
On the Equivalence and Generalization of Results Related to the Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

**Original Research
Article**

Received: XX December 20XX

Accepted: XX December 20XX

Online Ready: XX December 20XX

Abstract

This note explores a variant of the Eneström-Kakeya Theorem inspired by a question from Seiichi Kirikami. We provide two proofs for the result and establish its equivalence to the strict Eneström-Kakeya Theorem (Theorem 2.1). This equivalence suggests that many existing generalizations of the theorem may be expressed in various alternative forms, potentially leading to a wider range of related results.

Keywords: polynomials; zeros; Eneström-Kakeya Theorem; coefficient monotonicity; Rouché's Theorem

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 12D10; 12D05; 30C15; 30C10

1 Introduction

On March 9, 2019, the first author addressed a query posted by Seiichi Kirikami on a Yahoo Geometry Discussion Group (the archives of which are no longer extant due to platform changes). Although Kirikami's post was directed toward a member named 'Ed,' it was confirmed by the first author, then participating under the username 'ctperng.' Kirikami's query conjectured the validity of Corollary 2.3; the first author confirmed this using a proof fundamentally similar to the first proof presented for Theorem 2.2 herein. As it happens, Theorem 2.2 provides a natural generalization of Corollary 2.3 and is equivalent to Theorem 2.1.

The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem provides a fascinating example of how language and geography can delay the recognition of mathematical priority. The theorem was first established by the Swedish

mathematician Gustaf Eneström [1] in 1893. Writing for a Swedish journal, Eneström derived the result while investigating the mathematical foundations of pension funds. However, because the paper was written in Swedish—a language not widely read by the international mathematical community at the time—his work remained largely obscure for nearly two decades.

In 1912, the Japanese mathematician Soichi Takeya [2] independently discovered the same result. Unlike Eneström, Takeya published his proof in English in the Tōhoku Mathematical Journal. Given the accessibility of the language and the journal's reach, the result quickly gained international traction and became known as “Takeya’s Theorem.”

The hyphenated “Eneström-Takeya” designation was widely adopted later to reconcile this history. Recognizing the chronological priority of the Swedish work, a colleague (T. Hayashi) urged Eneström [3] to publish a French translation of his original proof, which appeared in 1920. Today, the dual name honors both Eneström’s chronological priority and Takeya’s role in broadening the reach of the theorem to the global stage.

In this note, we concentrate on the results mentioned in the first paragraph. Given the vast literature on the Eneström-Takeya Theorem, we refer the reader to Gardner and Govil (2014) [4] for an excellent historical overview. More recent advancements can be found through a survey of the current literature.

2 Main Results

The primary results of this note are Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. We first assume Theorem 2.1 – a strict version of the Eneström-Takeya Theorem – to provide an initial proof of Theorem 2.2 as a direct consequence. While Theorem 2.1 is a classical result that can be derived from the foundational works of Eneström [1] and Takeya [2] (cf. [5], [6], and [7]), its external assumption is not required for our development.

Our second proof of Theorem 2.2 is established independently of Theorem 2.1, using Rouché’s Theorem from complex analysis.

Since Theorem 2.4 subsequently establishes that Theorem 2.2 implies Theorem 2.1, the latter is formally recovered through our internal results. Consequently, the logical framework of this note is entirely self-contained.

Theorem 2.1. *(The strict Eneström-Takeya’s Theorem) If $a_n > a_{n-1} > \dots > a_0 > 0$, the all roots of the equation $a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$ lie within the open unit disk $|x| < 1$.*

We give two proofs of Theorem 2.2 below. The first proof shows that it is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *If $a_{n-1} \geq a_{n-2} \geq \dots \geq a_0 > 0$ with $n > 1$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k > 1$, then the equation*

$$p(x) := x^n - (a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0) = 0$$

possesses a unique real root in $(1, \infty)$, while the remaining $n - 1$ roots have absolute values less than 1.

