**Genetic variability of Bael (*Aegle marmelos* Correa) genotypes in Mahasamund District of Chhattisgarh**

**ABSTRACT**

The investigation was conducted during the year 2022-23 and 2023-24 on already existed bael genotypes located at different villages of five blocks in Mahasamund district of Chhattisgarh and further analysis was done at the Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh. This study was conducted with the main objectives to characterize the available genotypes and genetic variability of bael. The genotypes Goma Yashi recorded the highest mean performance for fruit weight (959.79 g) and pulp weight (803.99 g), MB-28 for leaf length (14.50 cm), MB-37 for leaf width (8.95 cm), MB-2 for fruit diameter (136.44 mm) and fruit length (156.58 mm), MB-16 & MB-17 for specific gravity (1.09 g/cc), MB-21 for shell thickness (4.20 mm) and MB-31 for fruit yield (153.75 number of fruits/tree) under the present experiment. However, the minimum seed weight (6.50 g), shell weight (90.46 g) and total number of seeds (73.89) was confirmed under the genotypes Goma Yashi, MB-24 and MB-22 under the present trial.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Bael fruit (*Aegle marmelos Correa*) is a tropical fruit native to south-east Asia and belongs to family Rutaceae. It is an important indigenous fruit of India. It is also known as Bengal Quince. Aegle, the genous of bael is monotypic. It is a midsized, slender, aromatic, armed, gum-bearing tree growing up to 18 meter tall. It has a compound leaf with three leaflets. It has been known in India from prehistoric times and is more prized for its medicinal virtues than its edible quality. In Hinduism the tree is considered sacred. It is used for worship of lord Shiva, who is said to favour the leaves. The trifoliate leaves symbolize the trident the Shiva holds in his right hand. The fruit were used in place of Coconuts before large-scale rail transportation become available. The fruit is said to resemble a skull with a white, bone-like outer shell and a soft inner part (Singh *et al*., 2024). The tree grows wild in dry forests on hills and plains of central and southern India, Burma, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Northern Malaya, Java, and Philippine Islands. However, there was no organized orcharding of bael in India, but now a day organized orchard are having planted it grows mainly wild and in temple gardens in early years. The fruit is available in almost all states of India, but most abundantly available in Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal and Odisha, In Odisha the fruit is predominantly present in forests of Dhenkanal, Angul, Bolangir and Rayagada districts (Islam *et al*., 2012). It has a reputation in India for being able to grow in places where other trees cannot grow. The fruit is very hardy and can grow even under adverse agro-climatic conditions. Most of the tropical and subtropical condition fruits have a poor keeping quality but this let fruit can be kept for a longer period because of its hard outer shell and as, it can easily withstand transport and marketing hazards. It copes with a wide range of soil pH of 5-10 and a wide temperature tolerance from 7 degree to 48-degree C. It requires a pronounced dry season to give fruit (Deepti and Misra, 2005).

India is one of the countries where, Bael are grown on 8.43 thousand hectares area, with an annual production 81.88 thousand tonnes. Odisha is the leading producing Indian state, with a cultivated area of 7.28 thousand ha, an annual production of 45.29 thousand tonnes (Anon., 2021a). In Chhattisgarh, Bael are grown on an area of 0.06 thousand hectares, with an annual production of 0.43 thousand tonnes. Mahasamund district is the leading in area and production of bael in Chhattisgarh state with an area of 0.04 thousand ha and production of 0.33 thousand tonnes (Anon., 2021b).

The importance of bael lies in its curative properties, which makes it one of the important medicinal plants of India. All the parts of the tree (Stem, bark, leaves, roots and fruits) at some stages of maturity and ripening, has some important use in many Ayurveda and Unani patented drugs in India for treatment of a variety of diseases. The fruits and roots of bael possess antiamoebic and hypoglycemic activity. Research has found the essential oil of the bael tree to be effective against 21 types of bacteria. It is prescribed for smooth bowel movement of patients suffering from constipation and other gastrointestinal problems. Unripe Bael fruit is also said to be effective in combating giardia and rotavirus. For medicinal use, the young fruits, while still tender, are commonly sliced horizontally and sun-dried and sold in local markets. Because of the astringency, especially of the wild fruits the unripe bael is most prized as a means of halting diarrhea and dysentery, which are prevalent in India in the summer months.

In India, some types have been named according to fruit shape and quality. Majumdar (1975) described six varieties from Uttar Pradesh and considered Mirjapuri as the most promising followed by Dagogaji, Ojha, Ranpure, Asamati and Rhamaria. Teaotia *et al.,* (1963) listed five promising varieties and reported that the Kagzi Gonda was the most promising with thin rind, soft yellow pulp and excellent flavor. Majumder (1975) presented the morphological and physico-chemical characteristics from the extensive survey in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar. They found that Etawah Kagzi, sewan large, Mirzapuri and Deoria were excellent in taste and quality. In West Bengal, working with five types of bael fruits, Majumder (1975) found that the spherical flattened once were usually the best on the basis of fruit weight and chemical composition. In India, various size of bael having good quality is available which are known after the locality. Ripe fruit is available mainly during the February to May. But immature fruit are sold in the month of August to mid-January, which are consumed after boiling or roasting. Dried chips of unripe fruit are also sold in the market. Considering the importance of bael fruits in there is need to evaluate the quantitative and yield attributing parameters of bael genotypes, keeping in view the present investigation has been work out.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design consisted of forty genotypes along with check variety (Goma Yashi) and replicated fourth times, considering one plant as a unit. From the exploration of Mahasamund district of Chhattisgarh about 18 to 40 years old Forty Bael genotypes from 5 different block were randomly selected for the study with the help of local villagers. The blocks were Mahasamund, Baghbahra, Basna, Pithoura and Saraipali. Two villages were selected for each block *viz*. Machewa & Paraskol from Mahasamund block, Khamariya & Bakma from Baghbahra block, Bansula & Baraspur from Basna block, Kisanpur & Kotapara from Pithoura block and Sagarpali & Sajapali from Saraipali block. Four different Bael genotypes were selected from each village for further investigation as per key descriptor for *Aegle marmelos* Correa, CIAH, Godhra, Gujrat, 2015.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Leaf length (cm)**

The data represented on average leaf length (cm) of bael genotypes showed significant variations among each other under the present trial. The maximum leaf length (14.50 cm) was recorded under the genotype MB-28, which was closely followed by MB-27, MB-38, MB-26, MB-31 & MB-29 with leaf length of 14.34, 14.35, 14.36, 14.48 & 14.49 cm, respectively. Moreover, the genotypes MB-7, MB-8, MB-18, MB-19 & MB-6 and MB-35, MB-4, MB-22, MB-9, MB-11, MB-5 & MB-10 and MB-3 & MB-1 having leaf length of 10.64, 10.72, 10.74, 10.75 & 10.82 and 11.56, 11.66, 11.72, 11.73, 11.76, 11.77 & 11.78 and 12.12 & 12.33 cm showed non-significant differences with each other. Similarly, the genotypes MB-2, MB-13, MB-34, MB-12 & MB-36 and MB-32, MB-15, MB-17 & MB-14 and MB-30, MB-33 & MB-39 with 12.35, 12.36, 12.39, 12.52 & 12.57 and 13.34, 13.39, 13.40 & 13.50 and 14.17, 14.20 & 14.22 cm were also exposed statistically *at par* with each other at 5% level of significance under the present trial. While, the minimum leaf length (10.64 cm) was registered under the genotype MB-7.

**Leaf width (cm)**

The observations pertaining to leaf width (cm) of bael genotypes showed significant differences among the various genotypes evaluated under present investigation. The maximum leaf width (8.95 cm) was recorded under the genotype MB-37, which was closely followed by MB-20, MB-35 & MB-36 with leaf width of 8.73, 8.80 & 8.94 cm. Moreover, the genotypes MB-1, MB-4, MB-2, MB-10 & Goma Yashi and MB-9, MB-8, MB-5, MB-6 & MB-7 and MB-22, MB-21, MB-28, MB-39, MB-31, MB-29, MB-27 & MB-11 having leaf width of 6.66, 6.68, 6.69, 6.72 & 6.75 and 6.93, 6.94, 6.98, 7.13 & 7.18 and 7.58, 7.70, 7.71, 7.74, 7.75, 7.76, 7.76 & 7.80 cm showed non-significant differences with each other under the present study. Similarly, the genotypes MB-33, MB-23, MB-25, MB-30, MB-24, MB-12, MB-26 & MB-40 and MB-16, MB-38, MB-34, MB-32 & MB-13 and MB-15, MB-19, MB-17, MB-18, MB-14, MB-20 & MB-35 having respective leaf width of 7.93, 7.97, 7.99, 7.99, 8.04, 8.05, 8.05 & 8.05 and 8.25, 8.28, 8.37, 8.40 & 8.41 and 8.58, 8.60, 8.64, 8.64, 8.66, 8.73 & 8.80 cm were also showed statistically *at par* with each other at 5% level of significance under the present study. Whereas, the minimum leaf width (6.36 cm) was registered under the genotype MB-3.

**Fruit weight (g)**

Significant variations were observed between the bael genotypes evaluated under the current study based on data observed in relation to fruit weight (g). The maximum fruit weight (959.79 g) was recorded under the genotype Goma Yashi, which was followed by MB-39, MB-1 & MB-31 with a respective fruit weight of 626.10, 625.90 & 625.00 g. Moreover, the genotypes MB-25, MB-12, MB-21 & MB-16 and MB-27, MB-15, MB-26 & MB-5 and MB-35, MB-30 & MB-23 and MB-18, MB-9, MB-14, MB-22 & MB-2 having fruit weight of 272.04, 273.22, 280.35 & 281.25 and 319.10, 322.79, 325.82 & 327.15 and 333.03, 341.07 & 343.10 and 352.90, 353.87, 356.06, 357.22 & 363.00 g, respectively showed non-significant differences with each other. Similarly, the genotypes MB-20 & MB-6 and MB-24, MB-34, MB-33 & MB-11 and MB-17 & MB-10 and MB-28 & MB-37 and MB-40 & MB-36 and MB-8 & MB-7 and MB-31, MB-1 & MB-39 with a respective fruit weight of 374.92 & 376.91 and 397.07, 398.03, 401.48 & 406.18 and 423.84 & 427.97 and 473.25 & 482.99 and 510.99 & 518.11 and 602.76 & 602.87 and 625.00, 625.90 & 626.10 g were also exposed statistically *at par* with each other at 5% level of significance under the present investigation. However, the minimum fruit weight (258.09 g) was registered under the genotype MB-29. Besides, the genotypes MB-29, MB-21, MB-19, MB-27, MB-30, MB-14, MB-20, MB-34, MB-10, MB-38, MB-4, MB-37, MB-3, MB-40, MB-32, MB-8 & MB-1 having the respective fruit weight of 258.09, 280.35, 297.30, 319.10, 341.07, 356.06, 374.92, 398.03, 427.97, 440.11, 457.20, 482.99, 494.87, 510.99, 549.17, 602.76 & 625.90 g were found significant differences among each other tested under the present investigation.

**Fruit diameter (mm)**

The data represented on fruit diameter (mm) of bael genotypes showed significant variation among each other under the present trial. The maximum fruit diameter (136.44 mm) was recorded under the genotype MB-2, which was closely followed by MB-7, MB-34 & MB-1 with fruit diameter of 130.05, 129.56 & 129.48 mm, respectively. Moreover, the genotypes MB-8, MB-9 & MB-10 and MB-37 & MB-12 and MB-18, MB-19 & MB-20 and MB-40, MB-14, MB-22, MB-23 & MB-17 and MB-30, MB-31 & MB-32 having respective fruit diameter of 107.92, 108.39 & 108.49 and 109.09 & 109.67 and 111.35, 111.76 & 111.92 and 113.23, 113.38, 113.39, 113.50 & 113.67 and 119.24, 119.33 & 119.54 mm showed non-significant differences with each other. Similarly, the genotypes MB-3 & MB-5 and MB-27, MB-39 & MB-28 and MB-36 & MB-35 and MB-25 & MB-24 and MB-33, MB-1 & MB-34 with 122.40 & 122.80 and 124.27, 124.28 & 124.37 and 125.17 & 125.35 and 127.50 & 128.07 and 129.38, 129.48 & 129.56 mm was spotted statistically *at par* with each other at 5% level of significance under the present investigation. Additionally, the bael genotypes MB-13, MB-8, MB-11, MB-12, MB-18, MB-21, MB-40, MB-15, MB-16, MB-6, MB-4, MB-30, Goma Yashi, MB-38, MB-3, MB-29, MB-27, MB-36, MB-26, MB-25, MB-33, MB-7 & MB-2 having the respective fruit diameter of 107.29, 107.92, 108.62, 109.67, 111.35, 112.55, 113.23, 114.31, 114.89, 116.44, 118.31, 119.24, 120.41, 121.20, 122.40, 123.21, 124.27, 125.17, 126.16, 127.50, 129.38, 130.05 & 136.44 mm were perceived significant differences between each other under the present trial. The minimum fruit diameter (107.29 mm) was registered under the genotype MB-13.

**Fruit length (mm)**

The observations on fruit length (mm) of bael genotypes showed significant differences among the different genotypes observed under present investigation. The maximum fruit length (156.58 mm) was recorded under the genotype MB-2, which was found significantly superior from rest of the other genotypes tested under the study. Moreover, the genotypes MB-9, MB-37 & MB-10 and MB-18, MB-19 & MB-20 and MB-40 & MB-21 and MB-17, MB-14, MB-22 & MB-23 and MB-16 & MB-15 and MB-6 & Goma Yashi having respective fruit length of 128.44, 128.77 & 128.79 and 131.63, 131.87 & 131.97 and 132.68 & 132.84 and 133.46, 133.54, 133.62 & 133.62 and 134.52 & 134.69 and 136.41 & 136.77 mm showed non-significant differences with each other. Similarly, the genotypes MB-31, MB-30 & MB-32 and MB-3 & MB-5 and MB-27, MB-39 & MB-28 and MB-36 & MB-35 and MB-1, MB-34 & MB-33 with fruit length of 139.75, 139.79 & 140.04 and 142.58 & 142.77 and 144.58, 144.91 & 145.00 and 145.64 & 145.78 and 149.75, 149.93 & 149.96 mm were found statistically *at par* to each other at 5% level of significance under the present investigation. As per the study indicated that the genotypes MB-8, MB-13, MB-9, MB-11, MB-12, MB-18, MB-40, MB-17, MB-16, MB-6, MB-4, MB-31, MB-38, MB-3, MB-29, MB-27, MB-36, MB-26, MB-25, MB-24, MB-1, MB-7 & MB-2 having the respective fruit length of 126.49, 127.32, 128.44, 128.89, 129.64, 131.63, 132.68, 133.46, 134.52, 136.41, 138.57, 139.75, 141.55, 142.58, 143.47, 144.58, 145.64, 146.80, 147.82, 148.50, 149.75, 151.47 & 156.58 mm were observed significant differences among each other under the present investigation. The minimum fruit length (126.49 mm) was registered under the genotype MB-8.

**Specific gravity (g/cc)**

The bael genotypes showed remarkable variations among each other under the present study. The maximum specific gravity (1.09 g/cc) was recorded under the genotype MB-16 & MB-17, which was observed statistically *at par* with the genotypes MB-38, MB-14, MB-8, MB-9, MB-18, MB-24, MB-25, MB-34, MB-27 & MB-15 with respective specific gravity of 1.05, 1.05, 1.05, 1.06, 1.06, 1.06, 1.06, 1.07, 1.07 & 1.07 g/cc under the present experiment. Moreover, the genotypes MB-20, MB-29, MB-2, MB-11, MB-7, MB-6, MB-5, MB-39, MB-13, MB-4, MB-28, MB-33, MB-36 & Goma Yashi and MB-30, MB-19, MB-1, MB-40, MB-32 & MB-35 and MB-26, MB-23, MB-22, MB-37, MB-31 & MB-3 having specific gravity of 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.95, 0.96, 0.96, 0.96, 0.96, 0.96 & 0.96 and 0.97, 0.97, 0.97, 0.98, 0.98 & 0.99 and 1.00, 1.00, 1.02, 1.02, 1.02 & 1.03 g/cc, respectively showed non-significant differences with each other. Besides, the genotypes MB-10, MB-26 & MB-9 having the respective specific gravity of 0.94, 1.00 & 1.06 g/cc was found significant differences among each other under the present investigation. The minimum specific gravity (0.94 g/cc) was registered under the genotype MB-10, MB-12 and MB-21.

**Table 1: Variability in leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm), fruit weight (g), fruit diameter (mm), fruit length (mm) and specific gravity (g/cc) of**

**different Bael genotypes studied in Mahasamund District of C.G.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bael Genotypes** | **Leaf length (cm)** | **Leaf width (cm)** | **Fruit weight (g)** | **Fruit diameter (mm)** | **Fruit length (mm)** | **Specific gravity (g/cc)** |
| MB-1 | 12.33hi | 6.66b | 625.90t | 129.48vw | 149.75u | 0.97abc |
| MB-2 | 12.35hij | 6.69bc | 363.00h | 136.44x | 156.58w | 0.95ab |
| MB-3 | 12.12h | 6.36a | 494.87o | 122.40p | 142.58n | 1.03def |
| MB-4 | 11.66fg | 6.68bc | 457.20m | 118.31l | 138.57k | 0.96ab |
| MB-5 | 11.77fg | 6.98de | 327.15de | 122.80pq | 142.77n | 0.95ab |
| MB-6 | 10.82abc | 7.13e | 376.91i | 116.44k | 136.41j | 0.95ab |
| MB-7 | 10.64a | 7.18e | 602.87s | 130.05w | 151.47v | 0.95ab |
| MB-8 | 10.72ab | 6.94cde | 602.76s | 107.92b | 126.49a | 1.05efg |
| MB-9 | 11.73fg | 6.93cde | 353.87gh | 108.39bc | 128.44c | 1.06fg |
| MB-10 | 11.78fg | 6.72bcd | 427.97k | 108.49bc | 128.79cd | 0.94a |
| MB-11 | 11.76fg | 7.80fghij | 406.18j | 108.62cd | 128.89d | 0.95ab |
| MB-12 | 12.52ijk | 8.05jkl | 273.22b | 109.67e | 129.64e | 0.94a |
| MB-13 | 12.36ij | 8.41mno | 535.99q | 107.29a | 127.32b | 0.96ab |
| MB-14 | 13.50mn | 8.66op | 356.06h | 113.38h | 133.54h | 1.05efg |
| MB-15 | 13.39m | 8.58nop | 322.79de | 114.31i | 134.69i | 1.07fg |
| MB-16 | 13.64n | 8.25klm | 281.25b | 114.89j | 134.52i | 1.09g |
| MB-17 | 13.40m | 8.64op | 423.84k | 113.67h | 133.46h | 1.09g |
| MB-18 | 10.74abc | 8.64op | 352.90gh | 111.35f | 131.63f | 1.06fg |
| MB-19 | 10.75abc | 8.60nop | 297.30c | 111.76f | 131.87f | 0.97abc |
| MB-20 | 10.96bc | 8.73pq | 374.92i | 111.92f | 131.97f | 0.95ab |
| MB-21 | 11.86g | 7.70fg | 280.35b | 112.55g | 132.84g | 0.94a |
| MB-22 | 11.72fg | 7.58f | 357.22h | 113.39h | 133.62h | 1.02cdef |
| MB-23 | 11.39de | 7.97hij | 343.10fg | 113.50h | 133.62h | 1.00bcde |
| MB-24 | 12.93l | 8.04jkl | 397.07j | 128.07u | 148.50t | 1.06fg |
| MB-25 | 12.60k | 7.99ijk | 272.04b | 127.50u | 147.82s | 1.06fg |
| MB-26 | 14.36op | 8.05jkl | 325.82de | 126.16t | 146.80r | 1.00bcde |
| MB-27 | 14.34op | 7.76fghi | 319.10d | 124.27r | 144.58p | 1.07fg |
| MB-28 | 14.50p | 7.71fgh | 473.25n | 124.37r | 145.00p | 0.96ab |
| MB-29 | 14.49p | 7.76fghi | 258.09a | 123.21q | 143.47o | 0.95ab |
| MB-30 | 14.17o | 7.99ijk | 341.07f | 119.24m | 139.79l | 0.97abc |
| MB-31 | 14.48p | 7.75fghi | 625.00t | 119.33m | 139.75l | 1.02cdef |
| MB-32 | 13.34m | 8.40mno | 549.17r | 119.54m | 140.04l | 0.98abcd |
| MB-33 | 14.20o | 7.93ghij | 401.48j | 129.38v | 149.96u | 0.96ab |
| MB-34 | 12.39ijk | 8.37mn | 398.03j | 129.56vw | 149.93u | 1.07fg |
| MB-35 | 11.56ef | 8.80pq | 333.03ef | 125.35s | 145.78q | 0.99abcd |
| MB-36 | 12.57jk | 8.94q | 518.11p | 125.17s | 145.64q | 0.96ab |
| MB-37 | 13.64n | 8.95q | 482.99n | 109.09de | 128.77cd | 1.02cdef |
| MB-38 | 14.35op | 8.28lm | 440.11l | 121.20o | 141.55m | 1.05efg |
| MB-39 | 14.22o | 7.74fghi | 626.10t | 124.28r | 144.91p | 0.95ab |
| MB-40 | 11.24d | 8.05jkl | 510.99p | 113.23h | 132.68g | 0.98abcd |
| Goma Yashi | 10.93c | 6.75bcd | 959.79u | 120.41n | 136.77j | 0.96ab |
| **SE (m) ±** | **0.08** | **0.09** | **3.92** | **0.21** | **0.15** | **0.02** |
| **CD at 5%** | **0.23** | **0.26** | **11.75** | **0.59** | **0.42** | **0.05** |
| **CV** | **2.44** | **4.56** | **1.98** | **0.39** | **0.26** | **2.89** |

**Note:** (1)MB stand for Mahasamund Bael.

(2) The superscript letters signifies that the treatment means with similar letters are *at par* at 5% level of significance, while the means with

different letters are significantly different at 5% level of significance. These letters have been affixed based on CD-value comparison of

treatment means.

**Shell thickness (mm)**

As per the findings, the maximum shell thickness of bael (4.20 mm) was recorded under the genotype MB-21, which was found statistically *at par* with MB-39, MB-38, MB-13, MB-37, MB-35, MB-20, MB-23, MB-24, MB-36 & MB-19 having the shell thickness of 3.83, 3.92, 4.03, 4.03, 4.05, 4.13, 4.14, 4.15, 4.15 & 4.19 mm, respectively under the present trial. Moreover, the genotypes MB-2, MB-5, MB-1 & MB-25 and MB-3, MB-4, MB-26, MB-15 & MB-16 and MB-14, MB-27 & MB-17 and MB-32, MB-28, MB-10, MB-12 & MB-33 having respective shell thickness of 2.52, 2.53, 2.56 & 2.58 and 2.69, 2.70, 2.75, 2.81 & 2.83 and 2.94, 2.94 & 2.99 and 3.16, 3.16, 3.18, 3.30 & 3.32 mm showed non-significant differences with each other at 5% level of significance. Similarly, the genotypes MB-9 & MB-8 and MB-34, MB-7 & MB-6 and MB-40, MB-31 & MB-11 and MB-18 & MB-22 with shell thickness of 3.36 & 3.37 and 3.46, 3.46 & 3.46 and 3.59, 3.67 & 3.73 and 3.80 & 3.80 mm, respectively were exposed statistically *at par* with each other under the present investigation. Additionally, the genotypes Goma Yashi, MB-2, MB-14, MB-9, MB-18 & MB-19 having the respective shell thickness of 2.01, 2.52, 2.94, 3.36, 3.80 & 4.19 mm were remarked significant differences between each other under the present exploration. Whereas, the minimum shell thickness (2.01 mm) was registered under the genotype Goma Yashi.

**Total number of seeds**

The different genotypes tested here showed notable variations between each other. The minimum number of total seeds (73.89) was registered under the genotype MB-22, which was found significantly superior from rest of the other genotypes tested under the present study. Moreover, the genotypes MB-9 & MB-33 and Goma Yashi, MB-31 & MB-40 and MB-29 & MB-32 and MB-17 & MB-1 and MB-37 & MB-36 and MB-7, MB-16 & MB-4 having total number of 81.98 & 82.47 and 92.00, 92.19 & 95.36 and 103.84 & 104.96 and 114.03 & 115.02 and 119.75 & 122.78 and 124.28, 125.36 & 125.93 seeds, respectively, showed non-significant differences among each other. Similarly, the genotypes MB-38, MB-6 & MB-19 and MB-18, MB-20 & MB-10 and MB-11, MB-8, MB-39, MB-13 & MB-12 and MB-34, MB-14, MB-35 & MB-15 and MB-26, MB-28, MB-27 & MB-25 with a respective total number of seeds 136.23, 138.90 & 139.46 and 141.59, 143.20 & 143.71 and 148.97, 148.99, 151.41, 151.77 & 151.91 and 152.79, 152.95, 153.12 & 156.16 and 160.41, 161.19, 162.30 & 163.02 were found statistically *at par* with each other at 5% level of significance under the present investigation. However, the genotypes MB-22, MB-3, MB-9, Goma Yashi, MB-30, MB-29, MB-2, MB-17, MB-37, MB-7, MB-5, MB-38, MB-18, MB-11, MB-34, MB-26, MB-24, MB-23 & MB-21 having the respective total number of seeds of 73.89, 77.57, 81.98, 92.00, 97.75, 103.84, 109.59, 114.03, 119.75, 124.28, 129.71, 136.23, 141.59, 148.97, 152.79, 160.41, 170.20, 177.69 & 195.86 were observed significant variations among each other under the present investigation. The maximum number of total seeds (195.86) were recorded under the genotype MB-21.

**Fruit yield (No. of fruits per tree)**

Significant variations were registered among the different genotypes tested under the present investigation. The maximum fruit yield (153.75 number of fruits/tree) was recorded with the genotype MB-31, and was statistically superior from rest of the genotypes tested under the present experiment. Moreover, the genotypes MB-2, MB-23, MB-1 & MB-3 and MB-5 & MB-15 and MB-14, MB-24, MB-7 & MB-16 and MB-17, MB-39 & MB-25 and MB-8, MB-38 & MB-22 having respective fruit yield of 82.75, 83.25, 83.75 & 85.25 and 88.50 & 88.75 and 89.00, 89.00, 90.50 & 90.63 and 94.25, 95.50 & 96.25 and 102.00, 103.75 & 104.50 number of fruits/tree showed non-significant differences with each other. Correspondingly, the genotypes MB-13 & MB-21 and MB-10, MB-20 & MB-35 and MB-12 & MB-28 and MB-18, MB-11 & MB-40 and MB-37, MB-26 & MB-19 and MB-30, MB-33 & MB-34 with fruit yield of 109.50 & 111.50 and 113.25, 113.75 & 116.00 and 118.50 & 118.75 and 124.50, 126.00 & 126.50 and 134.75, 135.75 & 136.75 and 142.50, 142.75 & 144.50 number of fruits/tree, respectively were seen statistically *at par* with each other under the present trial.Besides, the genotypes Goma Yashi, MB-2, MB-5, MB-4, MB-39, MB-6, MB-8, MB-9, MB-13, MB-10, MB-12, MB-18, MB-29, MB-37, MB-32, MB-30, MB-27 & MB-31 having the respective fruit yield of 58.63, 82.75, 88.50, 92.00, 95.50, 98.75, 102.00, 105.75, 109.50, 113.25, 118.50, 124.50, 129.75, 134.75, 138.75, 142.50, 147.25 & 153.75 number of fruits/tree were found significant differences among each other under the present investigation. The genotype Goma Yashi recorded the minimum fruit yield (58.63 number of fruits/tree) under in this trial.

**Pulp weight (g)**

As per the results, significant variations in pulp weight were recorded among the different genotypes tested under the present investigation. The maximum pulp weight (803.99 g) was recorded under the genotype Goma Yashi, and was found superior to rest of the genotypes studied under the present trial. Moreover, the genotypes MB-19 & MB-27 and MB-22 & MB-16 and MB-12, MB-25, MB-29 & MB-35 and MB-9 & MB-15 and MB-26, MB-20 & MB-30 and MB-23, MB-2, MB-33, MB-5 & MB-14 having pulp weight of 113.03 & 116.88 and 124.71 & 126.01 and 132.78, 134.96, 135.30 & 141.14 and 153.95 & 162.71 and 166.71, 170.11 & 174.59 and 186.60, 191.79, 193.50, 194.51 & 194.60 g, respectively, showed non-significant differences with each other at 5% level of significance. Similarly, the genotypes MB-18 & MB-17 and MB-10 & MB-6 and MB-4 & MB-11 and MB-37, MB-28 & MB-24 and MB-36 & MB-3 and MB-40 & MB-13 and MB-8 & MB-7 and MB-1 & MB-31 with respective pulp weight of 234.40 & 237.30 and 253.76 & 253.96 and 273.74 & 278.52 and 290.85, 292.54 & 295.01 and 323.20 & 324.94 and 349.42 & 358.40 and 424.80 & 432.69 and 486.41 & 494.03 g were marked statistically *at par* with each other under the present trial. The genotypes MB-21, MB-19, MB-16, MB-35, MB-9, MB-26, MB-23, MB-34, MB-18, MB-10, MB-4, MB-37, MB-38, MB-36, MB-40, MB-32, MB-8, MB-39 & MB-1 having the respective pulp weight of 93.50, 113.03, 126.01, 141.14, 153.95, 166.71, 186.60, 199.61, 234.40, 253.76, 273.74, 290.85, 308.55, 323.20, 349.42, 380.80, 424.80, 444.24 & 486.41 g showed significant differences between each other under the present exploration. Whereas, the minimum weight of pulp (93.50 g) was registered under the genotype MB-21.

**Seed weight (g)**

Significant variations were noted among the genotypes tested under the present investigation. The minimum seed weight (6.50 g) was recorded under the genotype Goma Yashi, which was found statistically *at par* with the genotypes MB-39 & MB-14 having seed weight of 9.11 & 9.28 g, respectively, under the present experiment. Moreover, the genotypes MB-39, MB-14 & MB-24 and MB-34, MB-3, MB-18 & MB-30 and MB-13, MB-35, MB-17 & MB-5 and MB-6, MB-19, MB-28, MB-8 & MB-29 and MB-37, MB-23, MB-11 & MB-12 with respective seed weight of 9.11, 9.28 & 11.61 and 13.09, 14.01, 14.49 & 14.59 and 14.95, 15.01, 15.37 & 16.05 and 16.13, 16.30, 17.85, 18.10 & 18.83 and 19.35, 19.39, 19.71 & 19.80 g showed non-significant differences with each other. Similarly, the genotypes MB-33, MB-31, MB-16, MB-32, MB-25, MB-9, MB-36 & MB-7 and MB-40, MB-15, MB-2 & MB-38 and MB-10, MB-26, MB-20 & MB-21 and MB-4, MB-27 & MB-1 with seed weight of 20.85, 20.93, 20.94, 20.99, 21.22, 21.95, 22.93 & 23.55 and 26.37, 27.07, 27.20 & 28.52 and 30.29, 30.78, 31.73 & 32.76 and 34.06, 35.21 & 36.65 g, respectively, were found statistically *at par* with each other at 5% level of significance under the present investigation. However, the genotypes Goma Yashi, MB-34, MB-28, MB-25, MB-40, MB-10, MB-4 & MB-22 having the respective seed weight of 6.50, 13.09, 17.85, 21.22, 26.37, 30.29, 34.06 & 45.46 g were examined significant differences among each other under the present investigation. The maximum seed weight (45.46 g) was inspected under the genotype MB-22.

