

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_124070
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of SGLT2 Inhibitors in Reducing Cardiovascular Mortality in Heart Failure: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Type of the Article	REVIEW

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This article provides a valuable overview of the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on cardiovascular outcomes in heart failure patients, highlighting a significant reduction in both cardiovascular mortality and heart failure hospitalizations. The emphasis on SGLT2 inhibitors' benefits beyond glycemic control is well-supported by data from recent clinical trials, underscoring their potential as a primary treatment option for heart failure. However, the review would benefit from a more detailed analysis of the underlying mechanisms by which SGLT2 inhibitors exert their cardiovascular benefits and a discussion of potential limitations or variations in effects across different patient populations. Including a more comprehensive evaluation of the long-term safety and efficacy of these therapies in diverse groups would strengthen the conclusions and guide future research.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anghel Larisa
Department, University & Country	„Grigore T. Popa” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania