

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_121284
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening and Wound Healing Ability of Ethanol Extract of Moringa oleifera Lam. Leaves on Excisional Wound in Guinea Pig (<i>Cavia porcellus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>In this manuscript, the author performed 'Phytochemical Screening and Wound Healing Ability of Ethanol Extract of <i>Moringa oleifera Lam.</i>'. The manuscript is well prepared according to the journal's standards. However, the following comments and suggestions are given to the author to improve the quality of the article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. If the plant is authenticated by the National Herbarium, the author needs to show the plant authentication ID number.2. The authors have different citation styles like Seyfe et al. (2017) and [15,16,17]. They have to use the same referencing style throughout the manuscript.3. The authors need to check grammatical errors and plagiarism carefully4. Some of the condensed chemical formulas are not correct like $AlCl_3$.5. The authors used only maceration rather than Soxhlet extraction. Would you explain the reason? Why do they only use ethanol rather than other organic solvents?6. The authors have carefully studied the wound-healing ability and qualitative phytochemical screenings of the plant extract. Which phytochemical has shown a higher wound healing ability from the qualitatively identified ones? In another way, the authors need to isolate constituents by column chromatography and carefully study their biological activities and toxicity. <p>10% <i>M. oleifera</i> extract ointment has shown better wound healing. What would be if you used above 10%, like 50 or 100% <i>M. oleifera</i> extract ointment?</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wondu Dagnaw
Department, University & Country	College of Sciences, Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia