

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_123563
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of seed treatment of boron, cobalt and molybdenum on growth parameter, grain yield and seed quality in soybean ( <i>Glycine max L.</i> ) under Inceptisol.
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is highly interesting read as it provides details information related to the effects of boron, cobalt and molybdenum on plant growth. This information is very useful for the researchers and other scientific communities. The method in this article was written also very detailed so that it can be easily followed and the discussion is able to represent the results of this research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, indeed but it would be better to reconsider the title so that it doesn't put too much emphasis on the word "effect" but rather on the results and objectives of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes its comprehensive but please don't write down the tittle in the abstract and please find other word to keywords that are not in the title to broaden the search in terms of citations in the future.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes but need little bit such a prologue as connecting sentence between subsections	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is quite robust and interesting technically. The information details provided is very useful. Technically, it is easy to follow, so it can be used as reference material in the future.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Particularly in the discussion, there are several of references with rather old publication years; it would be preferable if references with more current publications were used in the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Be commit in write the chemical materials/molecules	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dwi Astuti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>