

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128341
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIES FOR ACHIEVING CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY THROUGH EFFECTIVE FINANCIAL ADVISORY IN THE U.S. MARKET
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores a timely and essential topic by examining how financial advisory services can promote corporate sustainability in the U.S. market. It bridges the gap between financial strategies and sustainability goals, offering valuable insights for practitioners and policymakers. The focus on ESG metrics, risk mitigation, and tailored advisory solutions provides a robust framework for understanding the interplay between finance and sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and reflects the content of the manuscript. It is clear and specific aligning well with the study's objectives. No changes are suggested.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and summarizes the study's aim, methodology, results, and conclusions effectively. However, it could briefly mention the study's limitations to provide a balanced overview.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, employing a systematic literature review methodology. The findings are supported by credible and recent references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, covering key sources from 2019 to 2024. They are relevant to the topic and provide a solid foundation for the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication, with minimal errors. Some minor editing for readability and flow could enhance the overall presentation.	
Optional/General comments	The study could benefit from a graphical representation of the key findings, such as a flowchart or a conceptual model. Also, including a discussion on potential limitations and future research directions would add depth to the manuscript.	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Feyisayo Ogunyemi Michael
Department, University & Country	Eastern Illinois University, United State of America