

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_123405
Title of the Manuscript:	Intra-familial Child Sexual Abuse among Adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria: Analyzing contributing factors and victim-perpetrator characteristics.
Type of the Article	Cross Sectional Study – Original Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it sheds light on the high prevalence and unique characteristics of intra-familial child sexual abuse among adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria. The detailed examination of victim-perpetrator dynamics, particularly the significant number of female perpetrators, offers new perspectives that challenge traditional understandings and highlight the need for tailored prevention strategies. While the manuscript is insightful, extending its implications to broader policy discussions could enhance its impact, helping to inform more effective interventions and research directions in similar socio-cultural contexts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a succinct overview of the study's background, methods, results, and conclusions. It effectively summarizes the main findings, highlighting the high prevalence of intra-familial child sexual abuse and the socio-demographic factors associated with it, which is valuable for capturing the reader's interest and understanding the study's significance at a glance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript, based on the details provided, appears to follow a standard scientific format that is appropriate for effectively communicating research findings. The key sections are present: Abstract, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, and References. This organization helps readers navigate the study and understand its flow from hypothesis through to conclusions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its use of a structured, cross-sectional study design, which is appropriate for examining the prevalence and characteristics of intra-familial child sexual abuse among adolescents. The employment of a multistage sampling technique enhances the representativeness of the sample, thus strengthening the reliability of the findings. Additionally, the use of validated statistical methods (e.g., chi-square tests for categorical data and t-tests for continuous variables) and adherence to a significance threshold of $p \leq 0.05$ ensure that the data analysis is rigorous and the conclusions drawn are supported by empirical evidence.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	From the provided details, the manuscript seems to utilize a range of references, including recent studies and relevant older foundational research, which helps to anchor the study within the existing body of knowledge.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article generally appear suitable for scholarly communication. The terminology is appropriate for the academic audience, and the structure of sentences facilitates clear communication of the research findings.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi Rai Dangi
Department, University & Country	Manikaka Topawala Institute of Nursing, Charotar University of Science and Technology, India