as per Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, Article 32b.

140 **2.2.1 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test (OECD TG 471)** The bacterial reverse mutation
141 assays were conducted, employing a range of *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli*
142 strains to evaluate potential mutagenic effects according to the OECD Test Guideline No 471,
143 adopted 21st July 1997/ corrected 26th June 2020 (OECD, 2020); the ICH Guideline S2 (R1):
144 “Genotoxicity testing and data interpretation for pharmaceuticals intended for human use”,
145 dated November 2011 and EPA Health Effects Test Guidelines, OPTTS 870.5100, EPA 712-
146 C-98-247, August 1998.

147 The test concentrations of the ingredients for the bacterial reverse mutation test were based
148 on solubility tests plus concentration range finding tests. No inhibitory effect of the three test
149 ingredients was seen in the concentration range finding tests. For Almond Solids, triplicate
150 concentrations of 5000, 1600, 500, 160, 50, 16, 5 and 1.6 µg/plate were freshly prepared in
151 ultrapure water (ASTM Type 1). For Peanut Paste, the concentrations were from 5000 to 5
152 µg/plate and they were prepared using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). To prepare triplicates of
153 Almond Liquid Extract, concentrations of 5000, 1600, 512,164 and 52 µg/plate and 5000,
154 2800, 1568, 878 and 492 µg/plate were prepared in Milli-Q water in the initial and confirmatory
155 mutation tests. Known mutagens (4-Nitro-o-phenylenediamine (NDP), Sodium azide (SAZ),
156 2-Aminoanthracene (2AA), Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), 9-Aminoacridine (9AA), 4-
157 nitroquinoline N-oxide (4-NQO), 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) were included in the assays as positive
158 controls, specifically according to the different bacterial strains. All samples were tested with
159 *S. typhimurium* tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537, and with *E. coli* tester strain
160 WP2 uvrA, both in the absence and presence of S9 liver microsomal fraction prepared from
161 phenobarbital/5,6-benzoflavone-induced rats according to Matsushima (Matsushima, 1976).
162 The colony numbers on the untreated, vehicle control, positive control and the test item treated
163 plates were counted manually by unaided eye and when necessary, with a microscope at 40X
164 magnification.

165 For each of the Almond Solids and Peanut Paste studies (Toxi-Coop), the initial experiment
166 was conducted according to the standard plate incorporation method, with confirmatory
167 assays conducted according to the pre-incubation method. For Almond Liquid Extract (Charles
168 River), the initial experiment was conducted using the plate incorporation method, including a
169 “treat and wash” procedure to limit potential interference from the possible presence of amino
170 acids such as histidine and tryptophan in the test item. The confirmatory experiment used the
171 plate incorporation method as well, including a “treat and wash” phase, but included a higher
172 percent (10% vs 5%) v/v S9 mix. The two different confirmatory assays (the specifics of which
173 vary by testing laboratory) are both in accordance with OECD Test Guideline 471.

174

175 The “Mutation Rate” was calculated by dividing the mean number of the revertants at the test
176 item (or control) treatments by the mean number of revertants of the corresponding vehicle

177 control. The biological relevance of the resulting data was assessed according to the criterion
178 of the OECD guideline (OECD 471).

179 **2.2.2 In vitro Micronucleus Test (OECD TG 487)** The mammalian cell micronucleus test *in*
180 *vitro* was performed using human peripheral blood lymphocytes from healthy donors to assess
181 chromosomal damage according to the OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals Section 4,
182 No 487 – “*In Vitro* Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test, adopted 29 July 2016, corrected 4 July
183 2023” (OECD, 2023). For these assays, concentrations of in-shell nut ingredients were based
184 on the results of preliminary dose selection tests. The concentration of 5000 µg/mL is the
185 highest test concentration to be used in this test system following the recommendation of the
186 corresponding OECD testing guideline 487. As the ingredients demonstrate limited solubility
187 and precipitates at concentrations below 5,000 µg/mL, the selection of the concentrations for
188 evaluation was based on precipitation in each case. The highest concentration selected for
189 micronucleus analysis was the lowest concentration at which precipitation occurs, provided
190 that the precipitate does not interfere with cell scoring. The two immediately lower
191 concentrations that did not result in precipitation were also evaluated.

192
193 For Almond Solids duplicate concentrations of 250, 500, 1000 µg/mL for Almond Liquid
194 Extract, duplicate concentrations of 312.5, 625 and 1250 µg/mL, and for Peanut Paste
195 duplicate concentrations of 50, 100 and 200 µg/mL were incubated for 4 hours without and
196 with metabolic activation with 48 h precultured lymphocytes. The metabolic activation was
197 provided by the addition of phenobarbital/benzoflavone-induced Sprague Dawley rat liver S9
198 prepared with appropriate cofactors at a final concentration of 5% S9 in cultures tested with
199 metabolic activation. Cells were incubated and harvested after 40 hours.

200
201 Similarly, in the long-term treatment assay 250, 500 and 1500 µg/mL of Almond Solids, 78.15,
202 156.3, and 312.5 µg/mL of Almond Liquid Extract, 50, 100 and 200 µg/mL Peanut Paste were
203 incubated, without metabolic activation, in duplicate for 44 hours up to harvest.

204
205 Methylmethanesulfonate (MMS, 50 µg/mL and 65 µg/mL) and Colchicine (0.015 µg/mL and
206 0.4 µg/mL) were used in experiments without metabolic activation (-S9) respectively as
207 clastogenic and aneugenic positive controls. Cyclophosphamide (CPA, 12.5 and 15 µg/mL)
208 was used as clastogenic control in tests that included S9 metabolic activation (+S9). All are
209 known to induce statistically significant increases in micronucleus frequency in this assay.

210
211 Once harvested, the cells were treated for fixation, and cell suspensions were dropped onto
212 glass slides to be dried and then stained before micronuclei analysis, according to the criteria
213 of Fenech (Fenech 2000). Micronuclei were scored blind in at least 2000 cells per
214 concentration, either manually by trained technicians or using the semi-automated scoring
215 Metafer System (Neon-Version: 1.3.8; Metafer-Version: 4.3.6) from Metasystems, Germany

216
217 The Cytokinesis Block Proliferation Index (CBPI) was calculated to estimate cytotoxicity. This
218 index was determined from 500 cells of each culture, by counting mononucleate (c1),
219 binucleate (c2) and multinucleate (c3), according to the following formula $CBPI = (c1 \times 1) + (c2$
220 $\times 2) + (c3 \times 3) / n$ (total cell number).

