In vitro Effect of Physicochemical properties on the Growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. Causing Collar Rot in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Satna M.P.

Commented [AC1]: I think is not necessary to include the location name here in the title.

ABSTRACT

Collar rot of chickpea caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. is an emerging threat to chickpea production particularly under stress conditions such as high temperature and low soil moisture. This study aimed to investigate the physicochemical behaviour of the pathogen by evaluating its growth response under different temperature and pH levels. *Sclerotium rolfsii* was isolated from different locations of Satna, M.P. and was purified for further studies through tip and single sclerotium. Pure culture was with white cottony mycelium and brown macrosclerotia. Potato dextrose agar was found best medium for culturing in laboratory. Optimum mycelial growth was achieved at temperature 32°C and pH 5.5-7.0 in laboratory conditions. The results showed that the fungus causing collar rot (*Sclerotium rolfsii)* favours thermophilic conditions in slightly acidic to neutral pH.

Keywords:

Chickpea, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, collar rot, temperature, pH

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea often referred to as the "King of Pulses" is one of the most important pulse crops in India. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is significant pulse crop, contributing about 27% of global production (ICAR - Indian Institute of Pulses Research) it provides 23% protein, 64% carbohydrates and essential minerals [1]. In India, chickpea is extensively grown in Madhya Pradesh under rainfed conditions. However, the crop is increasingly threatened by many fungal diseases including: Ascochyta blight (*Ascochyta rabiei)*, Botrytis gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea),* Alternaria blight (*Alternaria alternata),* Colletotrichum blight (*Colletotrichum dematium),* Phoma blight (*Phoma medicaginis),* Rust (*Uromyces ciceris-arietini),* Powdery mildew (*Leveillula Taurica),* Sclerotinia stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum),* Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Ciceri,* Verticillium wilt (*Verticillium albo-atrum),* dry root rot (*Rhizoctonia bataticola*), Phytophthora root rot (*Phytophthora medicaginis),* Foot rot (*Operculella padwickii)* [2].

Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc. is a well-known plant pathogen having a wide host range (500 plant species) mostly comprised of dicotyledonous and few monocotyledonous plants and is one of the most destructive soil inhabiting plant pathogens which cause collar rot in different crops all over the world as well as in India [3, 4, 5]. It induces different types of symptoms like crown and root rot, collar rot, foot rot, stem rot, stem canker, damping off, southern wilt or blight or southern stem rot. The fungus was first detected by Rolfs [6] as one of the reasons for tomato blight in Florida. Later, Saccardo [7] named the fungus as *Sclerotium rolfsii*.

Collar rot is an important disease of chickpea causing quantitative and qualitative losses and farmers often misidentify it as Fusarium wilt, Verticillium wilt and Collar rot due to similar symptoms. The pathogen survives as macrosclerotia in soil and infects collar and roots when plants are under high soil moisture. Collar rot is prevalent in many sub-agroclimatic zones of M. P. viz., Central Narmada valley (8.08-17.20%), Kymore Plateau and Satpura hills (9.30-14.80%), Northern Hill region (8.10-11.76%), Satpura plateau (9.30-11.88%) and Vindhyan plateau (12.00-18.20%) of Madhya Pradesh [8]. The objective of the present study was to characterize the

Commented [AC2]: This objective look pretty different to the main aim in the abstract.

pathogen of collar rot of chickpea (*Sclerotium rolfsii)* through physicochemical aspects (temperature and pH).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey of the Disease

A robing survey was conducted in the Sohawal block of Satna district during the Rabi season 2024–25 to assess collar rot of chickpea. Ten fields (including field of AKS University) spaced 5–7 km apart were surveyed and disease incidence was calculated using:

$$\text{Percent Disease Incidence} = \frac{\text{Number of infected plants}}{\text{Total number of plants}} \times 100$$

Isolation, Identification and Purification of Fungus

Infected chickpea plants from different surveyed fields were collected and brought to the laboratory. The white fungal mycelium showing on the infected collar and roots of chickpea was inoculated separately on PDA medium in petri plate and the petri plates were incubated at 27 ± 2°C in BOD incubator for 5 days. Pure cultures were obtained by using the hyphal tip as well as single sclerotium methods on the same medium. The fungus was identified morphologically on the basis of following characters- a) color of hyphae, b) color and morphology of colony, c) septation and location of septa d) Type and structure of sclerotia and e) color of sclerotia.

Selection of Suitable Culture Medium

Three culture media, viz., corn meal agar, Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) and potato dextrose agar (PDA) were used to select one for fast growth of the fungus. These culture media were prepared and sterilized as per the standard protocol and poured in 90mm polyethylene petri plates in laminar air flow. Three petri plates (R_1, R_2 and R_3) with culture medium were inoculated with a single sclerotium each while two petri plates (R_4 and R_5) with culture medium were inoculated with discs of 5mm dia. cut from fresh culture of *Sclerotium rolfsii.* Total five replicates of each culture media were maintained. All inoculated petri plates were incubated in a BOD incubator at 27 ± 2°C for 7 days. Radial growth of the test fungus and sclerotial formation were observed at 3, 5 and 7 Days After Inoculation (DAI). The data were tabulated to determine the best medium for fungal growth.

Effect of Temperatures on Mycelial Growth

Three replicates (R_1, R_2 and R_3) with PDA culture medium were inoculated with a single sclerotium each from more than 7 days old culture while two replicates (R_4 and R_5) were inoculated with discs of 5mm dia. cut from 3-5 days old culture of *Sclerotium rolfsii.* Total five replicates of each temperature level were maintained. Inoculated petri plates (each five replicates) were incubated at six different temperatures: 10°C, 15°C, 20°C, 25°C, 30°C, and 35°C up to 7 DAI. Two-dimensional average radial growth was measured at 3, 5, and 7 days after inoculation (DAI) and tabulated for statistical analysis. When maximum radial fungal growth was found from any tested temperatures, two lower and two upper ranges of temperatures of maximum growth temp. were also tried to find out the optimum temperature. The experiment was arranged in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with five replications.

Commented [AC3]: Not to use abbreviation, the authors most to include thr whole word. Check it to all of them,

Effect of pH levels on Mycelial Growth

Suitable culture medium (Potato dextrose agar) was prepared and divided into eight separate parts (according to pH levels) in conical flasks. Each amount of PDA was adjusted to pH level of 5.0 to 8.5 using 0.1N NaOH or HCl before autoclaving. Cultures medium adjusted to different pH (5-8.5) was poured in petri plates (5 petri plates/pH level having 20 ml/petri plate). Single sclerotium/petri plates (replicates R_1, R_2 and R_3) were placed (inoculated) at the centre of petri plates (culture medium) and two petri plates (R_4 and R_5) were inoculated with discs of 0.5mm dia. cut from fresh culture. Inoculated petri plates were incubated at optimum temp. ± 2°C for 7 days and radial growth was measured at 3, 5, and 7 DAI. Fungal growth data were tabulated for statistical analysis.

Commented [AC4]: Replace by the value.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Survey of the Disease

Dried foliage of young seedlings with rotting of collar region and roots (Plate 1A) of chickpea plants were found during survey. Young seedlings collapsed (Plate 1B) due to weak stem but older seedlings dried up without collapsing. The whole plant appeared chlorotic (Plate 1C) in early stage of infection A white mycelium was also seen on the tap root of completely dried seedlings. When infected plants were uprooted during robing survey in Rabi season of 2024–25 in AKS University fields and surrounding villages of Satna M.P., the entire taproots found with ruptured and dried epidermis (Plate 1A).

Plate 1. Symptoms of the disease; collar & roots of infected plants (A), dried and collapsed seedling (B), infected young plant (C).

Commented [AC5]: Is better to replace by "figure", and in the text too.

Isolation and Identification of the Fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*)

Collar rot causing fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) isolated from infected plants on PDA. The isolates grown on PDA medium exhibited the highest colony growth achieving a diameter of 90 mm, within 5 days. The colony colour of *Sclerotium rolfsii* appeared cottony white with dense radiating mycelial growth (Plate 2A) and it developed numerous brown to dark brown macro-sclerotia dispersed throughout the mycelial mat (Plate 2B). They were initially white turning brown to dark black upon maturity (Plate 2C). The sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfsii* were hard, round to oval in shaped and compact in texture. They were typically dry and brittle when matured and the surface of mature sclerotia was rough to slightly wrinkled. The hyphal cells of *Sclerotium rolfsii* were septate and hyaline. Further study for identification up to the group of the isolated fungus *(Sclerotium rolfsii)* is going on.



Plate 2: *Sclerotium rolfsii* fungus; growth of mycelium (A), early stage of sclerotia (B), types of sclerotia (C)

Selection of Suitable Culture Medium

The results presented in Table 1 and Plate 3 clearly indicated that PDA and CMA both supported significantly higher radial growth of the fungus as compared to SDA. The radial growth of fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) was found very sparce on SDA and sclerotia were also sparkly scattered while on CMA radial growth was less sparce and sclerotia were more in number as compared to SDA (Plate 3). Since, fungus growth and sclerotia were very dense on PDA therefore, it was significantly (Table 1) more suitable than other two (SDA & CMA) culture media.

