

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126336
Title of the Manuscript:	VEGETABLE GRAFTING: An Overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Grafting is old and important practices/propagation method and vegetable grafting nowadays is gaining importance and being practiced on a large scale. Even few farmers are adopting it. This article gives complete information from age old to the recent.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	SUITABLE	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO SUGGESTION	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	APPROPROATE	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NO CORRECTION NEEDED. This manuscript will provide full fledged information about vegetable grafting. It is well written in easy language. It will surely benefit to the students, readers and scientific community, too.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	NO SUGGESTION	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Key words needs to be changed.	
Optional/General comments	NO	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harsh S. Hathi
Department, University & Country	Aspee College Of Horticulture, Agricultural University, India