221 The CBPI from treated and control cells were subsequently used to assess the % of
222 cytotoxicity (cytostasis) which indicates the inhibition of cells growth in treated cultures in
223 comparison to control cultures. The calculation $100 - 100 \times ((CBPI_T - 1) / (CBPI_C - 1))$ gives
224 the % cytotoxicity, $CBPI_T$ being the Cytokinesis Block Proliferation Index of treated cultures
225 and $CBPI_C$ being Cytokinesis Block Proliferation Index of control cultures.

226
227
228

2.3 Statistical Analysis

229

230

231 Statistical analyses were deemed unnecessary for the OECD 471 bacterial reverse mutation
232 test, as indicated in the evaluation and interpretation of results chapter of the eponym guideline
233 (OECD 471).

234

235 Regarding the OECD 487 *in vitro* mammalian cell micronucleus assays in human lymphocytes
236 performed to assess chromosomal damage, the nonparametric χ^2 test was used to compare
237 the number of micronucleated cells of each test group with the concurrent vehicle control
238 group with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$. The χ^2 Cochran-Armitage test for trend was
239 used to examine concentration-related increases at a statistical significance level of 5% ($p <$
240 0.05, two-sided).

241 3. RESULTS

242

243 3.1 The Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assays

244

245 The Bacterial Reverse mutation assay detects genotoxic compounds by evaluating the
246 mutation rate occurring in bacterial genomes upon exposure to a test substance. For all three
247 ingredients tested, validity of the performed experiments and controls were checked. The *S.*
248 *typhimurium* and *E. coli* tester strains demonstrated the specific phenotype characteristics and
249 were in conformity with the corresponding historical control data values, as were the negative
250 (ultrapure water and Milli-Q, and DMSO for Peanut Paste, no increase) and positive
251 (diagnostic mutagens, more than 3- fold increase) control conditions. Each S9 fraction used
252 showed the appropriate biological activity.

253

254 In the Almond Solids experiment, the spontaneous revertant counts of the vehicle control
255 (ultrapure water, ASTM Type I) were within the historical control ranges for all tester strains
256 and experimental phases. The positive control mutagens produced the expected, biologically
257 relevant (>3-fold) increases in revertant colonies, confirming assay validity. A slight deviation
258 was noted for the sodium azide (SAZ) control in *S. typhimurium* TA1535 without metabolic
259 activation (-S9), with a mean value of 462 revertant colonies per plate while the laboratory's
260 (Toxi-Coop) historical lower limit is 467. This response is considered acceptable due to a 46-
261 fold increase over the vehicle control. Revertant counts for untreated and DMSO controls were
262 comparable to those of ultrapure water and within historical limits.

263

264 In the Almond Liquid Extract assays, the spontaneous revertant counts of the vehicle control
265 (Milli-Q water) fell within the corresponding historical control ranges for all tester strains.
266 Positive controls were consistent with historical control ranges, except for slight deviations in
267 TA98 and TA1535 (-S9) in the initial test, which had no impact on study validity.

268

269 Similarly, in the Peanut Paste experiment, the spontaneous revertant counts of the vehicle
270 control (DMSO) were within the historical control ranges for all tester strains and experimental
271 phases. Positive control mutagens produced the expected, biologically relevant (>3-fold)
272 increases in revertant colonies, confirming the validity of the assay. Revertant numbers for
273 untreated and ultrapure water (ASTM Type I) controls were consistent with those of DMSO
274 and remained within the historical control ranges.

275

276 In summary, for the three tested ingredients, the validity criteria of the Ames test were fulfilled.
277 Vehicle, untreated, and positive control values were within the corresponding historical control
278 data ranges, confirming the reliability of the test system and the adequate performance of the
279 metabolic activation system (S9 mix).

280

281 It is noteworthy that none of the assays showed evidence of cytotoxicity, bacterial growth
282 inhibition, or precipitation that may disturb the scoring, at any dose tested up to the maximum
283 concentration identified for this test system (5000 µg/plate).

284

285 The results of the initial and confirmatory mutation assays with Almond Solids from Roasted
286 in-shell Almonds (Almond Solids) are summarized in Table 2. No biologically relevant
287 increases in revertant colony numbers were observed in any of the four *Salmonella*
288 *typhimurium* and the *E. coli* tester strains at any tested concentration, neither in the presence
289 nor absence of metabolic activation (S9 mix). Occasional slight increases were noted, but they
290 lacked dose-response relationships and remained within the expected biological variability of
291 the test system. The highest mean revertant count occurred in strain TA100 at 5000 µg/plate
292 (-S9) during the confirmatory test, slightly exceeding the historical control range but remaining

293 well below the threshold for a positive response (mutation rate = 1.72; threshold 2.00)). This
294 isolated increase was attributed to natural variation.

295

296 In both assays testing the mutagenic activity of Almond Liquid Extract from Roasted in-shell
297 Almonds (Almond Liquid Extract), no increases in revertant colonies were observed in any of
298 the four *S. typhimurium* strains or in *Escherichia coli* at any concentration, neither with nor
299 without metabolic activation (Table 3).

300

301 No biologically significant increases in revertant colony numbers were detected in any of the
302 various *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli* strains treated with Peanut Paste from Roasted in-shell
303 Peanuts (Peanut Paste), with or without metabolic activation (Table 4). Minor variations
304 observed during testing were random, showed no concentration-related trend, and remained
305 within the normal biological range. The highest mean revertant count was recorded in strain
306 TA1535 at 16 µg/plate (-S9) during the initial assay (mutation rate= 1.95; threshold= 3.00),
307 which remained within historical limits and far below mutagenic thresholds.

308

309

310 As none of the three test ingredients produced a concentration-dependent rise in revertant
311 colony numbers, nor did they generate any reproducible or biologically meaningful increases
312 at any concentration tested, no statistical analysis had to be carried out.

313 In conclusion, under the conditions of these studies, Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract
314 and Peanut Paste ingredients showed no evidence of mutagenic activity in *S. typhimurium* or
315 *E. coli* tester strains, indicating an absence of genotoxic potential in the bacterial reverse
316 mutation assay according to OECD Guideline 471.

