

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_123531  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of NaCl stress on seedling parameter of various chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.) genotypes and its mitigation through hormonal priming. |
| Type of the Article      | Research paper  |

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | The article is about the effect of NaCl stress on seedling parameter of various chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.) genotypes and its mitigation through hormonal priming. This research can be useful to the chilli growers dealing with the Salt stress and how hormonal priming namely, GA <sub>3</sub> , Salicylic acid, Ascorbic acid and IAA can be useful in mitigating the harmful effect of salt stress on young chilli seedling. |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Yes  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes  |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes, but proper numbering should be provided to the headings and subheadings. Concise the introduction part, add disclaimer, competing interest and acknowledgement.   |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Yes, it is scientifically correct, as it quoted so many references in support of the results in the form of discussion and also provided the material-material mentioning the formula, also the result tables with suitable headings.  |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Yes, but some of the old references can be avoided. Rewrite the references according to the Journals' guidelines.  |  |
| Minor REVISION comments   |  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Yes  |  |
| Optional/General comments   |  |  |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Parul Mehra</b>                            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, India</b> |