

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_123405
Title of the Manuscript:	Intra-familial Child Sexual Abuse among Adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria: Analyzing contributing factors and victim-perpetrator characteristics.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it sheds light on the alarming prevalence of intra-familial child sexual abuse in Rivers State, Nigeria, highlighting significant demographic trends and barriers to disclosure. Its findings challenge existing perceptions about victimization and underscore the urgent need for targeted prevention strategies. The study's comprehensive approach provides valuable insights that can inform future research and policy-making in child protection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article appears to be suitable as it directly reflects the focus of the study on the prevalence and characteristics of intra-familial child sexual abuse among adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria. However, if a more descriptive title is desired, an alternative could be: ***Prevalence and Characteristics of Intra-Familial Child Sexual Abuse Among Adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria: A Comprehensive Study*** . This alternative emphasizes both the scope and the specific demographic focus of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract of the article should provide a clear overview of the study's objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. If it lacks any of these elements, I suggest the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objectives: Ensure that the specific aims of the study are clearly stated at the beginning. 2. Methods: Include a brief description of the methodology used, such as sample size and data collection techniques. 3. Key Findings: Highlight the most significant results, particularly any statistics regarding prevalence rates or demographic trends. 4. Implications: Conclude with a statement on the implications of the findings for policy or practice in child protection. <p>These additions would enhance the comprehensiveness of the abstract and provide readers with a clearer understanding of the study's significance. Apart from this abstract of the manuscript should be written in 250 words.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate, as they follow a logical flow that includes an introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. This organization facilitates a clear understanding of the study's objectives, methods, and findings. Additionally, the inclusion of ethical considerations and demographic details enhances the manuscript's comprehensiveness and rigor.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its comprehensive methodology, which includes a well-defined sample size and a self-administered questionnaire tailored to gather relevant data on intra-familial child sexual abuse. The use of statistical analysis, including descriptive statistics and chi-square tests, adds rigor to the findings, allowing for meaningful interpretations of the data collected. Furthermore, the ethical considerations outlined, such as obtaining consent and ensuring participant anonymity, reflect a commitment to ethical research practices, enhancing the credibility of the study. Overall, the manuscript's structured approach and adherence to ethical standards contribute to its technical soundness and reliability in addressing a critical issue in child protection.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient in number and cover a range of relevant studies related to child sexual abuse. However, to enhance the manuscript's credibility and ensure it reflects the most current research, I suggest including more recent studies published within the last five years that focus on intra-familial child sexual abuse and its implications. Additionally, incorporating references that discuss prevention strategies and intervention programs could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the topic.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication, as it effectively conveys the research objectives, methods, and findings. However, there are instances where clarity could be improved, particularly in complex sentences or technical jargon that may not be easily understood by all readers. Minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing should also be addressed to enhance readability. Overall, with some revisions for clarity and precision, the manuscript can meet the standards expected in academic publications.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript addresses a critical issue regarding intra-familial child sexual abuse among adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria, and provides valuable insights into its prevalence and characteristics. The study's findings are significant for informing policy and intervention strategies in child protection. However, enhancing the clarity of the language and ensuring the inclusion of more recent references would strengthen the overall quality of the manuscript. Additionally, addressing minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing will improve readability and comprehension for a broader audience.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ali
Department, University & Country	Al-Madinah International University, Malaysia