317

318

319

320

321

322

323 **Table 2. Summary results of the genotoxicity tests with Almond Solids according to OECD Guideline 471. Mean values**
 324 **(Mean) and mutation rates (MR) of controls and Almond Solids at various concentrations in the bacterial reverse**
 325 **mutation assay with (+S9) and without (-S9) metabolic activation using various strains of S. typhimurium and E. coli**

	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> tester strain																<i>E. coli</i> WP2uvrA			
	TA98				TA100				TA1535				TA 1537							
	-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9	
	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR
Initial Mutation Test																				
<i>Negative controls</i>																				
Untreated	16.7	0.93	25.3	0.93	82.0	0.76	80.7	0.96	12.3	1.23	9.3	0.78	7.0	1.11	11.7	1.35	52.0	1.26	47.3	1.15
DMSO	14.3	1.00	32.7	1.00	-	-	86.3	1.00	-	-	9.00	1.00	6.3	1.00	11.3	1.00	-	-	40.3	1.00
Ultrapure water	18.0	1.00	27.3	1.00	107.7	1.00	83.7	1.00	10.0	1.00	12.0	1.00	6.3	1.00	8.7	1.00	41.3	1.00	41.3	1.00
<i>Positive controls</i>	183.0	12.77	1286.7	48.57	523.3	4.86	881.7	10.21	462.0	46.20	150.0	16.67	206.0	32.53	120.0	10.59	489.3	11.83	165.0	4.09
<i>Almond Solids (µg/plate)</i>																				
5000	24.0	1.33	20.0	0.73	99.0	0.92	104.0	1.24	11.7	1.17	6.7	0.56	4.7	0.74	6.7	0.77	41.7	1.01	46.7	1.13
1600	16.0	0.89	17.7	0.65	107.3	1.00	88.0	1.05	10.3	1.03	10.3	0.86	7.0	1.11	6.7	0.77	49.3	1.19	41.0	0.99
500	17.0	0.94	21.0	0.77	76.6	0.71	91.3	1.09	7.7	0.77	10.0	0.83	7.0	1.11	7.3	0.85	49.7	1.20	45.7	1.10
160	25.0	1.39	19.0	0.70	86.0	0.80	86.0	1.03	8.3	0.83	13.7	1.14	5.0	0.79	8.0	0.92	47.0	1.14	49.7	1.20
50	20.0	1.11	20.3	0.74	88.0	0.82	80.0	0.96	9.3	0.93	13.0	1.08	7.3	1.16	8.0	0.92	45.3	1.10	51.3	1.24
16	20.7	1.15	19.3	0.71	82.0	0.76	76.0	0.91	13.7	1.37	9.7	0.81	8.0	1.26	9.3	1.08	45.3	1.10	48.7	1.18
5	24.7	1.37	20.0	0.73	86.0	0.80	78.3	0.94	10.0	1.00	10.0	0.83	5.3	0.84	9.0	1.04	34.0	0.82	48.7	1.18
1.6	15.7	0.87	20.7	0.76	83.7	0.78	84.7	1.01	14.3	1.43	13.0	1.08	8.0	1.26	9.3	1.08	43.7	1.06	50.0	1.21
Confirmatory Mutation Test																				
<i>Negative controls</i>																				
Untreated	11.7	0.74	16.3	1.17	69.3	0.81	70.7	0.91	8.7	0.76	11.3	0.89	6.0	0.72	9.7	0.85	30.3	0.71	43.7	1.00
DMSO	13.7	1.00	10.7	1.00	-	-	66.7	1.00	-	-	11.0	1.00	7.0	1.00	7.7	1.00	-	-	40.7	1.00
Ultrapure water	15.7	1.00	14.0	1.00	86.0	1.00	78.0	1.00	11.3	1.00	12.7	1.00	8.3	1.00	11.3	1.00	42.7	1.00	43.7	1.00
<i>Positive controls</i>	207.0	15.15	1037.3	97.25	644.0	7.49	1005.3	15.08	686.0	60.53	98.3	8.94	437.3	62.48	158.3	20.65	845.3	19.81	207.3	5.10
<i>Almond Solids (µg/plate)</i>																				
5000	17.0	1.09	20.3	1.45	147.7	1.72	103.3	1.32	14.7	1.29	12.3	0.97	7.0	0.84	11.3	1.00	43.7	1.02	48.3	1.11
1600	10.0	0.64	19.3	1.38	86.3	1.00	80.3	1.03	11.7	1.03	12.3	0.97	6.0	0.72	10.3	0.91	43.7	1.02	59.0	1.35
500	13.7	0.87	18.0	1.29	78.3	0.91	76.3	1.03	9.0	0.79	13.0	1.03	6.3	0.76	10.7	0.94	48.3	1.13	49.0	1.12
160	15.7	1.00	18.0	1.29	73.7	0.86	78.3	1.00	10.7	0.94	12.0	0.95	9.3	1.12	8.0	0.71	44.7	1.05	52.7	1.21
50	13.7	0.87	19.0	1.36	80.0	0.93	86.3	1.11	11.0	0.97	10.3	0.82	10.7	1.28	10.7	0.94	38.7	0.91	39.7	0.91
16	15.0	0.96	16.0	1.14	75.7	0.88	79.3	1.03	8.7	0.76	10.0	0.79	7.7	0.92	8.7	0.76	42.0	0.98	38.3	0.88
5	15.7	1.00	20.0	1.43	77.0	0.90	79.3	1.02	10.0	0.88	14.7	1.16	10.3	1.24	11.3	1.00	39.0	0.91	45.0	1.03
1.6	15.3	0.98	20.3	1.45	67.3	0.78	81.3	1.04	10.0	0.88	12.7	1.00	9.7	1.16	12.3	1.09	41.0	0.96	47.3	1.08

MR: Mutation Rate; NPD: 4-Nitro-o-phenylenediamine; SAZ: Sodium azide; 9AA: 9-Aminoacridine; MMS: Methyl methanesulfonate; 2AA: 2-Aminoanthracene; -: Not Applicable. Ultrapure water was applied as vehicle of the test item and the positive control substances SAZ and MMS. The DMSO was applied as solvent of the positive control substances NPD, 9AA and 2AA. The MR obtained at the test item, at the untreated control; furthermore, at SAZ and MMS refers to the ultrapure water. The MR obtained at NDP, 9AA and 2AA refers to DMSO

328 **Table 3. Summary results of the genotoxicity tests with Almond Liquid Extract according to OECD Guideline 471. Mean**
 329 **values (Mean) and mutation rates (MR) of controls and Almond Liquid Extract at various concentrations in the bacterial**
 330 **reverse mutation assay with (+S9) and without (-S9) metabolic activation using various strains of**
 331 **S. typhimurium and E. coli**

