**Knowledge, attitude and practice toward Simulium nuisances among local population in Guiembé, Poro region, Côte d’Ivoire**

**Abstract**

**Background:** Onchocerciasis (river blindness) was a major cause of blindness with significant socio-economic impacts. In endemic regions like Côte d'Ivoire, understanding community knowledge, perceptions, and behaviors is crucial for enhancing the effectiveness of preventive measures promoted by the African Programme for Onchocerciasis Control. This study assessed the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of rural populations in Guiembé toward Simulidian nuisances.

**Methods:** Cluster random sampling was used to select 120 adults for the study. Semi-structured questionnaires were administered to subjects. Data on knowledge of the local name, cause, mode of transmission, manifestation, severity, treatment, and prevention of onchocerciasis were collected and analysed.

**Results:** The studied population was predominantly male (68.3%) and had limited access to secondary education. Their primary professional activity was agriculture (84.2%). A high proportion of respondents (95.5%) reported at least one blackfly bite, primarily occurring in fields (45.8%) and near rivers (35.0%). Itching was identified as the main effect of the bites, reported by 98.3% of respondents. Grasses were perceived as the primary factor promoting the proliferation of these flies. Blackfly biting activity was more intense during the afternoon (58.3%), with a clear preference for the legs (79.2%). Regarding prevention methods, the majority of respondents (90.8%) stated they simply wore clothing for protection. In contrast, after a bite, most respondents (83.3%) took no specific measures to alleviate symptoms.

**Conclusion:** This study reveal a high level of exposure to black fly bites, combined with a lack of knowledge of their bioecology among residents, leading to ongoing exposure. The low uptake of post-bite curative measures highlights significant gaps in community knowledge and practice. Strengthening health education, as well as promoting preventive strategies and appropriate post-bite care, could improve the uptake and effectiveness of onchocerciasis control interventions in rural Guiembé.
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**Background**

Onchocerciasis, caused by the parasite *Onchocerca volvulus*, is among the neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that significantly impact low-income countries (Vinkeles *et al*., 2021). It is transmitted through repeated bites from infected members of *Simulium damnosum* complex (black flies), which thrive in fast-flowing rivers (Weldegebreal *et* *al*., 2014). "Nine species associated with the Simulium damnosum complex have been identified and described taxonomically in West Africa. These include Simulium sirbanum, Simulium damnosum sensu stricto, Simulium dieguerense, Simulium sanctipauli, Simulium soubrense, Simulium squamosum, Simulium yahense, Simulium leonense, and Simulium konkorense (Crosskey, 1990; Post *et al*., 2011; Cheke *et al*., 2021). The first three species, commonly referred to as 'savanna flies,' serve as vectors of the savanna strain of Onchocerca volvulus. In contrast, the remaining species are grouped under the forest category and are responsible for transmitting the forest strain of the parasite, which is more predominantly associated with dermatological manifestations of onchocerciasis rather than the ocular pathologies leading to blindness (WHO, 1995).

The disease predominantly affects working-age individuals in rural areas of tropical and subtropical Africa. In 2015, it ranked as the second leading infectious cause of blindness worldwide, and is a stigmatizing condition that induces severe psychological stress (WHO, 2015). As of 2017, the Global Burden of Disease Study estimated 20.9 million onchocerciasis infections, with 125 million people globally at risk—96% of them in Africa and over 99% of cases occurring in rural, riverine parts of sub-Saharan Africa (WHO, 2019).

People living or working near bodies of water, such as rivers and streams, are particularly at risk, especially those involved in agriculture, laundry, or bathing (WHO, 2017; Jacob *et al*., 2018). These environments provide ideal breeding grounds for blackflies, which prefer clean, fast-flowing, and oxygenated waters to lay their eggs (Crosskey, 1990). When feeding on blood, female blackflies transmit infectious L3-stage larvae, which develop into adult worms (macrofilariae) in humans. The female worms, which reside in subcutaneous nodules for up to 15 years, release a large number of microfilariae daily, triggering significant inflammatory reactions (Frallonardo *et al*., 2022).

Onchocerciasis causes severe complications, reducing work capacity, leading to psychological distress, and resulting in economic losses due to decreased agricultural productivity. Among the most notable symptoms are intense itching, visual impairment that can lead to blindness, and social stigmatization, which particularly affects women by impacting their self-esteem and social interactions (Hotez *et al*., 2014 ; Noormahomed and Mascaró-Lazcano, 2019). Other consequences include reduced life expectancy, sleep disturbances, poor academic performance, a predisposition to epilepsy, and the perpetuation of poverty (Colebunders and Titulaer, 2017).

In Côte d'Ivoire, black flies have reemerged exponentially following the cessation of the program in 2002 due to the military crisis. This resurgence represents a significant nuisance, particularly in rural communities where agricultural and fishing activities dominate along fast-flowing rivers (Yapi *et al*., 2014 ; Coulibaly *et al*., 2022). Since 2019, a hydro-agricultural development project has been underway on the Bandama tributary in the municipality of Guiembé, aiming to irrigate several hundred hectares of agricultural land. However, such a project may also have negative impacts due to alterations in the local ecosystem, particularly through the amplification of pathogens resulting from the proliferation of disease vectors. The construction of the dam and irrigation channels has altered water flow both upstream and downstream, creating areas conducive to the breeding of blackfly larvae. These ecological changes could exacerbate the nuisance caused by blackfly vectors of onchocerciasis. In view of these challenges, it is crucial for each country to undertake entomological activities to implement vector control measures.

Raising awareness, acquiring knowledge and adopting preventive practices within communities are fundamental elements in achieving onchocerciasis elimination goals. The active participation of the local population is a guarantee of sustainability in the fight against this disease and allows the implementation of strategies tailored to the specific contexts of different regions. The elimination of onchocerciasis largely depends on improving and maintaining a high level of knowledge and appropriate preventive practices among populations living in endemic areas. This objective aims to ensure the long-term effectiveness of control and elimination strategies, while strengthening acceptable and locally adapted intervention measures (Alonso *et al*., 2017). The success of onchocerciasis elimination initiatives requires active and sustained community participation. Indeed, the effectiveness of control strategies depends not only on the population's in-depth understanding of the disease, but also on their perceptions and preventive behaviours (Meribo *et al*., 2017).

However, as onchocerciasis incidence declines, maintaining community awareness and promoting appropriate responses becomes increasingly challenging. Misperceptions of risk may lead to reduced vigilance, thereby facilitating continued disease transmission. It is therefore essential to assess the level of awareness, perceptions and practices of local communities regarding onchocerciasis and the prevention measures implemented, particularly in areas benefiting from elimination initiatives such as mass drug administration (MDA) (Eneanya *et al*., 2021). Although Côte d'Ivoire remains an endemic country, data on community knowledge, perceptions and practices related to onchocerciasis control and prevention remain insufficient, highlighting the need for a thorough assessment. Thus, it is essential to assess community knowledge, risk perception, preventive practices and associated factors related to onchocerciasis in Guiembé.

**Methods**

**Ethical Clearance**

All study participants were provided with full and detailed information on study procedures and objectives in their local language. Each participant verbally agreed and signed an informed consent to participate as a study volunteer. The study received approval from community leaders and district health authorities.

**Study area**

The study was carried out in the commune of Guiembé (9° 14′ N and 5° 43′ W), located in the Poro region of northern Côte d'Ivoire, 30 km from Korhogo and around 580 km from Abidjan. It covers an area of 470 km² and has an estimated population of 19,872 (RGPH, 2021). The commune benefits from hydro-agricultural development on the Solomougou, a tributary of the Bandama river. It is bordered to the north by the sub-prefectures of Tioroniaradougou, Dassougbo and Kombolokoura, to the west by Sirasso, to the south by Dikodougou and Kiémou, and to the east by Napié (Figure 1). The region's climate is Sudanese, with two main seasons. The dry season, which runs from November to April, precedes the rainy season, with two peaks of rainfall in August and September. Both daily and annual variations in temperature are relatively high, while humidity is lower than in the south of the country. Between December and February, the municipality is periodically exposed to the harmattan, a cool, dry wind. Annual rainfall varies between 1,000 mm and 1,400 mm.

**Study population**

The study included respondents aged 15 years and over who had been continuous residents of the community for at least six months. Purposive sampling was used for the qualitative component to ensure representation from a wide range of stakeholders.

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted from September to October 2022 in Giembe area. A structured questionnaire was administered to consenting individuals aged 15 years and above to assess their knowledge of blackfly bioecology and socio-economic burden of black flies. The survey covered topics such as the peak biting periods, breeding sites, effects of bites, commonly affected body parts, and preventive measures used against black fly bites. Both quantitative and qualitative data were collected from each selected village.



**Figure 1**: Map of Guiembéshowing the study sites

**Results**

**Socio-demographic data of respondents of the community**

A total of 120 individuals were interviewed. The majority of respondents were male (68.3%), and had only received a primary education (51.7%), with limited access to secondary schooling while about 36% had not received any formal education. Farming was the major occupation among the respondents (84.2%).

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 1.** Sociodemographic distribution of the surveyed population by age and occupation |
| Age group | [15-35[ | [35-50[ | [50-65[ | [65- ∞[ | Total |
| n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | N (%) |
| Gender  | Male | 32 (39.0) | 43 (52.4) | 5 (6.1) | 2 (2.4) | 82 (68.3) |
| Female | 11 (29.0) | 23 (60.5) | 3 (7.9) | 1 (2.6) | 38 (31.7) |
| Educational Level | Primary | 33 (53.2) | 14 (22.6) | 13 (21.0) | 2 (3.2) | 62 (51.7) |
| Secondary | 9 (60.0) | 4 (26.7) | 2 (13.3) | 0 (0.0) | 15 (12.5) |
| None | 13 (30.2) | 12 (27.9) | 7 (16.3) | 11 (26.6) | 43 (35.8) |
| Occupation | Farmer | 22 (21.8) | 63 (62.4) | 12 (11.9) | 4 (3.9) | 101 (84.2) |
| Trader | 8 (72.7) | 2 (18.8) | 1 (9.1) | 0 (0.0) | 11 (9.6) |
| Shepherd | 2 (66.7) | 1 (33.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (2.5) |
| Pupils  | 5 (100) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (4.2) |

**Respondents' knowledge and perceptions on blackfly bioecology**

The majority of respondents (95%) affirmed that they know blackflies and all reported had already been bitten. Most respondents indicated that bites were particularly intense in fields (45.8%) and near the dam (35%), while approximately 16% reported higher biting activity at countryside. Almost all respondents identified itching (98.3%) as the primary effect of blackfly bites. The presence of grasses (56.7%) was perceived as the main source of fly proliferation, followed by the dam (19.2%) and irrigation canals (13.3%).

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 2.** Knowledge and attitude of respondents on bioecology of blackflies |
| Parameter | Response | Number of Respondent  | Percentage (%) |
| Have you heard of blackflies ? | Yes | 114 | 95.0 |
| No  | 6 | 5.0 |
| Do you feel their bites in the population ? | Yes | 120 | 100.0 |
| No | 0 | 0.0 |
| Where do flies bite more? | Field | 55 | 45.8 |
| Countryside  | 19 | 15.8 |
| Riverside | 42 | 35.0 |
| House | 4 | 3.3 |
| What are the effects of their bite ? | Itching  | 118 | 98.3 |
| Swelling  | 2 | 1.7 |
| Causes of blackfly presence | Dam  | 23 | 19.2 |
| Irrigation canals | 16 | 13.3 |
| Herbs | 68 | 56.7 |
| Others  | 13 | 10.8 |

**Community perceptions related to blackfly bites**

The majority of respondents (85%) reported being regularly exposed to blackfly bites. The bites were felt most intensely in the afternoon (58,3 %), and the flies bit any exposed part of the body but with affinity for the leg (79,2 %).

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 3.** Perception and knowledge of blackfly bites  |
| parameter | Response  | Number of respondent | Percentage (%) |
| Bite intensity | Regularly | 102 | 85.0 |
| Occasionally | 14 | 11.7 |
| Rarely | 4 | 3.3 |
| At what time of day are their bites most intense ? | Morning | 45 | 37.5 |
| Afternoon | 70 | 58.3 |
| Evening | 5 | 4.2 |
| Which part of the body do they often bite ? | Head | 6 | 5.0 |
| Neck  | 17 | 14.2 |
| Leg  | 95 | 79.2 |
| Others | 2 | 1.7 |

**Community knowledge on blackfly bite prevention**

The findinds indicate that 82.2% of respondents are aware of blackflies, and 71.7% report having received information about them. Regarding prevention, a large majority (90.8%) prefer wearing clothing as the main personal protective measure against bites, while the use of ointments remains infrequent (6.7%). In the event of a bite, most respondents (83.3%) take no specific action, only 11.7% seek care at a health facility, and 5% resort to taking medication.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 4**. Knowledge of respondents on methods of prevention of blackfly bite |
| Parameter | Response | Number of Respondent | Percentage (%) |
| Do you know about blackflies? | Yes | 101 | 82.2 |
| No | 19 | 15.8 |
| Have you ever been informed about blackflies? | Yes | 86 | 71.7 |
| No | 34 | 28.3 |
| How do you protect yourself against their bites? | Clothing  | 109 | 90.8 |
| Rub ointement | 8 | 6.7 |
| Others | 3 | 2.5 |
| What do you do after a bite? | Take drugs | 6 | 5.0 |
| Go to hospital | 14 | 11.7 |
| Nothing | 100 | 83.3 |

Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess the level of awareness, perceptions and practices of local communities in Guiembé regarding blackflies. The results revealed that respondents were characterised by a high proportion of younger farmers (90.8%) with a low level of education. The main activity was agriculture (84.2%). The data revealed a high level of awareness of blackflies among the local population, with 95% of respondents reporting prior knowledge of the insect and having experienced bites, primarily while working in fields or near the dam. Biting was reported to occur more frequently in the afternoon and predominantly affected the lower limbs, especially the legs, resulting in itching. Respondents commonly identified grass as the main breeding site for blackflies. Notably, 83.3% of individuals reported taking no specific action following a blackfly bite.

The predominance of a young population in the agricultural sector of the study area reflects a working population that is mainly involved in agricultural work and likely to engage in outdoor activities, often near fast-flowing waterways, which are the preferred habitats of black flies of the genus *Simulium*. This exposure of the rural population, combined with low levels of education, could increase the risk of onchocerciasis transmission and limit the adoption of preventive measures essential to the elimination of the disease. These observations are consistent with findings from rural settings, where agricultural activities conducted near vector breeding sites have been associated with increased morbidity burden (Akogun and Onwuluri, 1991, Abdullahi and Oyeyi, 2003, Kelly-Hope *et al*., 2015). This situation is typical of rural areas in sub-Saharan Africa and reflects structural barriers such as poverty, gender inequality and a lack of educational infrastructure. The low level of formal education observed could lead to a decline in health literacy and poor compliance with mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns, both of which are essential for eliminating onchocerciasis (Nutbeam, 2000).

The majority of respondents (95.5%) reported being familiar with blackflies and indicated that they had previously been bitten, primarily in agricultural fields and near water bodies. This high level of human-vector contact suggests a high vector density in the study area, probably linked to ecological conditions favourable to the reproduction of *Simulium* spp., such as the proximity of fast-flowing or developed watercourses (Jacob *et al*., 2013, Otabil *et al*., 2020). This corresponds to the typical breeding habitats of Simulium spp. and confirms their nuisance role in rural areas. The biting nuisance, frequently criticized by local populations, may lead to reduced or interrupted farming activities, potentially resulting in decreased productivity. These findings are consistent with previous studies conducted in other parts of Africa, particularly in Nigeria, where similar impacts of black fly nuisance on agricultural productivity have been documented (Amazigo, 1993; Adeleke *et al*., 2010).

Local perceptions of areas with high blackfly exposure sites mainly refer to fields (45.8%) and riverside (35%), which correspond to the typical ecological habitats of Simulium spp., whose larval development requires well-oxygenated running water (Sitarz *et al*., 2022, Cunze *et al*., 2024). This concordance between community observations and entomological data highlights a certain accuracy in the perception of exposure risk. Similar results have been reported in the Bafia health district in Cameroon, where riverside localities had higher vector densities, thus increasing the risk of onchocerciasis transmission (Domche *et al*., 2023). These convergences between local knowledge and scientific knowledge could be a relevant lever for strengthening community adherence to control interventions.

Almost all respondents (98.3%) identified itching as the main effect of blackfly bites, which is a typical symptom reported in several studies addressing the nuisance caused by these vectors (Katabarwa *et al*., 2013, Domche *et al*., 2021). While this cutaneous reaction is generally benign, it can nonetheless result in considerable discomfort and negatively impact the productivity of affected populations (Sitarz *et al*., 2022, Siewe Fodjo *et al*., 2024).

Regarding perceived factors contributing to blackfly proliferation, participants most frequently cited the presence of grasses, as well as dams and irrigation canals. Although these perceptions do not fully align with the actual ecological requirements of the vector, particularly the need for fast-flowing water for larval development, they reflect a partial local understanding of habitats favorable to blackfly. (Crosskey, 1990, Cunze *et al*., 2024). Similar observations have been documented in Cameroon, where communities identified trees, bushes, or dense vegetation as breeding sites for blackflies (Siewe Fodjo *et al*., 2024). This limited knowledge of larval habitats highlights the importance of integrating local perceptions into educational outreach, in order to optimize behavior change communication strategies and enhance the effectiveness of vector control interventions (WHO, 2025).

During this study, grasses were most commonly cited as factors contributing to the proliferation of blackflies. Although this perception does not align with the actual larval development requirements, which are primarily associated with fast-flowing water, it reflects a local knowledge that should be considered in the design of community awareness strategies (Jacob *et al*., 2018, Cunze *et al*., 2024). Respondents’ perceptions revealed a partial understanding of blackfly breeding sites: while 19.2% identified dams and 13.3% irrigation canals, both consistent with known Simulium spp. habitats, the majority (56.7%) attributed the presence of black flies to grasses. This misconception highlights the need for targeted health education to address local misunderstandings regarding vector biology. Similar erroneous perceptions were documented in the Ntui health district, where community members believed that black flies emerged from trees and bushes (Siewe Fodjo *et al*., 2024).

Community perceptions gathered during this study revealed significant nuisance caused by black fly bites, particularly during daylight hours. This observation is consistent with the known behavioural patterns of black flies, whose activity can peak once or twice a day, particularly in the morning and late afternoon, depending on local ecological conditions (Opoku, 2006, Adeleke *et al*., 2010, Touré *et al*., 2025). These peak periods often coincide with times of human activity, such as agricultural work, thereby could increase the risk of exposure, as previously reported in several endemic settings, including Nigeria (Busari *et al*., 2021) and Ghana (Biritwum *et al*., 2019). However, our results revealed an intensification of bites in the early afternoon, which contrasts with the results obtained in southwestern Nigeria, where peak bites were observed in the middle of the day (Busari *et al*., 2021). Despite the spatial and temporal variations observed, the diurnal nature of black fly bites is a major factor disrupting daily productive activities. Unlike mosquitoes of the genus Anopheles, which are mainly active at night (Spitzen *et al*., 2025), blackfles are active during the day, with peaks of aggressiveness often recorded in the morning and late afternoon (Touré *et al*., 2025). This daytime nuisance directly interferes with working hours, particularly in rural areas where agricultural and fishing activities take place outdoors. Several studies have shown that this entomological pressure can lead to a decline in productivity, temporary abandonment of arable land, and even seasonal population displacement (Coulibaly *et al*., 2022). These socio-economic impacts highlight the importance of integrating the behavioural dimension of vectors into control strategies, particularly through individual protection measures adapted to the activity schedules of black flies.

Furthermore, although bites have been reported on all exposed parts of the body, a marked affinity for the legs was mentioned by 79.2% of participants. These results are consistent with those obtained in previous studies, which showed that black flies tend to fly at low altitudes and preferentially land on the lower limbs, particularly in people who are standing (Crosskey, 1990). This preference could be explained by several factors, including skin temperature, proximity to the ground, or CO₂ emissions from the feet (Atekem *et al*., 2024). These observations highlight the relevance of targeted personal protection measures (long clothing, localised repellents) and the need to raise awareness among populations about periods of peak vector activity.

These results highlight the importance of cross-referencing objective entomological data with community perceptions in order to better understand the real impact of black flies in endemic areas. The partial concordance between local knowledge and scientific data, particularly with regard to places and times of exposure, can be a valuable lever for designing appropriate and culturally rooted communication strategies. Furthermore, the high level of nuisance reported during working hours suggests significant repercussions on livelihoods, particularly in rural communities that are heavily dependent on agriculture. This disruption of productive activities could affect not only local food security, but also the adherence of populations to public health interventions, particularly mass treatment campaigns.

Community knowledge about blackfly bite prevention seems relatively high in the study area. Most respondents reported being familiar with blackflies and indicated that had previously received information about them. While this level of awareness is encouraging, it doesn't necessarily result in the adoption of diverse or effective preventive practices. The majority of participants (90.8%) indicated wearing clothing as the main individual protection measure, while other means, such as the use of repellent ointments, were rarely mentioned (6.7%). This reliance on clothing as the primary means of protection reflects a limited range of preventive strategies. It may be shaped by socioeconomic constraints, cultural factors or by a lack of detailed information on other available protection methods. These findinds are consistent with those reported in Cameroon and the Democratic Republic of Congo, which showed that communities exposed to black fly nuisance also favour wearing protective clothing due to a lack of access to or knowledge of repellents or other means of protection (Katabarwa *et al*., 2013, Siewe Fodjo *et al*., 2024; Kamtsap *et al*., 2025). Furthermore, in Uganda, intensive awareness campaigns have led to a significant improvement in the adoption of complementary preventive measures, including the use of natural repellents and impregnated mosquito nets in certain riverside areas (Jacob *et al*., 2018), although their effectiveness against black flies remains limited.

Par ailleurs, l’absence de réaction post-exposition chez une majorité de répondants laisse entrevoir une banalisation des piqûres ou une méconnaissance des complications (réactions inflammatoires ou dermatologiques, lésions secondaires, etc.). Ce comportement pourrait également témoigner d’un déficit de sensibilisation aux soins post-piqûres et à la nécessité de consulter en cas de symptômes atypiques (Otabil *et al*., 2019). Des observations similaires ont été rapportées dans d’autres contextes endémiques, où l’accès limité aux soins et les croyances locales réduisent le recours aux soins après exposition aux vecteurs (Busari *et al*., 2022, WHO, 2025 ).

Ces constats soulignent la nécessité de renforcer les stratégies de communication pour le changement de comportement, en insistant non seulement sur la pluralité des moyens de protection, mais aussi sur les conduites appropriées à adopter après exposition. L’intégration d’approches participatives adaptée au contexte local, pourrait améliorer l’adhésion communautaire aux mesures de prévention et renforcer l’efficacité des interventions entomologiques.

**Conclusion**

This study revealed a high level of awareness regarding the nuisance caused by black flies in the municipality of Guiembé yet significant gaps remain in the population’s understanding of blackfly ecology and the range of preventive measures adopted. Although the population generally identifed the places and times of exposure to black flies, the attribution of their proliferation to vegetation and the low use of post-bite care indicate low health literacy. These findings highlight the urgent need to strengthen communication and prevention strategies through participatory, culturally appropriate approaches based on local realities. Integrating community knowledge into entomological interventions and developing accessible and acceptable protection tools appear essential to reducing vector nuisance and sustainably supporting efforts to eliminate onchocerciasis.

