

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126275
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic diversity analysis of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) genotypes for yield and sheath blight resistance Screening is more relevant than resistance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No resistant variety still develop against ShB due to variability of <i>R. solani</i> . The researcher made a good effort. Screening is required to find out the genotype.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Genetic diversity analysis of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) genotypes for yield and sheath blight resistance Screening is more relevant than resistance	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Improvement is needed to make it more structureable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is more or less ok.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Three replications are required to concluded a remarkable result. Local germplasms have been caring any targeted gene, so Screening of 30 genotypes is a fruitful work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. But references no 13 is not seen at this manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication but opportunity has to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Analysis of relative contributions of the characters of 30 rice genotypes to the total divergence could be done as well as the Selection of characters for future improvement should be mentioned clearly. More interpretation also needed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakiah Rahman Moni
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh