

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_123405
Title of the Manuscript:	Intra-familial Child Sexual Abuse among Adolescents in Rivers State, Nigeria: Analyzing contributing factors and victim-perpetrator characteristics.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well-written article in an area that is not yet thoroughly explored in the context of child sexual abuse (CSA). The article is significant in the scientific field as it identifies the determinants of familial sexual abuse patterns, aiding in the prediction of these patterns and supporting children and adolescents who are victims of sexual abuse.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is informative, clear and concise and suitable. You can add the study type withing the title (cross-sectional retrospective study)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, its comprehensive and informative. You can make this phrase clearer: "giving a male to female ratio of IF CSA of 1:2".	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate, you can consider adding limitations, funds, conflict of interests.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is scientifically robust because its design effectively addressed the research question and objectives. Although the sample size was slightly smaller than initially calculated, it was still large enough to support valid conclusions. The use of a multistage sampling technique provided a solid basis for the generalizability of the findings. The statistical analyses were thorough and correctly applied, making the results and conclusions both acceptable and accurate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<p>There is some older references that can be updated:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Fischer, D. G., & McDonald, W. L. (1998). Characteristics of intrafamilial and extrafamilial child sexual abuse. <i>Child abuse & neglect</i>, 22(9), 915-929 2- Ungar, M., Barter, K., McConnell, S. M., Tutty, L. M., & Fairholm, J. Patterns of abuse disclosure among youth. <i>Qualitative Social Work</i>, 2009; 8(3), 341-356. 3- Crawford, S. L. Intrafamilial sexual abuse: What we think we know about mothers, and implications for intervention. <i>Journal of Child Sexual Abuse</i>, 1999; 7(3): 55-72. 4- Halperin DS, Bouvier P, Jaffe PD, et al. Prevalence of child sexual abuse among adolescents in Geneva: results of a cross-sectional survey. <i>BMJ</i>, 1996; 312:1326. 5- Oyedeki GA. Socio-economic and cultural background of hospitalized children in Ilesha. <i>Nig J Paed</i>. 1985; 12: 111-7. <p>We also noticed that majority of the references is in APA styles, but few are MLA styles, this can be revised and unified.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Generally, the language is formal, the article is suitable for communications. Minor editing can be helpful: Avoid alternating between "Child Sexual Abuse" and "CSA" after the abbreviation has been defined. Use this rule in all abbreviation you used.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaa Jadidi
Department, University & Country	Saudi Arabia