

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122587</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Forecasting Stock Prices In Nigeria Using Bayesian Vector Autoregression</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Financial Forecasting</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study holds the various scientific methodology of Minnesota, Steady state, BVAR, and Stochastic Search variable selection. This may support on the growing body of literature. It may also supports to the policy makers and investors in Nigeria. It can be concluded that it is useful to the society of financial forecasting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author has to add the reason "why Bayesian Vector Auto regression" is important in forecasting when comparing with other techniques (instead of providing the rare usage of the analysis). What is the result from the analysis can be given in the short form. Mention the difference of Bayesian priors and the impact of the forecasting result. Highlight the Minnesota prior in comparison and provide the explanation of how it is outperformed than other models. Clarity is required in the final statement of abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author applied rarely used techniques in the study Bayesian Vector Autoregression. The research given the explanation of using the various techniques on this article. The statistical analysis and techniques chosen appropriately.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The literatures mentioned in the overall paper is not fully captured in the "References".	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Angelin S. Kiruba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>St. Joseph's College of Engineering, India</b>