**References**

1. Vinkeles Melchers NV, Stolk WA, Murdoch ME, Pedrique B, Kloek M, Bakker R, *et al*. (2021). How does onchocerciasis-related skin and eye disease in Africa depend on cumulative exposure to infection and mass treatment?. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 15(6):e0009489.
2. Weldegebreal F, Medhin G, Weldegebriel Z & Legesse M. (2014). Assessment of community’s knowledge, attitude and practice about onchocerciasis and community directed treatment with Ivermectin in Quara District, north western Ethiopia. Parasites vectors. 7:98.
3. Crosskey RW (1990). The Natural History of Blackflies. Wiley.
4. Post RJ, Flook PK & Millest AL. (2011). Species identification of the *Simulium damnosum* complex. Ann Trop Med Parasitol.105(4):277–297.
5. Cheke R. Little K. Young S. Walker M & Basáñez M. (2021). Taking the strain out of onchocerciasis? a reanalysis of blindness and transmission data does not support the existence of a savannah blinding strain of onchocerciasis in West Africa. Adv Parasitol 112:1–50.
6. WHO. (1995). World Health Organization. Onchocerciasis and its control. report of a WHO Expert Committee on Onchocerciasis Control. Geneva. Technical Report Series No. 852. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/37346
7. WHO. (2015). World Health Organization & African Programme for Onchocerciasis Control. The WHO African pro grammeforonchocerciasis control: final evaluation report. (2015). Available from: https://cdn.who.int/ media/docs/default-source/documents/evaluation/evaluation-onchocerciasis-control.pdf?sfvrsn= f812abe5\_2
8. WHO. (2019). World Health Organization. Progress report on the elimination of human onchocerciasis. 2018–2019. Wkly EpidRec;94:513–524.
9. WHO. (2017). Guidelines for Stopping Mass Drug Administration and Verifying Elimination of Human Onchocerciasis: Criteria and Procedures. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241510011>
10. Jacob BG, Loum D, Lakwo TL, Katholi CR, Habomugisha P, Byamukama E, *et al*. (2018). Community-directed vector control to supplement mass drug distribution for onchocerciasis elimination in the Madi mid-North focus of Northern Uganda. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 12(8): e0006702.
11. Frallonardo L, Di Gennaro F, Panico GG, Novara R, Pallara E, Cotugno S, *et al*. (2022). Onchocerciasis: Current knowledge and future goals. Frontiers in Tropical Diseases, 3:986884.
12. Hotez P J. Alvarado M, Basañez MG. Bolliger I. Bourne R. Boussinesq M, *et al*. (2014). The GlobalBurdenof Disease Study 2010: Interpretation and Implications for the Neglected Tropical Diseases. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 8(7):e2865.
13. Noormahomed EV & Mascaro-Lazcano C. (2019). Onchocerciasis in Mozambique: an unknown condition for health professionals. EC microbiology. 15(3):160.
14. **Colebunders R** & **Titulaer MJ.** (2017). Nodding syndrome: Preventable and treatable*.* **Science Translational Medicine**, 9(377): eaam8532.
15. Yapi YG, Coulibaly D, Traore DF, Tai E, Boby-Ouassa AM, Boka OM, *et al*. (2014). Etude préliminaire de l’efficacité du citron vert (citrus aurantifolia, rutaceae) dans la lutte contre la nuisance simulidienne a petitgarango et allangba-konankro, villages riverains de la marahoué, dans la commune de Bouaflé, Côte d’Ivoire. European Scientific Journal 10(15) : 1857 – 7881
16. Coulibaly F, Yapi Yapi G, Toure D S, Kadjo K A & Doannio JM-C. (2022). Black flies aggressivity in Kafolo: Influence of climatic and environmental factors. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 174 :18031–18042.
17. Alonso LM, Ortiz ZH, Garcia B, Nguema R, Nguema J, Ncogo P, *et al.* (2017). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward onchocerciasis among local population in Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 10(5), 1228–1237.
18. Meribo K, Kebede B, Feleke SM, Mengistu B, Mulugeta A, Sileshi M, *et al.* (2017). Review of Ethiopian onchocerciasis elimination programme. Ethiopian Medical Journal. 55(Suppl 1):55.
19. Eneanya OA, Koudou BG, Aboulaye M, Elvis AA, Souleymane Y, Kouakou M-M, *et al.* (2021) Progress towards onchocerciasis elimination in Côte d’Ivoire: A geospatial modelling study. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 15(2): e0009091.
20. RGPH, 2021. Recensement General de la Population et l’Habitat. Résultats globaux, 2021. [https://plan.gouv.ci/assets/fichier/RGPH2021 RESULTATS-GLOBAUX-VF.pdf](https://plan.gouv.ci/assets/fichier/RGPH2021%20RESULTATS-GLOBAUX-VF.pdf)
21. Akogun OB & Onwuluri COE. (1991). Hyperendemic onchocerciasis in the Taraba valley of Gongola State (Old Adamawa Province), Nigeria. Ann. Parasitol. Hum. Comp. 6(1): 22–26
22. Abdullahi Y & Oyeyi TI. (2003). Current status of onchocerciasis in Tudun Wada and Doguwalocalgov ernmentareas of KanoState. Nig. J. Parasitol., 24: 77–88
23. Kelly-Hope LA, Unnasch TR, Stanton MC & Molyneux DH (2015). Hypo-endemic onchocerciasis hotspots: defining areas of high risk through micro-mapping and environmental delineation. Infect Dis Poverty. 4:36.
