

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_125232
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinician's Perspectives and Prescription Practice of sodium valproate in the Management of migraine, bipolar disorder, and seizures in Indian Healthcare settings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Information on sodium valproate prescribing in neurological disorders such as migraine, bipolar disorder and seizures is summarized. Although not a very comprehensive study, similar results were obtained in line with the literature. I would have expected a table of questions to be included in the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is sufficient.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction section is not sufficient. Studies that are similar to the study should be mentioned. Survey questions should also be added to the study as a table.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There has been a research study that overlaps with the results of similar studies found in the literature and mentioned in the manuscript. Its scope can be increased. Innovation can be added, but in this case, the results in the literature have been scientifically confirmed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Previously conducted similar study results may be included in the INTRODUCTION section. The references presented in their current form are up-to-date.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erdem Tuncer
Department, University & Country	Kocaeli University, Turkey