

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126021
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing chrysanthemum varietal performance and genetic variability under Jhalawar condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Conducting this research helps in understanding the genetic variation of the chrysanthemum plant in order to develop varieties of this plant. 2- Conducting this research contributes to enhancing the systematic preservation of different types of chrysanthemums 3- This research helps identify the genes responsible for important traits, facilitating the process of selective breeding 4- This research helps understand how chrysanthemums adapt to different environmental conditions 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The research was distinguished by the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Comprehensive scientific background on the research topic 2- Adherence to scientific quality and following the appropriate scientific methodology for the nature of the research, Presentation of reliable results. 3- The research was distinguished by the organization and coherence between the sections. 4- The research adopted the correct scientific approach. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No issues

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jalal Hameed Ali
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Sumer, Iraq