332

	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> tester strain																<i>E coli</i> WP2uvrA			
	TA98				TA100				TA1535				TA 1537							
	-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9		-S9		+S9	
	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR
Initial Mutation Test																				
<i>Negative controls</i>																				
Milli-Q water	14.3	-	18.3	-	93.0	-	80.3	-	4.7	-	7.7	-	2.7	-	9.7	-	147.0	-	62.3	-
<i>Positive controls</i>	1146.3	80.0	712.3	38.9	192.0	2.1	723.7	9.0	20.3	4.4	141.0	18.4	38.3	14.4	75.0	7.8	1735.3	11.8	123.3	2.0
Almond Liquid Extract																				
<i>(µg/plate)</i>																				
5000	23.0	1.6	26.7	1.5	115.0	1.2	97.7	1.2	9.0	1.9	9.0	1.2	3.7	1.4	10.3	1.1	155.3	1.1	68.7	1.1
1600	20.3	1.4	29.0	1.6	112.0	1.2	89.3	1.1	3.7	0.8	8.0	1.0	2.3	0.9	14.3	1.5	145.0	1.0	59.3	1.0
512	19.7	1.4	21.0	1.1	95.7	1.0	91.3	1.1	7.0	1.5	7.7	1.0	3.3	1.3	12.0	1.2	167.0	1.1	58.0	0.9
164	17.0	1.2	24.7	1.3	106.7	1.1	102.0	1.3	6.0	1.3	10.7	1.4	3.3	1.3	12.0	1.2	164.3	1.1	52.3	0.8
52	21.7	1.5	25.3	1.4	98.0	1.1	90.3	1.1	6.7	1.4	12.0	1.6	1.0	0.4	11.0	1.1	156.7	1.1	66.0	1.1
Confirmatory Mutation Test																				
<i>Negative controls</i>																				
Milli-Q water	18.0	-	22.3	-	109.0	-	80.0	-	8.3	-	17.0	-	4.3	-	9.0	-	215.7	-	58.3	-
<i>Positive controls</i>	1002.0	55.7	292.7	13.1	240.3	2.2	705.0	8.8	38.0	4.6	105.3	6.2	35.0	8.1	41.0	4.6	1699.0	7.9	144.0	2.5
Almond Liquid Extract																				
<i>(µg/plate)</i>																				
5000	23.3	1.3	18.7	0.8	105.7	1.0	87.7	1.1	5.0	0.6	12.7	0.7	6.0	1.4	6.3	0.7	192.0	0.9	72.3	1.2
2800	24.7	1.4	29.7	1.3	105.7	1.0	105.7	1.3	15.3	1.8	17.3	1.0	3.0	0.7	5.0	0.6	146.7	0.7	70.7	1.2
1568	21.0	1.2	28.3	1.3	105.0	1.0	100.0	1.3	13.3	1.6	21.3	1.3	5.0	1.2	8.7	1.0	187.7	0.9	72.0	1.2
878	21.7	1.2	21.7	1.0	107.7	1.0	87.3	1.1	10.0	1.2	17.0	1.0	0.7	0.7	5.7	0.6	174.3	0.8	61.3	1.1
492	23.7	1.3	27.7	1.2	109.3	1.0	101.3	1.3	10.3	1.2	11.7	0.7	2.0	0.5	7.0	0.8	178.3	0.8	56.0	1.0

MR: Mutation Rate; SAZ: Sodium azide; 2-NF:2-nitrofluorene; MMS: Methyl methanesulfonate; 4-NQO: 4-nitroquinoline N-oxide; 2AA: 2-Aminoanthracene. The DMSO (not presented) was applied as solvent of the test item and the positive control substances SAZ, 2-NF, MMS, 4-NQO and 2AA.

333
334
335
336

337 **Table 4. Summary results of the genotoxicity tests with Peanut Paste according to OECD Guideline 471. Mean values**
 338 **(Mean) and mutation rates (MR) of controls and Peanut Paste at various concentrations in the bacterial reverse mutation**
 339 **assay with (+S9) and without (-S9) metabolic activation using various strains of S. typhimurium and E. coli**
 340

