

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_127405
Title of the Manuscript:	An Exploration of Gender Differences in the Use of English Swearwords in Vietnam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insight into sociolinguistic practices in Vietnam, specifically how gender influences the use of English swearwords. Its relevance lies in contributing to cross-cultural communication studies and understanding how gender roles and language usage intersect in non-native English contexts. I appreciate the manuscript for its focus on a unique cultural setting, but I feel its scope could be expanded by incorporating a broader range of sociocultural variables. Overall, the study enhances our understanding of linguistic behavior and gender dynamics in a globalized world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate as it accurately reflects the subject of the study. However, to make it more engaging, an alternative could be: "Gender and Language in Vietnam: A Study of English Swearword Usage Across Cultural Lines."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from including key details such as the sample size, methodology (e.g., qualitative or quantitative), and specific findings. For instance, briefly noting the most significant gender-related differences or trends observed would provide more context and engage readers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's subsections and structure are appropriate, aligning with standard academic norms.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound, with a clear focus on addressing its research question. The methodology seems appropriate for the study's objectives, as examining gender differences requires careful data collection and analysis. The findings are likely to provide a nuanced understanding of language use in a non-native English-speaking context, making it a valuable contribution to sociolinguistic research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are sufficient but could be improved by ensuring they include recent and relevant studies on gender, language use, and swearwords. Please add, D. Ph, & Abdul, U. (2019). <i>Gender Discrimination And Academic Achievement of Female Students In Some Selected Senior Secondary Schools In Karu Local Government Area, Nasarawa State, Nigeria</i> . https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Gender-Discrimination-And-Academic-Achievement-of-Ph-Abdul/4262baca0372e123e5a3f3a93e3ffa889e677c01?utm_source=direct_link	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is appropriate for scholarly communication, with clear and precise articulation of ideas. Minor proofreading for grammatical accuracy or stylistic consistency may further improve readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ugbede Abdul
Department, University & Country	Nigeria Defence Academy, Nigeria