

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Microbiology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMB_123198</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Improving sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L.) production by using <i>Azospirillum</i> sp. AZ6 and <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> T4-based biostimulants</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript has addressed a very sensitive issue and tried to contribute with a very good ecofriendly approaches to increase the production of sorghum. Such ecofriendly alternative should be promoted and extensively researched to avoid the over use of chemicals fertilizers on agricultural crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because author has followed all the standard producer and methods of research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Good	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vijay Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central Agricultural University (H Q Imphal), India</b>