Proof. (First Proof of Theorem 2.2 Using Theorem 2.1.) Since $p(1) < 0$ and $p(\infty) > 0$, it follows from the Intermediate Value Theorem and Descartes’ rule of sign that the equation has a unique positive root $r > 1$, so one has the following identity

$$r^n - a_{n-1}r^{n-1} - \dots - a_1r - a_0 = 0. \tag{1}$$

By division, one may write

$$p(x) = (x - r)(b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + b_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + b_1x + b_0), \tag{2}$$

where b_i 's can be determined inductively as follows (using the relations (1) and (2)):

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1} &= 1 = \frac{1}{r^n} [a_{n-1}r^{n-1} + \dots + a_1r + a_0] \\ b_{n-2} &= r - a_{n-1} = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} [r^n - a_{n-1}r^{n-1}] = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} [a_{n-2}r^{n-2} + \dots + a_1r + a_0] \\ b_{n-3} &= rb_{n-2} - a_{n-2} = r^2 - a_{n-1}r - a_{n-2} = \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} [a_{n-3}r^{n-3} + \dots + a_1r + a_0] \\ &\dots \\ b_1 &= rb_2 - a_2 = r^{n-2} - a_{n-1}r^{n-3} - \dots - a_2 = \frac{1}{r^2} [a_1r + a_0] \\ b_0 &= rb_1 - a_1 = r^{n-1} - a_{n-1}r^{n-2} - \dots - a_1 = \frac{1}{r} \cdot a_0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_{n-j}}{b_{n-j-1}} &= \frac{\frac{1}{r^{n-j+1}} [a_{n-j}r^{n-j} + a_{n-j-1}r^{n-j-1} + \dots + a_1r + a_0]}{\frac{1}{r^{n-j}} [a_{n-j-1}r^{n-j-1} + \dots + a_1r + a_0]} \\ &= \frac{a_{n-j}r^{n-j-1}}{a_{n-j-1}r^{n-j-1} + \dots + a_1r + 1} + \frac{1}{r}, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{b_1}{b_0} = \frac{\frac{1}{r^2} [a_1r + a_0]}{\frac{1}{r} \cdot a_0} = \frac{a_1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{r} > 1,$$

which fulfills the required condition for $n = 2$. Now we may assume that $n \geq 3$ and it remains to show that

$$\frac{b_{n-j}}{b_{n-j-1}} > 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-2.$$

But one has in this case

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_{n-j}}{b_{n-j-1}} &= \frac{a_{n-j}r^{n-j-1}}{a_{n-j-1}r^{n-j-1} + \dots + a_1r + a_0} + \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{a_{n-j}r^{n-j-1}}{a_{n-j-1}(r^{n-j-1} + \dots + r + 1)} + \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \frac{a_{n-j}r^{n-j-1}(r-1)}{a_{n-j-1}(r^{n-j}-1)} + \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{r^{n-j-1}(r-1)}{(r^{n-j}-1)} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{r^{n-j+1}-1}{r^{n-j+1}-r} > 1, \end{aligned}$$

as required. By Theorem 2.1, the theorem is proven. \square

Proof. (Second Proof of Theorem 2.2 Using Rouché's Theorem.) As in the first proof, $p(z)$ has a unique real root $r > 1$. Consider the polynomial

$$(z-1)p(z) = f(z) + g(z),$$

where

$$f(z) = -(a_{n-1} + 1)z^n, \quad g(z) = z^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})z^k + a_0.$$

On the unit circle $|z| = 1$, we have $|g(z)| \leq |f(z)|$ and equality holds only for $z = 1$, noting that $g(1) = 1 + a_{n-1}$ and using the triangle inequality. Consider the function $\psi(z) = |f(z)| - |g(z)|$. We know that $\psi(1) = 0$, and $\psi(z) > 0$ for all other z on the unit circle. Let

$$\varphi(r) = (a_{n-1} + 1)r^n - \left[r^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})r^k + a_0 \right].$$

It is easy to see that

$$\varphi(1) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi'(1) = -1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k > 0.$$

This shows that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\varphi(1 + \epsilon) > 0$, namely

$$(a_{n-1} + 1)(1 + \epsilon)^n - \left[(1 + \epsilon)^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})(1 + \epsilon)^k + a_0 \right] > 0.$$

Now on the circle $|z| = 1 + \epsilon$, we have

$$|f(z)| = (a_{n-1} + 1)(1 + \epsilon)^n, \quad |g(z)| \leq (1 + \epsilon)^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})(1 + \epsilon)^k + a_0.$$

It follows that for $|z| = 1 + \epsilon$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= |f(z)| - |g(z)| \\ &\geq (a_{n-1} + 1)(1 + \epsilon)^n - \left[(1 + \epsilon)^{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k-1})(1 + \epsilon)^k + a_0 \right] \\ &= \varphi(1 + \epsilon) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that on the circle $|z| = 1 + \epsilon$, we have $|g(z)| < |f(z)|$, hence by Rouché's Theorem, we conclude that $f(z)$ and $f(z) + g(z) = (z - 1)p(z)$ have the same number of n zeros inside the circle $|z| = 1 + \epsilon$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ shows that all the zeros of $p(z)$ with $|z| \leq 1$ lie inside or on $|z| = 1$. Note that if $|z| = 1$ and $p(z) = 0$, then $f(z) = -g(z)$ and therefore $|g(z)| = |f(z)|$. But as we mentioned in the beginning of the proof, this is only possible when $z = 1$. Since $p(1) < 0$, all the $n - 1$ roots of $p(z)$ with $|z| \leq 1$ lie inside $|z| < 1$. Together with the root $r > 1$ of $p(z)$, this accounts for all the n roots. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If $a_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq a_1 \geq 1$ with $n > 1$, then the equation*

$$p(x) := x^n - (a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + 1) = 0$$

has a real root > 1 and $n - 1$ roots of absolute values < 1 .

Proof. It suffices to check that the condition for Theorem 2.2 applies, but in this case $a_0 = 1$, and since $n > 1$, the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \geq a_1 + a_0 \geq 2 > 1$, as required. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are equivalent.*

Proof. In the first proof of Theorem 2.2, we show that Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 2.2.

Now let's show the converse, i.e. Theorem 2.2 implies Theorem 2.1. Let $q(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ be the given polynomial in Theorem 2.1, where $a_n > a_{n-1} > \dots > a_0 > 0$. Consider an auxiliary polynomial

$$g(z) := (z - r)q(z) = a_n z^{n+1} + (a_{n-1} - ra_n)z^n + \dots + (a_0 - ra_1)z - ra_0,$$

where r is a real number to be determined. Clearly the roots of polynomial $g(z)$ are the same as those of

$$\frac{g(z)}{a_n} = z^{n+1} - \left[\frac{ra_n - a_{n-1}}{a_n} \cdot z^n + \dots + \frac{ra_1 - a_0}{a_n} z + \frac{ra_0}{a_n} \right],$$

where the coefficients of the polynomial in the brackets are positive if $r \geq 1$, and for $r = 1$, these coefficients sum up to 1. Now to apply Theorem 2.2, it suffices to choose $r > 1$ such that

$$ra_n - a_{n-1} \geq ra_{n-1} - a_{n-2} \geq \dots \geq ra_1 - a_0 \geq ra_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow r \geq \frac{a_k - a_{k-1}}{a_{k+1} - a_k} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n - 1,$$

where $a_{-1} = 0$. It follows that we may just choose any real number $r > 1$ such that

$$r \geq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{a_k - a_{k-1}}{a_{k+1} - a_k}.$$

Then Theorem 2.2 says that there is a unique root of $g(z)$ greater than 1 (necessarily r) and all other roots are of absolute values less than 1. These other n roots are the zeros of $q(z)$, as required. \square

3 Conclusion

Having established the equivalence between the Kirikami-inspired variant and the classic Eneström-Kekeya Theorem, we conclude by examining the broader implications of these results. Our discussion is twofold: we first explore the practical and theoretical utility of the variant across various domains, and subsequently outline prospective research directions. In particular, we provide an example demonstrating how this equivalence serves as a mechanism for recasting existing generalizations into new, potentially more versatile forms.

3.1 Applications of Theorem 2.2

The versatility of Theorem 2.2 allows it to bridge the gap between abstract mathematics and tangible real-world systems. Its applications are broad, spanning several critical fields:

3.1.1 Real-World Applications

- Population Dynamics: Utilizing the Leslie matrix to model age-structured growth and changes in biological populations.
- Finance: Calculating the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) to assess the profitability of potential investments.
- Reliability Engineering: Determining the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) in survival analysis to predict system longevity.
- Information Technology: Powering search engine ranking algorithms (like PageRank) by analyzing the link structure of the web.
- Epidemiology: Modeling the spread of infectious diseases and predicting outbreak trajectories within populations.
- Control Theory: Ensuring system stability and optimizing feedback loops in engineering applications.