**Shell weight (g)**

The shell weight (g) in bael genotypes were significantly influenced under various treatments observed under the present trial. The minimum shell weight (90.46 g) was recorded with the genotype MB-24, which was statistically superior to rest of the genotypes tested under the present experiment. Besides, the genotypes MB-1 & MB-38 and MB-29, MB-18, MB-6 & MB-11 and MB-25, MB-5 & MB-12 and MB-26, MB-15 & MB-16 and MB-40 & MB-23 and MB-10 & MB-2 and MB-7, MB-32, Goma Yashi & MB-4 having shell weight of 102.85 & 103.05 and 103.96, 104.02, 106.82 & 107.95 and 115.87, 116.59 & 120.64 and 128.32, 133.02 & 134.30 and 135.21 & 137.12 and 143.92 & 144.02 and 146.63, 147.38, 149.31 & 149.40 g, respectively, showed non-significant differences among each other at 5% level of significance. Similarly, the genotypes MB-30, MB-14, MB-21 & MB-3 and MB-8, MB-13 & MB-28 and MB-27, MB-19 & MB-17 and MB-36, MB-39, MB-37, MB-20 & MB-35 and MB-34, MB-22 & MB-33 with respective shell weight of 151.90, 152.18, 154.10 & 155.92 and 159.87, 162.65 & 162.87 and 167.01, 167.97 & 171.18 and 171.98, 172.96, 172.80, 173.09 & 176.88 and 185.34, 187.05 & 187.14 g were registered statistically *at par* with each other under the present trial.The genotypes MB-24, MB-1, MB-31, MB-5, MB-26, MB-40, MB-10, MB-30, MB-8, MB-27, MB-35 & MB-34 having shell weight of 90.46, 102.85, 110.04, 116.59, 128.32, 135.21, 143.92, 151.90, 159.87, 167.01, 176.88 & 185.34 g, respectively were remarked significant differences among each other at 5% level of significance. Whereas, the maximum shell weight (187.14 g) was detected under the genotype MB-33.

**Discussion**

The variability in leaf length and leaf width among the genotypes might be due to inherent characters of individual genotypes and their acclimatization to varied agro-climatic conditions. Mishra *et* *al.* (1999) also reported that different Bael genotypes exhibited variations in their leaf length and leaf width under moist conditions of eastern India. The results from the present study are similar with the findings of Parihar (2015), Singh *et al*. (2015), Pavani *et al*. (2017), Amulya (2019), Pale *et al*. (2019) and Singh *et al*. (2020) in Bael genotypes. The diversity in the fruit weight, fruit diameter, fruit length, specific gravity, shell thickness, total number of seeds, fruit yield, pulp weight, seed weight and shell weight characters of Bael genotypes are all genetic in nature and vary according to different genotypes rather than due to edaphic or other environmental factors. Inherent genetic makeup influences various traits related to fruit production. These genetic differences can affect the number of flowers, fruit set, fruit size and fruit retention, all of which contribute to the overall yield. Additionally, environmental factors and their interaction with the genotype can also play a significant role in yield variation. Such kinds of differences in fruit weight, fruit diameter seems to be associated with the results are reported in the past by Singh *et al.* (2000), Pathak *et al.* (2002) and Pandey *et al.* (2013), Parihar (2015), Singh *et al*. (2015), Pavani *et al*. (2017), Amulya (2019), Pale *et al*. (2019) and Singh *et al*. (2020) in Bael genotypes.

**Table 2: Variability in shell thickness (mm), total number of seeds, fruit yield (No. of fruits per tree), pulp weight (g), seed weight (g) and**