Table 1: Growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* on different culture media

Treatment	Mean Radial growth		
	3 DAI	5 DAI	7 DAI
PDA	0.210	4.370	8.500
SDA	0.000	1.070	3.480
Corn meal agar	0.230	5.230	8.500
SEm±	0.03	0.22	0.12
C.D. (*P* =.01)	0.12	0.95	0.51
C.V. (%)	46.15	14.10	4.17
F- Tab	6.92	6.92	6.92

Commented [AC6]: With the results shown in table 1, is not true that PDA is significant different with CMA. They are almost the same (this are quantitative data), while the results in plate 3 (this are qualitative data) the differences are relatively clearer; the authors most to clarify and to explain the results in a clearer way.

Commented [AC7]: The authors must to include the abbreviations meaning (i.e., SE, C.D.; C.V.) at the bottom of the table.

Commented [AC8]: The authors need to include the metric unit.

Commented [AC9]: I recommend to the authors to include the ±SE to each individual treatment.

Commented [AC10]: This value is too high.

Commented [AC11]: Follow from this expression the author must to include the "F" tabulated in between parenthesis (….).

Commented [AC12]: Which one is this "F"?? As I understood, this is the "F" tabulated, them where is the "F" calculated?? The authors need to include the "F" calculated here.



Plate 3. Growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* on different culture media at 7 DAI

Effect of Temperature on Growth

There was no fungal (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) growth at 10°C (Plate 4A). Maximum fungal growth (9.00 cm at 7 DAI) was recorded at 30°C (Plate 4E). Significant differences in growth were observed across all tested temperatures (P = .01) (Table 2 & Fig. 1). When two lower temperatures (28 & 29°C) and two upper temperatures (31 & 32°C) of 30°C were tested, optimum temperature for collar rot causing fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) in chickpea was found to be 32°C where the highest radial growth (8.62 cm at 7 DAI) was observed (Table 3, Plate 5D). The temperature effect on the growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* was found significant as P = .01, C.V. and F values indicated in tables (Table 2 & 3).

Table 2: Effect of Different Temperatures on the radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*

Treatment (Temperatures in °C)	Mean radial growth (in cm)		
	3 DAI	5 DAI	7 DAI
10°C	0.00	0.00	0.00
15°C	0.00	1.47	4.31
20°C	1.00	4.47	8.32
25°C	1.28	6.82	8.53
30°C	5.18	8.52	9.00
35°C	0.23	0.80	3.63
SEm±	0.45	0.30	0.20
C.D (P =.01)	1.77	1.18	0.79
C.V. (%)	79.90	18.16	7.94
F- Tab	3.89	3.89	3.89

Commented [AC13]: In my personal opinion the results shown in figure 2, plate 5 and table 2, are not needed. If the authors wants to be more specific to pointed that the 30 Celsius degrees is the best temperature, can to keep the table 3 but including the results to 30 Celsius degrees.

Commented [AC14]: This is not true. The authors must to compare the two upper and lower temperatures with the results obtained at 30 Celsius degrees, and them, they can see if any difference is observed, With the results showed in table 2 and 3, the temperature of 30 Celsius degrees still continue be the best. The authors most to clarify and to rewrite its explanation.

Commented [AC15]: The author most to consider here the same comments done in table 1.

Commented [AC16]: Not needed, delete it.

Commented [AC17]: Too high. The authors must to check it.



Fig. 1: Effect of Different Temperatures on Radial Growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*



Plate 4: Effect of temp.; effect of 10^0C (A), effect of 15^0C (B), effect of 20^0C (C), effect of 25^0C (D), effect of 30^0C (E) and effect of 35^0C (F) on radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*



Fig. 2: Optimum Temperature for the growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*

Commented [AC18]: Where is 30 Celsius degrees treatment?? Where is the explanation of this figure??



Plate 5: Optimum temperature for the growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*; at 28^0C (A), at 29^0C (B), at 31^0C and at 32^0C (D)

Commented [AC19]: Where is 30 Celsius degrees treatment??