3.1.2 Theoretical Applications

Beyond practical implementation, Theorem 2.2 provides foundational insights into:

- Linear Recurrent Sequences: Analyzing the long-term behavior of sequences defined by linear recurrence relations.
- Number Theory: Exploring properties of algebraic integers and distribution patterns within numerical sets.

3.2 Future Research Directions

While Theorem 2.1 is supported by extensive literature, Theorem 2.2 – despite its prevalence in various contexts – has not yet been subjected to the same depth of analysis or generalization. This note establishes a formal bridge between the two theorems via suitable transformations. By establishing this link, we provide a framework to translate the well-documented generalizations of Theorem 2.1 into new, rigorous extensions for Theorem 2.2.

To illustrate what we describe above, let's present an example by using the following more general result of Kakeya [2] (see also Theorem 1.4 of [4]).

Theorem 3.1. *If $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n with real and positive coefficients, then all the zeros of p lie in the annulus $R_1 \leq |z| \leq R_2$ where $R_1 = \min_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} a_j/a_{j+1}$ and $R_2 = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} a_j/a_{j+1}$.*

Example 3.2. *Give bounds for the zeros of the polynomial*

$$p(z) = z^4 - \sum_{k=0}^3 a_k z^k = z^4 - \frac{4}{9}z^3 - \frac{1}{3}z^2 - \frac{2}{9}z - \frac{1}{9}.$$

Solution. Observe that the coefficients $a_k, 0 \leq k \leq 3$, satisfy $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} a_k = \frac{10}{9} > 1$. We would like to determine the bounds for the absolute values of the zeros by applying Theorem 3.1. We may use Newton's method or technology to determine an approximation of the real zero

$$r \approx 1.0548.$$

Using the relations in the first proof of Theorem 2.2 and write

$$p(z) = (z - r)(b_3 z^3 + b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0) = (z - r)q(z).$$

We have

$$b_3 = 1, b_2 = r - a_3 \approx 0.6104, b_1 = rb_2 - a_2 \approx 0.3105, b_0 = rb_1 - a_1 \approx 0.1053,$$

whence

$$\frac{b_0}{b_1} \approx 0.3391, \frac{b_1}{b_2} \approx 0.5087, \frac{b_2}{b_3} \approx 0.6104.$$

Now by Theorem 3.1, all the zeros of $q(z)$ should stay in the interval $[0.3391, 0.6104]$. This agrees with the zeros $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ of p found via technology, namely

$$x_1 \approx 1.0548$$

$$x_2 \approx -0.0828 + 0.4796i, |x_2| \approx 0.4867$$

$$x_3 \approx -0.0828 - 0.4796i, |x_3| \approx 0.4867$$

$$x_4 \approx -0.4447, |x_4| \approx 0.4447.$$

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Seiichi Kirikami for his inspiring question and insightful suggestion. We also thank the editors for their assistance and the reviewers for their valuable comments, which significantly improved the flow, breadth, and relevance of this article.

References

- [1] Eneström, G. Härledning af en allmän formel för antalet framtida dödsfall inom en avslutad grupp, med hänsyn tagen till den sannolika varaktigheten af de enskilda personernas lif. *Öfversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar*. 1893, 50, 405-415.
- [2] Takeya, S. On the limits of the roots of an algebraic equation with positive coefficients. *Tôhoku Math. J. First Ser.* 1912, 2, 140-142.
- [3] Eneström, G. Remarque sur un théorème relatif aux racines de l'équation $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$ où tous les coefficients sont réels et positifs. *Tôhoku Math. J.* 1920, 18, 34-36.
- [4] Gardner R.B., Govil N. Eneström–Takeya Theorem and Some of Its Generalizations. In *Current Topics in Pure and Computational Complex Analysis*; DOI: 10.1007/978-81-322-2113-5.8; 2014.
- [5] Marden, M. *Geometry of Polynomials* (2nd ed. Mathematical Surveys No. 3). 1966, American Mathematical Society.
- [6] Hurwitz, A. Über einen Satz des Herrn Takeya. *Tôhoku Math. J. First Ser.* 1913, 4, 89-93
- [7] Anderson, N., Saff, E.B., and Varga, R.S. On the Eneström–Takeya Theorem and its Sharpness. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 1979, 28, 5-16.