**shell weight (g) of forty-one different Bael genotypes studied in Mahasamund District of C.G.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Bael Genotypes** | **Shell thickness (mm)** | **Total number of seeds** | **Fruit yield (No. of fruits per tree)** | **Pulp weight (g)** | **Seed weight (g)** | **Shell weight (g)** |
| MB-1 | 2.56bcd | 115.02h | 83.75b | 486.41u | 36.65u | 102.85b |
| MB-2 | 2.52bc | 109.59g | 82.75b | 191.79ij | 27.20op | 144.02h |
| MB-3 | 2.69bcde | 77.57b | 85.25b | 324.94p | 14.01cd | 155.92kl |
| MB-4 | 2.70bcde | 125.93j | 92.00de | 273.74m | 34.06stu | 149.40hij |
| MB-5 | 2.53bc | 129.71k | 88.50c | 194.51ij | 16.05defg | 116.59e |
| MB-6 | 3.46ijkl | 138.90lm | 98.75g | 253.96l | 16.13defgh | 106.82bc |
| MB-7 | 3.46ijkl | 124.28j | 90.50cd | 432.69st | 23.55mn | 146.63hi |
| MB-8 | 3.37hijk | 148.99o | 102.00h | 424.80s | 18.10fghij | 159.87lm |
| MB-9 | 3.36hijk | 81.98c | 105.75i | 153.95f | 21.95klm | 177.97q |
| MB-10 | 3.18fghi | 143.71n | 113.25kl | 253.76l | 30.29pqr | 143.92h |
| MB-11 | 3.73klmn | 148.97o | 126.00n | 278.52m | 19.71ijkl | 107.95bc |
| MB-12 | 3.30ghij | 151.91op | 118.50m | 132.78de | 19.80ijkl | 120.64e |
| MB-13 | 4.03nop | 151.77op | 109.50j | 358.40q | 14.95def | 162.65mn |
| MB-14 | 2.94defg | 152.95pq | 89.00cd | 194.60ij | 9.28ab | 152.18ijk |
| MB-15 | 2.81cdef | 156.16q | 88.75c | 162.71fg | 27.07op | 133.02fg |
| MB-16 | 2.83cdef | 125.36j | 90.63cd | 126.01cd | 20.94ijklm | 134.30fg |
| MB-17 | 2.99efgh | 114.03h | 94.25ef | 237.30k | 15.37def | 171.18op |
| MB-18 | 3.80lmno | 141.59mn | 124.50n | 234.40k | 14.49cd | 104.02bc |
| MB-19 | 4.19p | 139.46lm | 136.75pq | 113.03b | 16.30defgh | 167.97no |
| MB-20 | 4.13op | 143.20n | 113.75kl | 170.11gh | 31.73qrs | 173.09opq |
| MB-21 | 4.20p | 195.86u | 111.50jk | 93.50a | 32.76rst | 154.10jkl |
| MB-22 | 3.80lmno | 73.89a | 104.50hi | 124.71bcd | 45.46v | 187.05r |
| MB-23 | 4.14op | 177.69t | 83.25b | 186.60i | 19.39hijk | 137.12g |
| MB-24 | 4.15op | 170.20s | 89.00cd | 295.01n | 11.61bc | 90.46a |
| MB-25 | 2.58bcd | 163.02r | 96.25fg | 134.96de | 21.22jklm | 115.87de |
| MB-26 | 2.75cde | 160.41r | 135.75pq | 166.71gh | 30.78qrs | 128.32f |
| MB-27 | 2.94defg | 162.30r | 147.25st | 116.88bc | 35.21tu | 167.01no |
| MB-28 | 3.16fghi | 161.19r | 118.75m | 292.54n | 17.85efghi | 162.87mn |
| MB-29 | 2.35ab | 103.84f | 129.75o | 135.30de | 18.83ghijk | 103.96bc |
| MB-30 | 2.35ab | 97.75e | 142.50r | 174.59h | 14.59cde | 151.90ijk |
| MB-31 | 3.67jklmn | 92.19d | 153.75u | 494.03u | 20.93ijklm | 110.04cd |
| MB-32 | 3.16fghi | 104.96f | 138.75q | 380.80r | 20.99ijklm | 147.38hi |
| MB-33 | 3.32ghij | 82.47c | 142.75r | 193.50ij | 20.85ijklm | 187.14r |
| MB-34 | 3.46ijkl | 152.79pq | 144.50rs | 199.61j | 13.09cd | 185.34r |
| MB-35 | 4.05nop | 153.12pq | 116.00lm | 141.14e | 15.01def | 176.88pq |
| MB-36 | 4.15op | 122.78ij | 148.00t | 323.20p | 22.93lm | 171.98opq |
| MB-37 | 4.03nop | 119.75i | 134.75p | 290.85n | 19.35ghijk | 172.80opq |
| MB-38 | 3.92mnop | 136.23l | 103.75hi | 308.55o | 28.52opq | 103.05b |
| MB-39 | 3.83lmnop | 151.41op | 95.50f | 444.24t | 9.11ab | 172.76opq |
| MB-40 | 3.59jklm | 95.36de | 126.50n | 349.42q | 26.37no | 135.21g |
| Goma Yashi | 2.01a | 92.00d | 58.63a | 803.99v | 6.50a | 149.31hij |
| **SE (m) ±** | **0.13** | **1.14** | **1.05** | **3.92** | **1.11** | **2.15** |
| **CD at 5%** | **0.38** | **3.41** | **3.12** | **11.75** | **3.31** | **6.44** |
| **CV** | **6.66** | **1.77** | **1.95** | **3.83** | **6.15** | **1.09** |

**Note:** (1)MB stand for Mahasamund Bael.

(2) The superscript letters signifies that the treatment means with similar letters are *at par* at 5% level of significance, while the means with

different letters are significantly different at 5% level of significance. These letters have been affixed based on CD-value comparison of

treatment means.

**CONCLUSION**

After the evaluation of physical & yield attributes of different bael genotypes it can be concluded that the maximum fruit and pulp weight was recorded in the genotype Goma Yashi, fruit diameter and fruit length in the genotype MB-2, fruit yield in the genotype MB-31, specific gravity in MB-16 & MB-17 and shell thickness in the genotype MB-21, while the highest leaf length was observed in the genotype MB-28 and leaf width in the genotype MB-37 under the present exploration. Moreover, the total number of seeds, shell weight and seed weight were found minimum in the genotype MB-22, MB-24 and Goma Yashi, respectively. The outcome of the present experiment revealed existence of wide range of diversity for nearly all the physical and yield attributing characters suggesting the presence of large variability among the genotype. Identification and characterization of genotypes gave an idea for horticultural and crop morphology characters of Bael genotypes which is required in providing the helpful information of gene bank management and further evaluation of the existing genotypes for breeding program which are ultimate goal of the experiment.
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