

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125008
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Herbivore-Induced Plant Volatiles in Tri-trophic Interactions and Pest Management</b>
Type of the Article	Review paper

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I was not too fond of the manuscript as the content is too short for a Review paper and undecipherable. Moreover, there are many articles available relating to the current topic which explain it comprehensively. Nevertheless, the topic is significant to the scientific community as the study tries to explain about the tritrophic interactions involving herbivores, VOCS, and plants.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract looks satisfactory.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Satisfactory.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific writing needs comprehensive explanations, particularly in a review paper. The author has not justified the topic in the current study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The quantity of the references is satisfactory. However, the references cited do not reflect the latest articles. Kindly, check some latest articles.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Satisfactory	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is not suitable for publication at the current state. The author needs to make more input and through explanations in all the sections. Too short to regard as a review paper.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arup Khakhlari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Don Bosco University, India</b>