

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123422
Title of the Manuscript:	Implications Of Parental Engagement To The Students In Home-School Learning
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is significant because it seeks to gather the opinions of those who are often less heard in educational discussions—students. Most studies focus on the perspectives of teachers, administrators, and stakeholders regarding parents' roles, but fewer address the viewpoints of the students themselves. By incorporating students' perspectives, the study aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of parental engagement and how it might be better established.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title "IMPLICATIONS OF PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT TO THE STUDENTS IN HOME-SCHOOL LEARNING" is misleading because it seems like the parents and not the students. Also, it seems that the focus could be on how students feel about their parents' role in their learning, how they perceive this involvement affecting their education, and what students believe are the benefits or drawbacks of their parents' engagement. The following may be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students' Perceptions of Parental Engagement: Impact on Home-School Learning Dynamics • Exploring the Role of Parental Involvement Through Students' Eyes: Benefits and Drawbacks in Home-School Learning • Students' Views on Parental Involvement in Education: Perceived Impact and Effectiveness in Home-School Learning 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Please revise your abstract to be more comprehensive, while ensuring that it adheres to the word count required by the journal. Kindly implement the following suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check grammar; do not use 'shall': <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➢ Change "This study involved ten (10) informants, composed of one he/she shall be a grade 4 pupil currently enrolled in S.Y. 2021-2022 and shall study before and during the pandemic. Colaizzi's data analysis approach was also employed in this study." to "Ten (10) Grade 4 pupils, currently enrolled in S.Y. 2021-2022 and who had been studying both before and during the pandemic, participated in the interview. Colaizzi's data analysis approach was later used to analyze the interview data." • If qualitative, use the term 'findings' instead of 'results.' • In the keywords, avoid repeating terms already found in your title. For example, if 'parental engagement' is mentioned in the title, replace it with a relevant term directly related to your study in the abstract. This broadens the search scope for your study once it is published. • Change covid19 to COVID-19 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>The subsections and structure were not followed. The researcher(s) did not adhere to the journal template (https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/general-guideline-for-authors), as there is an 'Implication' section after the findings and discussion, which is not part of the template. The manuscript must follow the essential sections outlined in the journal, which are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. INTRODUCTION 2. METHODOLOGY 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4. CONCLUSION 5. (Other parts, including references) <p>Also, kindly implement the following suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology: Create a subsection for 'Research Design' before 'Participants.' This allows for an explanation of why a 'descriptive-phenomenological study' was chosen." Although it does not appear to be a phenomenological study, as the experiences were only explored superficially, it is suggested to use 'descriptive-qualitative study.' • Results and Discussion: Since this is a qualitative study, change 'results' to 'findings.' 	

Review Form 3

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>While it is given that the researcher(s) interviewed participants, the study lacks scientific robustness and technical soundness because the researcher(s) does/do not establish a strong research gap or problem before stating the objective. This raises the question: why are you conducting this study? If this is clarified and reflected in the introduction, then it can be considered a credible research study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>As per count, the researcher(s) put 27 references. Unfortunately the following do not have in-text citations in the main manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Doyle, L., McCabe, C., Keogh, B., Brady, A., & McCann, M. (2020). An overview of the qualitative descriptive design within nursing research. <i>Journal of Research in Nursing</i>, 25(5), 443-455. • Ministerio de Educación, Gobierno de Chile (2017). <i>Política de Participación de las Familias y la Comunidades las Instituciones Educativas</i>. • Roth Eichin, N., and Volante Beach, P. (2018). Liderando alianzas entre escuelas, familias y comunidades: una revisión sistemática. <i>Rev. Complut. Educ.</i> 29, 595–611. doi: 10.5209/RCED.53526 <p>This paper contains only 24 references, while at least 25 cited references are required for journal publication or more that are reflected in the introduction. I also reviewed your article's reference list and noticed several major citation issues. Additionally, we do not use 'et al.' in the references; all authors must be listed. Furthermore, many references are missing key elements such as volume numbers, issue numbers, page numbers, and even DOIs or article links. It is highly recommended that the researcher(s) revise their references according to the reference style provided by the journal (https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/general-guideline-for-authors).</p> <p>Lastly, the researcher(s) must ensure that all in-text citations are reflected in the reference list. It was noted that several citations in the text are missing from the references section.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check grammar thoroughly. If you encounter difficulties, it is recommended to use Grammarly, as it is widely used in the country. • Ensure the paper has zero grammatical errors. Published papers with grammar issues are often not cited, which negatively impacts the journal and publisher. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use the template provided by the journal to ensure that no additional parts are included and all required sections are added. The template also contains specific formats for writing and captioning figures and tables. • The journal does not use APA format for references. Refer to the reference style and examples provided in the journal template. • For original articles, the required word count is 3,000 to 6,000 words, including all sections. However, the manuscript contains nearly 10,000 words (exactly 9,738). It is suggested to adhere to the required word limit by making the content concise, informative, and systematic. • The first subsection, "Implications of Parental Engagement in Students' Home-School Learning," does not reflect the first question, "How were your school learning experiences with your parents?" unlike the other subsections. The researcher(s) should rephrase this section for better alignment. • In-text citations, both parenthetical and narrative, must be corrected. Some examples of incorrectly formatted citations include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amedeo Giorgi (1985) → this is a full name, so it must be Giorgi (1985). The problem also for this is it is outdated and there is no reference. Please double check the other citations if they have references. - According to (Prior & Gerard, 2017), → According to Prior and Gerard (2017) - Evidence suggests that social isolation during the pandemic was associated with loneliness, negative consequences on mental health, and other health-related behaviors for children Loades et al. (2020) & López-Bueno et al. (2020). → Evidence suggests that social isolation during the pandemic was associated with loneliness, negative consequences on mental health, and other health-related behaviors for children (Loades et al., 2020; López-Bueno et al., 2020). • Finally, it's a red flag that there are more citations in the findings and discussion sections than in the introduction. The discussion should primarily consist of the researcher(s)' own analysis, with references to other studies used only when necessary to corroborate, support, or contradict findings. <p>Yes. While the researcher mentioned obtaining permission from the school, this alone is not sufficient to secure consent from the students, as they are minors. Grade 4 students in the Philippines are typically around 9 or 10 years old; therefore, their parents must obtain written consent.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>John Rey Osben Pelila</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Benguet State University, Philippines</p>