

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124039
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Literacy Skills among Students in Technical Education and Training (TET) Institutions, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Digital literacy is the need of today's world. The research problem is innovative and as per the global demand. This manuscript written as per the standard format of paper writing. Abstracts and key words are there.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is written in scientific manner. Author should avoid citation in abstract. Example.. Prasertsin (2017)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript is appropriate and as per the standard format of paper writing.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author made proper citation wherever it is necessary. Introduction and Review of related literature written properly. Research methodology also have research design, population and sampling details, data collection process and data analysis technique.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Author should write the reference in APA latest 7 th Edition style only. Author must prepare reference list as citation given in the paper. (All the citation must included in the reference list) More reference should be added. At least 20 reference should be included in the research paper.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Minor Revision Comments - Reference as per APA 7th Edition Styles. - All citation must be included in reference list. - At least 20 reference must be included in reference list. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganpatsinh S.Patel
Department, University & Country	A.G.Teachers College, India