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| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title is suitable and concise. However, to improve precision, I suggest: “Uterine Lipoleiomyoma Presenting with Postmenopausal Hemorrhage and Pulmonary Embolism: A Rare Case Report.” This emphasizes both the unusual symptom and associated complication. | Changed |
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