

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_123464</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Farm Profile Attributes and Adoption of ITK by Rice-Growing Organic Farmers in Tamil Nadu</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is very important to the scientific community because it contributes to the advancement of knowledge and decision making in the field of agriculture, soil management and environmental sciences. The study reveals that majority of the farmers having secondary level of education (31.67 %), twenty years of organic farming experience (75 %), contacts with extension officials (45 %), information obtained through mass media (73 %) and actively participating in social activities (45 %). Panchagavya has been used by almost 68 per cent of the farmers for nutrient management and Meenamulam used by majority (68 %) of the farmers. The other commonly used ITK concoctions include Jeevamirtham 20 (ml/lit), Amirthakaraisal 10 (ml/lit), five leaf extract 30 (ml/lit), 3G extract 50 (ml/lit), Themor karaisal 100 (ml/lit). This information could aid in decision making for organic farming and plant-nutrients management in the study area.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is not suitable  <b>“Farm Profile Attributes and Adoption of Indigenous Technical Knowledge (ITK) by Rice-Growing Organic Farmers in Tamil Nadu, India”</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive and needs the addition of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The aim in the abstract is not comprehensive</li> <li>• Add key words to the abstract like <i>Indigenous Technical Knowledge Practices, Organic Farmers, Nutrient Management, Organic Concoctions, Farm Profile Attributes, Rice</i></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Under the materials and methods of study, add geographic location, coordinates and location map of the study area to the description</li> <li>• Link your discussions with related literature review</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust because its data is original and it tackles the problem of soil health and nutrient management towards sustainable agriculture, thus meeting the needs of the increasing world population.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>            :</p>	<p>The references are recent but not sufficient            Add this references            Kunghe G, Azinwi Tamfuh P, Mamdem LE, Ndzana GM, Bitom D, Tematio P. (2023). Morphology, Physico-Chemical Characteristics, Nutrient Status and Fertility Capability Classification of Andosols under Different Land Use Systems in Foubot (Cameroon Western Highlands). <i>Journal of Earth and Atmospheric Science</i>. 7:041</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kunghe Genesis</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>