**Editor’s Comment:**

**i)**                **Title of the manuscript:** Well descriptive.

**ii)**              **Abstract**: Need minor improvements.

**iii)**             **Introduction:**Only this phrase qualifies; the rest are not self-descriptive. Very old references are used.

**iv)**             **Materials and methods:**It lacks professional manuscript writing. The Quality assurance aspect is missing.

**v)**               **Results and discussion:**Insufficient discussion.

**vi)**             **Contextual coverage**: Interesting study, but lacking novelty.

**vii)**           **Conclusion**: The manuscript has a good contextual idea, but lacks novelty due to a limited introduction, poor methodology write-up and insufficient discussion.

**Decision**: Manuscript doesn’t have a publishable context unless MAJOR improvements made.
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