Table 3: Optimum temperature for growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*

Treatment (Temperature)	Mean radial growth (in cm)		
	3 DAI	5 DAI	7 DAI
28°C	4.19	6.16	8.26
29°C	4.29	7.42	8.36
31°C	4.33	7.48	8.42
32°C	5.20	7.84	8.62
SEm±	0.06	0.30	0.04
C.D. (*P* =.01)	0.24	1.23	0.16
C.V. (%)	3.40	9.36	1.26
F-Tab.	5.29	5.29	5.29

Commented [AC20]: Delete it. And, where is the 30 Celsius degrees treatment??

Effect of pH on Growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*

The optimal pH for fungal (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) growth was found 6.0-7.0 with a minor difference in all tested pH levels except pH 7.5 (Table 4 & Plate 6). Growth was significantly reduced at alkaline pH levels especially at pH 8.5 (Fig. 3). Statistical analysis confirmed the significant effect of pH as *P* = .01, C.V.% and F values in table 4.

Commented [AC21]: This is not true, because of the data showed in table 4. I consider that SE is standard error, if it is true, them following the results in table 4, only the pH 7.5 and 8.5 are different to the rest of then (i.e., 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0 and 8.0, are the sane: Meaning the results are equals). Due that, the authors must to clarify that and to rewrite the explanation.

Table 4: Effect of Different pH levels on the radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*

Treatment (pH levels)	Mean radial growth (in cm)		
	3DAI	5DAI	7DAI
5.0	3.83	8.32	8.90
5.5	4.19	8.34	8.99
6.0	4.46	8.43	8.97
6.5	3.91	8.48	8.98
7.0	3.99	8.47	8.99
7.5	2.05	5.84	7.17
8.0	3.50	8.48	8.91
8.5	1.35	3.43	4.76
SEm±	0.56	0.66	0.78
C.D. (*P* =.01)	2.16	2.55	3.02
C.V. (%)	36.90	19.79	21.23
F-Tab	3.25	3.25	3.25

Commented [AC22]: The author most to consider here the same comments done in table 1.

Commented [AC23]: How the authors can to explain this behaviour??



Plate 6: Effect of pH levels on the radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii;* growth on pH 5.0 (A), growth on pH 5.5 (B), growth on pH 6.0 (C), growth on pH 6.5 (D), growth on pH 7.0 (E), growth on pH 7.5 (F), growth on pH 8.0 (G), and growth on pH 8.5 (H)



Fig. 3: Effect of Different pH levels on the radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*

The robing survey conducted in some villages including AKS University field of Sohawal block, Satna, Madhya Pradesh during the Rabi season 2024–25 revealed the widespread occurrence of collar rot disease caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. During the survey, infected seedlings and young plants of chickpea exhibited dried leaves with rotten collar region and root epidermis (Plate 1A, 1B & 1C). Lateral roots were also absent in most infected plants of chickpea. Such types of symptoms were also observed by Nene *et al*. [9]. Potato dextrose agar (PDA) and corn meal agar (CMA) media supported vigorous mycelial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* but PDA was found to be more suitable for routine growth and morphological studies during isolation and purification of the test fungus (Plate 4). The rapid growth on PDA with a colony diameter of 90 mm (Table 1) within 5 days aligns with findings by Divyashree *et.al.* [10] and Srividya *et.al.* [11, 12]. Vigorous mycelial growth on PDA with colonies reaching full diameter (90mm) within 5 days and production of abundant sclerotia were also found in the study of Singh and Mehrotra [13]. The high sclerotial density observed particularly in isolates from Sohawal block indicates a higher sporulation capacity and pathogenic potential. Srividya *et.al.* [12] also reported a positive correlation between sclerotial density and growth.

Commented [AC24]: The authors need to be consistent with the way to do the citations. Conventional citation is the author´s surname fallow by et al. (if more than two authors) and the year, or just the order number in the list of references.

Temperature plays a critical role in influencing the mycelial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*, the causal agent of collar rot in chickpea. The results clearly showed that among tested temperatures (10°C to 35°C with 5°C difference) 30°C was the most favourable (Plate 5E) temperature for mycelial development yielding the maximum radial growth (9.00 cm at 7 DAI, Table 2 & Plate 5). These findings emphasize the thermophilic nature of *Sclerotium rolfsii* and its preference for warm conditions. Again, when experiment was laid down to find out optimum temperature the fungus exhibited maximum mycelial growth at 32°C (Table 3). These findings strongly suggested that 32°C is the optimum temperature for *in vitro* growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* under the conditions of the present study (Fig. 2). The present findings are supported by those of Nene [14] who reported that *Sclerotium rolfsii* exhibits rapid mycelial growth and prolific sclerotia production at temperatures ranging from 30–32°C, with growth declining sharply at temperatures

Commented [AC25]: The author must to check the comments above.

below 25°C or above 35°C. Maximum radial growth of *S. rolfsii* also observed at 32°C under *in vitro* conditions [15].