24. Nutbeam D. (2000). Health literacy as a public health goal: A challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. *Health Promotion International*, 15(3):259–267.
25. Jacob BG, Novak RJ, Toe LD, Sanfo M, Griffith DA, Lakwo TL, *et al*. (2013). Validation d'un modèle de télédétection pour identifier les sites de reproduction de Simulium damnosum sl en Afrique subsaharienne. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 7(7) : e2342.
26. Otabil KB, Gyasi SF, Awuah E, Obeng-Ofori D, Tenkorang SB, Kessie JA, *et al*. (2020). Biting rates and relative abundance of Simulium flies under different climatic conditions in an onchocerciasis endemic community in Ghana. Parasit Vectors. 13(1):229.
27. Amazigo U. (1993). Onchocerciasis and women’s reproductive health: Indigenous and biomedical concepts. Tropical Doctor, 23(4), 149–151.
28. Adeleke MA, Mafiana CF, Sam Wobo SO, Olatunde GO, Ekpo UF, Akin wale OP, *et al* (2010). Biting behaviour of Simulium damnosum complex and Onchocerca volvulus infection along the Osun River, Southwest Nigeria. Parasit Vectors. 3:93.
29. Sitarz, M, Buczek AM, Buczek W, Buczek A & Bartosik K. (2022). Risk of Attacks by Blackflies (Diptera: Simuliidae) and Occurrence of Severe Skin Symptoms in Bitten Patients along the Eastern Border of the European Union. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(13), 7610.
30. Cunze S, Jourdan J & Klimpel S. (2024). Ecologically and medically important black flies of the genus Simulium: Identification of biogeographical groups according to similar larval niches. Science of the Total Environment, 917, 170454.
31. Domche A, Nana-Djeunga HC, Nwane PB, Nanga [Cédric L](https://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13071-021-05048-y#auth-C_dric_Lenou-Nanga-Aff1-Aff4), Boussinesq [M](https://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13071-021-05048-y#auth-Michel-Boussinesq-Aff3-Aff4) ,Njiokou [F,](https://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13071-021-05048-y#auth-Flobert-Njiokou-Aff2-Aff4) *et al*. (2023). Trends in black fly density, parity and infection rates from riverside to villages of the Bafia Health District in Cameroon: implication for onchocerciasis vector control. Parasites Vectors. 15(5) : 645–652
32. Katabarwa MN, Eyamba A, Chouaibou M, Enyong P, Kuété T, Yaya S, *et al*. (2013). Does onchocerciasis transmission take place in hypoendemic areas? A study in North Cameroon. Tropical Medical International Health. 18(9):1107–1115.
33. Domche A, Nana-Djeunga HC, Yemeli LD, Nanga CL, Boussinesq M & Njiokou F. (2021). Knowledge/perception and attitude/practices of populations of two first-line communities of the Centre Region of Cameroon regarding onchocerciasis and black fly nuisance and bio-ecology. Parasit Vectors. 14:546.
34. Siewe Fodjo JN & Jada SRL, (2024). Beating river blindness: blackfly bites dramatically reduced after breeding sites are destroyed with community support. Science Africa Blog. <https://www.scienceblog.africa/post/beating-river-blindness-blackfly-bites-dramatically-reduced-after-breeding-sites-are-destroyed-wit>
35. WHO, 2025. World Health Organization. Onchocerciasis – Fact Sheet. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/onchocerciasis
36. Opoku AA. (2006). The ecology and biting activity of blackflies (*Simuliidae*) and the prevalence of onchocerciasis in an agricultural community in Ghana. West African Journal of Applied Ecology, 9(1), 51–59.
37. Busari LO, Ojurongbe O, Adeleke MA, Surakat OA & Akindele AA. (2021). Biting behaviour and infectivity of *Simulium damnosum* complex with Onchocerca parasite in Alabameta, Osun State, Southwestern, Nigeria. PLoS ONE. 16(6): e0252652
38. Biritwum N-K, de Souza DK, Asiedu O, Gyapong JO, Osei-Atweneboana MY, Boakye DA, *et al*. (2021). Onchocerciasis control in Ghana (1974–2016). Parasit Vectors14:3
39. Spitzen J, Lankheet MJ, Pieters RPM, Gadamika M, Phiri I, Cribellier A, *et al*. (2025). The effect of eave and window modifications on house entry behavior of *Anopheles gambiae*. Parasites Vectors;18:251.
40. Touré DS, Yokoly NF, Traoré I, Ouattara FA & Doumbia M. (2025). Assessment of blackflies (Simulium damnosum s.l.) nuisance on Guiembé hydro-agricultural development site in Côte d’Ivoire. International Journal of Entomology Research, 10(3), 116–121.
41. Atekem K, Nwane P, Nditanchou R, Jeyam A, Wilhelm A, Selby R, *et al*. (2024). Comparison of standard and modified human landing catching techniques for blackfly collection. International Health. 16(3):351–356
42. **Kamtsap P, Nguemaïm Ngoufo F, Paguem A**  &  **Renz A, (2025).** Knowledge and Practices of Four Onchocerciasis-Endemic Communities in Cameroon.Microorganisms, 13(4):736
43. Otabil KB, Gyasi SF, Awuah E, Obeng-Ofori D, Atta-Nyarko RJ, Andoh D *et al*. (2019). Prevalence of Onchocerciasis and Associated Clinical Manifestations in Selected Hypoendemic Communities in Ghana Following Long-Term Administration of Ivermectin. *BMC* Infectious Diseases*.* 19 :431.
44. WHO, 2025. World Health Organization: Onchocercisiasis. Key facts. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/onchocerciasis>