

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_124882
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantification of the antiradical potential and evaluation of the antibacterial activity of the stem and root barks of <i>Cassia sieberiana</i> : a plant used in traditional medicine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Importance of the work due to antioxidant which would be capable of traditionally treating various pathologies. 2. Antibacterial and antioxidant properties are discussed in the manuscript. 3. Due to formulating a phytomedicine with antioxidant and antibacterial significance	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not in proper way but adequate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not Appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically correct. Some corrections are required.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but recent references are few.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable. A lot of grammatical mistakes are there in the whole manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manju Lata Joshi
Department, University & Country	Maharana Pratap Govt. Degree College, India