The present study revealed that pH has a significant effect on the radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii*, the collar rot pathogen of chickpea. Among the tested treatments the fungus exhibited maximum growth at pH 6.0 followed closely by pH 5.5 and pH 7.0 (Table 4, Plate 7). These results suggested that moderately acidic to neutral pH conditions (pH 5.5–7.0) are most favorable for the mycelial proliferation of *Sclerotium rolfsii* under *in vitro* conditions. This is suggested that *Sclerotium rolfsii* prefers a slightly acidic to neutral soil reaction and extreme alkaline conditions may suppress its metabolic activities.

Commented [AC26]: This is not right; the authors must to check the comments above.

Maximum mycelial growth of *S. rolfsii* was also found at pH 6.0 followed by pH 5.5 and pH 7.0 with a significant decline under alkaline conditions above pH 7.5 [15]. *S. rolfsii* is highly sensitive to extreme pH levels with alkaline conditions (pH ≥ 8.0) leading to reduced hyphal extension and lower sclerotial production [16].

CONCLUSION

Sclerotium rolfsii thrives best at 32°C and pH 5.5-7.0 under *in vitro* conditions. These findings suggested that warm and moderately acidic environments are conducive to the development of collar rot in chickpea. The virulence of collar rot of chickpea is correlated with soil pH and temperature (soil & atmospheric temp.) Because most of farmers know that wilt is the common disease of chickpea which has more or less same symptoms. Though collar rot and wilt of chickpea both produce identical symptoms which can be diagnosed by a experienced person/farmer but most of farmers are not able to diagnose both diseases exactly on the basis of symptoms. Therefore, the present study may be helpful for diagnosis and management of collar rot of chickpea. These findings can also assist in developing targeted management strategies against collar rot in chickpea.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Miao M, Zhang T & Jiang B. Characterisation of chickpea starches from different varieties. *Food Chemistry*. 2009;113(2): 306–312.
2. ICRISAT. Field Diagnosis of Chickpea Diseases and their Control. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. *Information Bulletin No. 28* (Revised 2012).
3. Aycock R. Stem rot and other diseases caused by Sclerotium rolfsii. NC. *Agric. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull., No*. 174. 1966; 202pp.

4. Punja ZK. *Sclerotium (Athelia) rolfsii*, a pathogen of many plant species. Vol. 6. In: *Advances in Plant Pathology.* (Ed.): G.S. Sidhu. Academic Press, London. 1988; pp. 523-534.
5. Ciancio A and Mukerji KG. General concepts in integrated pest and disease management. Springer. 2007; 359 pp.
6. Rolfs PH. Tomato blight, some hints. Bulletin of Florida Agricultural Experimental Station. 1892; p.18.
7. Saccardo PA. Notae mycologicae. Series XIII. Annales Mycologici. 1911; 9(3): 249-257.
8. Singh AK, Singh R and Sharma M. Prevalence and distribution of collar rot of chickpea in Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Food Legumes*. 2022; 35(1): 18–23.
9. Nene YL, Hall SD and Sheila VK. *The chickpea: Botany, production and uses*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. 2012.
10. Divyashree M, Raghavendra BT and Patil R. Morphological and cultural diversity of *Sclerotium rolfsii* isolates from chickpea and their *in vitro* management using combi-fungicides. *Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*. 2024; 54(1), 65–74.
11. Srividya P, Reddy BN and Naresh B. Cultural and morphological variability among isolates of *Sclerotium rolfsii* from chickpea. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018; 6(6), 1813–1818.
12. Srividya P, Reddy BN and Naresh B. Molecular characterization and genetic diversity analysis of *Sclerotium rolfsii* isolates from chickpea. *Legume Research*. 2022;45(6),741–748.https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-4573.
13. Singh RS and Mehrotra RS. Effect of culture media on growth and sclerotia production of *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Indian Phytopathology*. 1980;33(2), 300–302.
14. Nene YL. *A review of research on the diseases of chickpea*. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. 1982.
15. Mandhare VK, Khilare VC and Gaikwad SJ. Effect of temperature and pH on the growth and sclerotia formation of *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Journal of Plant Disease Sciences*. 2011; 6(2), 168–170.
16. Meena PD, Rathi AS and Mehta N. Effect of temperature and pH on growth and sclerotia formation of *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*. 2014; 22(1), 144–147.

Commented [AC27]: Check it??