

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_126001
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF COLON SPECIFIC MELOXICAM MICROCAPSULES FOR THE TREATMENT OF ARTHRITIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Choice of the drug good, disease curing also properly occurred.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The drug will come under poor soluble. Not yet mentioned any solubility data in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate but the solubility data not mentioned.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Solubility data. Solubility enhancement study. Dissolution also how was enhanced. These data is need.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add the references related to the dissolution and solubility enhancement articles.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision. need to add this data	
Optional/General comments	Some study data is need to add for the justification of the work. Like solubility data dissolution data enhancement studies. Microspheres generally recommended sizes are not in the limit. F2 batch is good asper author. Not justified in what way it is suitable batch for optimization.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kranthi Kumar K
Department, University & Country	College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Dayananda Sagar University, India