	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> tester strain																<i>E coli</i> WP2uvrA			
	TA98		TA100				TA1535				TA 1537				-S9		+S9			
	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9	-S9	+S9		
	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR	Mean	MR
Initial Mutation Test																				
<i>Negative controls</i>																				
Untreated	14.0	1.17	22.3	1.08	71.3	1.16	75.7	1.15	7.0	1.11	8.7	0.84	8.7	1.04	6.3	0.83	30.7	1.00	35.0	1.04
DMSO	12.0	1.00	20.7	1.00	61.7	1.00	66.0	1.00	6.3	1.00	10.3	1.00	8.3	1.00	7.7	1.00	30.7	1.00	33.7	1.00
Ultrapure water	-	-	-	-	64.3	1.00	-	-	8.00	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.7	1.00	-	-
<i>Positive controls</i>	352.7	29.39	1223.3	59.19	499.3	7.76	667.3	10.11	754.7	94.33	149.0	14.42	182.0	21.84	119.0	15.52	615.3	17.25	170.3	5.06
Peanut Paste																				
<i>(µg/plate)</i>																				
5000	11.7	0.97	19.0	0.92	74.0	1.20	63.7	0.96	7.3	1.16	10.0	0.97	6.7	0.80	9.0	1.17	32.3	1.05	34.0	1.01
1600	18.7	1.56	17.7	0.85	62.7	1.02	68.3	1.04	7.7	1.21	8.0	0.77	7.0	0.84	10.0	1.30	29.0	0.95	35.7	1.06
500	14.7	1.22	23.7	1.15	67.0	1.09	71.7	1.09	8.7	1.37	9.7	0.94	9.3	1.12	9.7	1.26	30.3	0.99	37.7	1.12
160	13.7	1.14	27.7	1.34	66.0	1.07	73.7	1.12	8.3	1.32	9.3	0.90	8.7	1.04	10.3	1.35	34.7	1.13	38.3	1.14
50	19.0	1.58	22.7	1.10	66.3	1.08	89.0	1.35	9.3	1.47	12.0	1.16	6.7	0.80	8.0	1.04	33.7	1.10	42.0	1.25
16	12.7	1.06	15.0	0.73	62.0	1.01	73.7	1.12	12.3	1.95	8.3	0.81	8.0	0.96	8.0	1.04	35.7	1.16	42.0	1.25
5	20.0	1.67	20.0	0.97	59.0	0.96	66.0	1.00	8.0	1.26	10.0	0.97	7.7	0.92	8.3	1.09	32.0	1.04	31.3	0.93
Confirmatory Mutation Test																				
<i>Negative controls</i>																				
Untreated	17.0	1.04	23.3	1.17	103.0	1.76	99.0	1.26	10.0	0.88	12.0	1.00	7.7	1.21	9.3	1.17	29.3	1.09	39.3	0.94
DMSO	16.3	1.00	20.0	1.00	58.7	1.00	78.3	1.00	11.3	1.00	12.0	1.00	6.3	1.00	8.0	1.00	27.0	1.00	42.0	1.00
Ultrapure water	-	-	-	-	75.3	1.00	-	-	11.3	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.0	1.00	-	-
<i>Positive controls</i>	579.3	35.47	1262.7	63.13	632.7	8.40	641.3	8.19	795.3	70.18	171.0	14.25	430.7	68.00	104.3	13.04	1132.0	32.34	161.3	3.84
Peanut Paste																				
<i>(µg/plate)</i>																				
5000	15.7	0.96	18.0	0.90	71.7	1.22	59.0	0.75	10.7	0.94	9.7	0.81	5.7	0.89	6.0	0.75	39.3	1.46	40.3	0.96
1600	16.7	1.02	16.3	0.82	64.7	1.10	60.3	0.77	9.3	0.82	12.3	1.03	6.7	1.05	6.7	0.83	29.7	1.10	43.7	1.04
500	17.7	1.08	24.7	1.23	68.0	1.16	87.3	1.11	8.3	0.74	13.0	1.08	7.3	1.16	6.3	0.79	29.7	1.10	43.7	1.04
160	16.3	1.00	21.7	1.08	64.7	1.10	79.3	1.01	10.7	0.94	13.3	1.11	8.0	1.26	6.7	0.83	30.7	1.14	39.0	0.93
50	15.0	0.92	22.7	1.13	50.3	0.86	73.3	0.94	11.3	1.00	10.3	0.86	7.3	1.16	7.0	0.88	24.0	0.89	39.0	0.93
16	15.0	0.92	19.0	0.95	60.7	1.03	71.7	0.91	10.7	0.94	11.7	0.97	7.0	1.11	10.0	1.25	24.3	0.90	45.0	1.07
5	17.3	1.06	22.0	1.10	62.0	1.06	69.3	0.89	8.0	0.71	15.7	1.31	7.3	1.16	8.7	1.08	24.0	0.89	40.3	0.96

MR: Mutation Rate; DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide; NPD: 4-Nitro-o-phenylenediamine; SAZ: Sodium azide; 9AA: 9-Aminoacridine; MMS: Methyl methanesulfonate; 2AA: 2-Aminoanthracene; -: Not Applicable. DMSO was applied as vehicle of the test item and the positive control substances NPD, 9AA and 2AA. The Ultrapure water was applied as vehicle of the positive control substances SAZ and MMS. The mutation rate obtained at the test item, at the untreated control; furthermore, at NDP, 9AA and 2AA refers to the DMSO. The mutation rate obtained at SAZ and MMS refers to ultrapure water.

341
342
343

344 **3.2 The *in vitro* Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test Using Human Lymphocytes**

345

346

347 The test ingredients, Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract, and Peanut Paste were
348 investigated in the OECD 487 test for a possible potential to induce micronuclei in human
349 lymphocytes in the absence and presence of metabolic activation.

350

351 Within all three test ingredients, the negative control cultures gave expected results and were
352 within the historical control ranges for the testing facility. For Almond Solids, the
353 micronucleated cell frequencies of the negative controls were within historical limits in the 4-h
354 and 44-h without metabolic activation (-S9) treatments, whereas one value (1.10%) in the 4-
355 h with metabolic activation treatment (+S9) was slightly above the upper limit defined as
356 1.04%, but it was still considered acceptable for inclusion (Table 5). For Almond Liquid Extract,
357 all negative control frequencies in 4h (+/-S9) and 44-h in absence of S9 fell within their
358 respective historical control ranges (Table 6). Similarly, for Peanut Paste, the negative control
359 frequencies were consistently within the historical ranges across the 4-h (\pm S9) and the 44-h (-
360 S9) treatments (Table 7).

361 The positive controls gave the expected results in all assays. MMS (50 and 65 μ g/mL) and
362 CPA (12.5–15 μ g/mL) produced clear and statistically significant increases in micronucleus
363 frequency, respectively (2.10 - 4.90%) and (2.20 - 2.50%) respectively for MMS and CPA.
364 demonstrating their clastogenic activity. Colchicine, used at 0.015 and 0.4 μ g/mL as an
365 aneugenic control, also induced robust and statistically significant MN responses, from 1.65
366 to 4.65 %. The magnitude and consistency of these increases confirm the responsiveness of
367 the test systems and the proper functioning of the metabolic activation system where
368 applicable.

369 As such, the results of both negative and positive controls validate the reliability of the *in vitro*
370 micronucleus assays conducted for all three test ingredients.

371

372 Across all experimental conditions, Almond Solids did not induce cytotoxicity at levels that
373 would compromise the interpretation of chromosomal damage (Table 5). In the 4-h treatment
374 in absence of metabolic activation (-S9), cytostasis remained below the 30% threshold
375 established by the testing laboratory up to 250 μ g/mL. It should be noted that the OECD 487
376 guideline does not define a threshold for cytotoxicity. At higher concentrations (500–1000
377 μ g/mL), moderate cytostasis was observed, with values ranging from 35% to 47%. In the 44-
378 h without S9, a similar pattern was seen, with cytostasis remaining below or only moderately
379 above the 30% at the 500 and 1500 μ g/mL doses. In presence of S9, in the 4-h treatment,
380 cytostasis clearly stayed below 30%. The higher levels observed were considered to remain
381 within the acceptable range defined by OECD 487 for evaluating genotoxic potential
382 (maximum of 55 +/- 5% cytotoxicity /cytostasis).

383 In any experiment testing Almond Solids, no treatment-related increase in micronucleated
384 cells was detected. In the 4-h without S9, micronucleus frequencies ranged from 0.40% to
385 0.55% through the tested concentrations, all within historical negative-control limits. With S9
386 activation and 4 h exposure, micronucleus frequencies were 0.45–0.60%, again consistent
387 with expected background variation. The 44-h without S9 treatment confirmed the absence of
388 clastogenic or aneugenic effects, with values between 0.50% and 0.90%, comparable to the
389 concurrent control.

