

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_125768
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Farmers' Lifestyles in Rural Turkey on the Sustainability of Agricultural Production
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript reinforces the typical patriarchal pattern as seen in the most part of the globe. It also engages with the subject that male farmers depend upon the collective wisdom of their peers while making business decisions w.r.t their crops. It also highlights that at places religious factors such as what is allowed in the religion is factored in while making their business decisions. The study also shows that as on date more of the agrarian societies have not made science central to their decision making. The womenfolk has been sparsely represented which requires the author to dwell deeper into the mechanics of decision making involving (or living out) women folk out of the scope of the paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title of the article is not focussed on sustainability rather than decision making with peer support Agrarian Economies in Turkey: Case study of a community-based decision making	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author may dwell deep into the dynamics of community meetings with regards to the agriculture maturity in both the coffee meetings and religious meetings. Do they only take decisions for selling or they also talk of various agronomic practices, suggested improvements, new technologies etc. in such meetings. They also may like to talk about women involvement or scope of their interventions for a more broad based approach in decision making.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	A degree of repeatability has been noted, it could be resolved by adding few facets of farming in terms of irrigation, harvesting or post-harvesting modalities. Incidentally no mention of the crops and its challenges/best practices has been mentioned in the entire article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There has been mention of statistics mention in terms of co-relations; including of crops and its co-relation to markets, reasoning that go in the community meetings will give more insights of the drift/view of the peer discussions which are not handled in greater details.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The article may dwell to a degree in terms of gender inclusion. There can be more references from Asia Pacific that has gender balance in decision making.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is simple and easy to understand.	
Optional/General comments	The article should submit some inferences/ way forward that should reflect the current socio-economic status of rural Turkey. There should be also mention of some upgradation of decision making with IoT coming to every nook and corner of the globe.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manish Pande
Department, University & Country	India