

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_127405
Title of the Manuscript:	An Exploration of Gender Differences in the Use of English Swearwords in Vietnam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is novel as it highlights the contradiction between language and gender among native and non-native speakers in their use of English swearwords. It also emphasizes the influence of sociocultural factors in determining how Vietnamese speakers use English swearwords. The introduction of the study clearly articulates its scope.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Although the abstract appears comprehensive, it is suggested to include a problem statement. Please revise the abstract for grammar, capitalization, and other mechanical aspects.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subheading 2.1 can be revised, for example: 'Approaches to Gender Differences in the Use of the English Language.' Although the content under subheading 2.2 appears to be comprehensive, it is suggested to elaborate on Vygotsky's cultural mediation theory to align with its subheading.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The binary approach provides robust results on the use of English swearwords by analyzing gender differences among Vietnamese learners.</li> <li>2. The use of English and native language swearwords is well-explained from the perspective of the socio-cultural context.</li> <li>3. The incorporation of Lakoff's framework offers comprehensive insights into how Vietnamese learners navigate their native and English languages within their socio-cultural context.</li> <li>4. The findings of the study reveal distinct differences in the use of English swearwords between Western and Asian societies</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Although the manuscript is comprehensive, thorough proofreading and editing are required to enhance clarity and comprehension.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Under the methodology section, please provide justification for using the binary approach and explain how the data collected from introspection was analyzed.</b>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jayanthi A/P Muniandy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Languages, Literacies, and Translations, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia</b>