390

391 Almond Liquid Extract produced no evidence of cytotoxicity exceeding the threshold
392 compatible with reliable genotoxicity assessment (Table 6). Cytostasis remained below 30%

393 in the 4- and 44-h treatment, regardless of metabolic activation, indicating that all evaluated
394 concentrations were appropriate for interpreting micronucleus formation.

395

396 Micronucleus frequencies following Almond Liquid Extract exposure did not deviate from
397 historical controls. In 4-h without S9 exposure, frequencies varied from 0.55% to 0.90%, all
398 within the established historical interval. In 4-h with S9 activation, frequencies were ranged
399 from 0.55 to 1.05%; only the highest concentration, 1250 µg/mL showed a slight elevation,
400 1.05%, above the upper historical bound set at 1.04%. However, this increase lacked
401 statistical significance and was therefore considered biologically irrelevant. The 44-h exposure
402 in absence of S9 further supported the absence of genotoxic activity, with micronucleus
403 frequencies (0.40–0.80%) falling well within historical variation.

404

405 No excessive cytotoxicity was observed with Peanut Paste in any experimental condition
406 (Table 7). Cytostasis remained below the 30% threshold in the 4- and 44-h, both with and
407 without S9, indicating that all concentrations tested were suitable for assessing genotoxic
408 potential under OECD 487.

409 Peanut Paste exposure did not induce micronucleus formation in the tested conditions. In 4-h
410 without S9 treatment, micronucleus frequencies ranged from 0.20% to 0.45%, values that
411 were within historical negative-control ranges. In presence of S9, frequencies of 0.45 – 0.65%
412 were observed, again consistent with normal background variability. 44-h treatment without
413 S9 results mirrored these findings: micronucleus frequencies following treatment (0.45 –
414 0.65%) remained within historical limits.

415

416

417

418
419
420
421
422
423

**Table 5 : Summary results of the genotoxicity test with Almond Solids according to OECD Guideline 487.
Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) Index, Relative Cell Growth, Micronuclei (MN) frequencies
of controls and various Almond Solids concentrations**

Treatment	Concentration (µg/mL)	Metabolic activation S9 or +S9	Number of cells evaluated	Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) (%)	Relative cell growth (%)	MN frequency (%)	Historical control limits Negative control
4-hour treatment							
Culture medium	0		2000	0	100	0.90	C: 0.07% - 1.00 %
Almond Solids	250	-S9	2000	1	99	0.40	
Almond Solids	500	-S9	2000	47	53	0.55	
Almond Solids	1000	-S9	2000	35	65	0.40	
MMS	65	-S9	2000	17	83	2.10*	
Colchicine	0.4	-S9	2000	42	58	3.30*	
Culture medium	0	+S9	2000	0	101	1.10	C: 0.06% - 1.04%
Almond Solids	250	+S9	2000	0 ^a	148	0.45	
Almond Solids	500	+S9	2000	9	91	0.60	
Almond Solids	1000	+S9	2000	6	94	0.45	
CPA	15	+S9	2000	0 ^a	110	2.20*	
44-hour treatment							
Culture medium	0	-S9	2000	0	100	0.90	C: 0.1% - 1.03%
Almond Solids	250	-S9	2000	23	77	0.90	
Almond Solids	500	-S9	2000	38	62	0.90	
MMS	50	-S9	2000	35	65	3.80*	
Colchicine	0.015	-S9	2000	64	36	2.15*	

424 Culture medium, RPMI1640, was applied as solvent of the test item and the positive control substances: methylmethanesulfonate (MMS), colchicine and cyclophosphamide
425 (CPA). Relative Cell Growth : $100 \times ((CBPI \text{ test conc}-1)/(CBPI \text{ control}-1))$, Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) = $100 - \text{Relative Cell Growth} (\%)$, *: significant increase compared to
426 negative control (χ^2 test , $p < 0.05$), ^a: the cytotoxicity (cytostasis) is defined as 0, when the relative cell growth exceeds 100%.
427

428
429
430
431
432
433

Table 6: Summary results of the genotoxicity test with Almond Liquid Extract according to OECD Guideline 487. Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) Index, Relative Cell Growth, Micronuclei (MN) frequencies of controls and various Almond Liquid Extract concentrations

Treatment							
	Concentration (µg/mL)	Metabolic activation S9 or +S9	Number of cells evaluated	Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) (%)	Relative cell growth (%)	MN frequency (%)	Historical control limits Negative control
4-hour treatment							
Culture medium	0		2000	0	100	0.75	
Almond Liquid Extract	312.5	-S9	2000	0 ^a	109	0.90	
Almond Liquid Extract	625	-S9	2000	0 ^a	104	0.75	C: 0.07% -
Almond Liquid Extract	1250	-S9	2000	0 ^a	108	0.55	1.00 %
MMS	65	-S9	2000	0 ^a	106	3.85*	
Colchicine	0.4	-S9	2000	67	33	2.65*	
Culture medium	0	+S9	2000	0	100	0.55	
Almond Liquid Extract	312.5	+S9	2000	0 ^a	115	0.70	
Almond Liquid Extract	625	+S9	2000	5	95	0.55	C: 0.06% -
Almond Liquid Extract	1250	+S9	2000	0 ^a	104	1.05	1.04%
CPA	12.5	+S9	2000	36	64	2.20*	
44-hour treatment							
Culture medium	0	-S9	2000	0	100	0.75	
Almond Liquid Extract	78.15	-S9	2000	0 ^a	123	0.60	
Almond Liquid Extract	156.3	-S9	2000	11	89	0.80	C: 0.10% -
Almond Liquid Extract	312.5	-S9	2000	0 ^a	132	0.40	1.03%
MMS	50	-S9	1274	37	63	3.76*	
Colchicine	0.015	-S9	2000	61	39	1.65*	

434
435
436
437

Culture medium, RPMI1640, was applied as solvent of the test item and the positive control substances: methylmethanesulfonate (MMS), colchicine and cyclophosphamide (CPA). Relative Cell Growth : $100 \times ((CBPI \text{ test conc}-1)/(CBPI \text{ control}-1))$, Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) = $100 - \text{Relative Cell Growth (\%)}$, *: significant increase compared to negative control (χ^2 test , $p < 0.05$), ^a: the cytotoxicity (cytostasis) is defined as 0, when the relative cell growth exceeds 100%.

438 **Table 7: Summary results of the genotoxicity test with Peanut Paste according to OECD Guideline 487.**
 439 **Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) Index, Relative Cell Growth, Micronuclei (MN) frequencies**
 440 **of controls and various Peanut Paste concentrations**
 441
 442

Treatment							
	Concentration (µg/mL)	Metabolic activation S9 or +S9	Number of cells evaluated	Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) (%)	Relative cell growth (%)	MN frequency (%)	Historical control limits Negative control
4-hour treatment							
Culture medium	0		2000	0	100	0.65	C: 0.07% - 1.00 %
Peanut Paste	50	-S9	2000	0 ^a	102	0.45	
Peanut Paste	100	-S9	2000	0 ^a	118	0.25	
Peanut Paste	200	-S9	2000	0 ^a	104	0.20	
MMS	65	-S9	2000	0 ^a	121	4.90*	
Colchicine	0.4	-S9	2000	62	38	4.65*	
Culture medium	0	+S9	2000	0	100	0.30	C: 0.06% - 1.04%
Peanut Paste	50	+S9	2000	5	95	0.65	
Peanut Paste	100	+S9	2000	0 ^a	110	0.45	
Peanut Paste	200	+S9	2000	0 ^a	104	0.50	
CPA	12.5	+S9	2000	13	87	2.50*	
44-hour treatment							
Culture medium	0	-S9	2000	0	100	0.25	C: 0.10% - 1.03%
Peanut Paste	50	-S9	2000	0 ^a	121	0.65	
Peanut Paste	100	-S9	2000	12	88	0.45	
Peanut Paste	200	-S9	2000	0 ^a	101	0.55	
MMS	50	-S9	2000	37	63	4.70*	
Colchicine	0.015	-S9	2000	57	43	1.90*	

443 *Culture medium, RPMI1640, was applied as solvent of the test item and the positive control substances: methylmethanesulfonate (MMS), colchicine and cyclophosphamide*
 444 *(CPA). Relative Cell Growth : 100 x ((CBPI test conc-1)/(CBPI control-1)), Cytotoxicity (Cytostasis) = 100 - Relative Cell Growth (%), *: significant increase compared to*
 445 *negative control (χ² test , p<0.05), ^a: the cytotoxicity (cytostasis) is defined as 0, when the relative cell growth exceeds 100%.*
 446

447 In summary, across all three test ingredients and experimental conditions, statistical analyses
 448 supported the descriptive interpretation of the micronucleus data. For Almond Solids, Almond
 449 Liquid Extract, and Peanut Paste, the non-parametric χ^2 test revealed no statistically
 450 significant elevation ($p < 0.05$) in the frequency of micronucleated cells at any concentration,
 451 neither in the presence nor absence of metabolic activation.

452
 453 An additional statistical analysis set was performed for testing the hypothesis of concentration
 454 dependencies, through the χ^2 Cochran-Armitage test, on each series of doses, in each
 455 experiment, and for each of the three ingredients. A positive trend, i.e. statistically significant
 456 concentration-related increase in micronucleated cells frequency, was defined as $p < 0.05$.

457
 458 This specific χ^2 test for trend demonstrated no evidence of a dose-related increase in
 459 micronucleus formation for any of the three ingredients (Table 8). These findings confirm that
 460 the slight fluctuations observed among individual dose groups reflected normal biological
 461 variability of the test system rather than treatment-related effects.

462
 463
 464
 465
 466

**Table 8. Summary results of the χ^2 Cochran-Armitage testing
 concentration-related increase in micronucleated cells frequency**

Genotoxicity OECD 487 test	Treatment Time [h]	Almond Solids P value	Almond Liquid Extract P value	Peanut Paste P value
without metabolic activation (- S9)	4	0.7155	0.4286	0.3103
with metabolic activation (+ S9)	4	0.7397	0.1772	0.6647
without metabolic activation (- S9)	44	0.2461	0.2615	0.6937

467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476

Collectively, the statistical evaluations corroborate the absence of clastogenic or aneugenic activity for all three shell comprising nut ingredients, Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract and Peanut Paste, under the experimental conditions of the *in vitro* micronucleus assay according to OECD Guideline 487.

477 **4. DISCUSSION**

478

479 The present studies were conducted to evaluate the genotoxic potential of three shell
480 comprising nut ingredients—Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract, and Peanut Paste—
481 produced using the patented RE-NUT in-shell processing technologies. These ingredients
482 represent a new category of shell-containing nut fractions with no established history of dietary
483 use. The studies presented are the first *in vitro* genotoxic studies reported on in-shell nut
484 ingredients.

485 The results of the bacterial reverse mutation tests (OECD 471) provide clear evidence that
486 none of the three products induce gene mutations in *S. typhimurium* or *E. coli* tester strains.
487 All assay validity criteria were satisfied, including expected performance of the positive and
488 negative controls, adequate strain functionality, and proper metabolic activation. In all the
489 tested concentrations, revertant colony numbers showed no biologically meaningful increases,
490 whereas an occasional minor fluctuation was consistent with natural assay variability. The
491 bacterial reverse mutation assay was conducted following recognized international standards,
492 employing a range of *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli* strains well documented to evaluate potential
493 mutagenic effects. This test showed no induction of gene mutations neither in the presence
494 nor in the absence of metabolic activation.
495 These findings demonstrate the absence of mutagenic activity in a highly sensitive screening
496 system and contribute to the evidence that supports the genotoxic safety of these three shell-
497 comprising nut ingredients for potential use in food applications.

498

499 The complementary evaluations using the *in vitro* micronucleus assay in human lymphocytes
500 (OECD 487) further support the lack of genotoxicity. For all three ingredients, micronucleated
501 cell frequencies remained within historical control intervals through all exposure conditions.
502 No statistically significant increases were observed, and no concentration-related trends
503 emerged. Cytostasis values remained within the recommended ranges for reliable
504 interpretation, confirming that the doses tested were appropriate and not confounded by
505 excessive cytotoxicity. The expected robust responses of clastogenic and aneugenic controls
506 demonstrated the sensitivity of the test system. Collectively, these results show that Almond
507 Solids, Almond Liquid Extract, and Peanut Paste do not induce chromosomal damage or
508 aneugenicity under the conditions of the assays.

509 Together, the outcomes of the two independent genotoxicity assays form a consistent and
510 coherent body of evidence indicating that the three studied shell-comprising nut ingredients
511 lack mutagenic, clastogenic, or aneugenic potential. This integrated assessment aligns with
512 the toxicological profiles broadly recognized for edible nut components and provides the
513 essential safety data needed for shell-comprising fractions, which have only a limited, if any,
514 history of consumption. These findings therefore contribute meaningfully to the scientific basis
515 required for a GRAS determination and/or an EFSA Novel Food assessment, supporting the
516 conclusion that the tested ingredients do not present a genotoxic hazard under their intended
517 conditions of use.

518 To our knowledge, this work represents the first systematic assessment of genotoxic potential
519 for shell-comprising nut ingredients. The consistently negative results obtained across the *in*
520 *vitro* genotoxicity assays indicate no genotoxic activity for the tested ingredients under the
521 conditions evaluated. In line with internationally accepted tiered testing strategies, these
522 findings support a Tier 1 conclusion of no genotoxic concern, with no further genotoxicity
523 testing warranted. This outcome provides important support for the regulatory safety
524 assessment of in-shell nut ingredients.

525 5. CONCLUSION

526

527 The genotoxic potential of Almond Solids, Almond Liquid Extract, and Peanut Paste produced
528 using the patented RE-NUT process was systematically evaluated using two internationally
529 recognized *in vitro* systems, adhering to OECD Test Guidelines 471 and 487. Under the
530 experimental conditions applied, none of the tested ingredients induced gene mutations in
531 bacteria or chromosomal damage in human lymphocytes, either in the presence or absence
532 of metabolic activation. These results provide consistent and complementary evidence
533 supporting the absence of genotoxic concern for the tested ingredients. In conclusion, the
534 results reinforce the assumption that the three nut ingredients containing shells are non-
535 genotoxic *in vitro*. Since ingredients that come from nut fractions have no history of
536 consumption in human diets, the current studies offer crucial Tier 1 genotoxicity information
537 according to EFSA guidelines for assessing the safety of novel foods. The information
538 provided here creates a strong scientific foundation for the ongoing toxicological assessment
539 of in-shell nut components and endorses their safe application in food products when used as
540 intended.

541

542

572 **REFERENCES**

573

574 Biagetti, E., et al. (2023). Is Hazelnut Farming Sustainable? An Analysis in the Specialized
575 Production Area of Viterbo. *Sustainability* 15(3), 10702.

576 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310702>

577

578 Bolton, J.L., Dunlap, T. (2016). Formation and Biological Targets of Quinones: Cytotoxic versus
579 Cytoprotective Effects. *Chemical Research in Toxicology* 30(1), 13-37.

580 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.6b00256>

581

582 Chedea, V.S., et al. (2012). o-Quinone involvement in the prooxidant tendency of a mixture of
583 quercetin and caffeic acid. *Food Chemistry* 135 (3), 1999-2004.

584 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.06.094>

585

586 Deepa, R., et al. (2022). Potential Environmental Impacts of Peanut Using Water Footprint
587 Assessment: A Case Study in Georgia. *Agronomy* 12(4), 930.

588 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12040930>

589

590 EFSA 2012, <https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2379>

591 EPA Health Effects Test Guidelines (1988). OPPTS 870.5100, Bacterial Reverse Mutation
592 Test EPA 712-C-98-2471998.

593

594 Fenech, M. (2000). The *in vitro* micronucleus technique. *Mutation Research* 455, 81 – 95.

595 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-5107\(00\)00065-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-5107(00)00065-8)

596

597 Fulton, J., et al. (2019). Water-Indexed Benefits and Impacts of California Almonds. *Ecological*
598 *Indicators* 96, 711–17.

599 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.12.063>

600

601 ICH Guidance S2(R1) (2011). Guidance on Genotoxicity Testing and Data Interpretation for
602 Pharmaceuticals Intended for Human Use, November 2011.

603

604 Laux, R., Hühn, T. (2022). Methods of processing culinary nuts and extraction products
605 obtained by the same. European Patent EP 3903601 B1. Published 20 April 2022.

606

607 Laux, R., Hühn, T. (2023). Methods of preparation of culinary nut paste using nutshell material
608 and related products. European Patent EP 4226774 A1. Published 16 August 2023.

609

610 Li, X., et al. (2018). Study of Almond Shell Characteristics. *Materials* (Basel). 19;11(9):1782.
611 [https://doi: 10.3390/ma11091782](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11091782)

612

613 Marvinney, E., Kendall, A. (2021). A Scalable and Spatiotemporally Resolved Agricultural Life
614 Cycle Assessment of California Almonds. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*
615 26 (6) 1123–45.

616 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-021-01891-4>

617

618 Matsushima, T. (1976). *In vitro* metabolic activation in mutagenesis testing: Proceedings of
619 the Symposium on the Role of Metabolic Activation in Producing Mutagenic and
620 Carcinogenic Environmental Chemicals, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina,
621 February 9– 11, 1976. In F. J. de Serres (Ed.). North- Holland. p. 85.

622 <https://books.google.nl/books?id=oRaJwgEACAAJ>

623

624 OECD. (2020). Test No. 471: *Bacterial reverse mutation test* [Internet]. (OECD Guidelines
625 for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4).

626 [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-471-bacterial-reverse-mutation-](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-471-bacterial-reverse-mutation-test_9789264071247-en)
627 [test_9789264071247-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-471-bacterial-reverse-mutation-test_9789264071247-en)

628

629 OECD. (2023). Test No. 487: *In vitro mammalian cell micronucleus test* [Internet]. (OECD
630 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4).

631 [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-487-in- vitro-mammalian-cell-](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-487-in-vitro-mammalian-cell-micronucleus-test_9789264091016-en)
632 [micronucleus- test_9789264091016-en](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-487-in-vitro-mammalian-cell-micronucleus-test_9789264091016-en)

633

634 Queirós, CSGP., et al. (2020). Characterization of walnut, almond, and pine nut shells
635 regarding chemical composition and extract composition. *Biomass Conversion and*
636 *Biorefinery*, 10(1), 175-188.

637 <https://doi.org/10.1007/S13399-019-00424-2>

638

639 Stoeva, S., et al. (2025). Green Tea: Antioxidant vs. Pro-Oxidant Activity. *Beverages* 2025,
640 11(3), 64.

641 <https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages11030064>

642

643 Tomé, AG., et al. (2023). Biorefinery of peanut shell agroindustrial lignocellulosic waste for
644 synthesis of a natural coagulant applied in the treatment of dairy wastewater. *Journal of*
645 *Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 11(6) 111535.

646 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2023.1115>

