**Biofertilizers: A Sustainable Solution for Enhanced Crop Yield and Soil Health in Modern Agriculture- An overview**

**Abstract**

The global demand for food production requires an enhancement in crop yield to meet the needs of the increasing population. However, soil degradation due to intensive agricultural practices, such as using pesticides and chemical fertilizers, poses a significant challenge to sustainable food production. Soil health and plant productivity are intricately linked to interactions among plants, soil, and microorganisms. Biofertilizers, containing living or dormant microorganisms, have emerged as a promising solution to enhance soil microbial status, stimulate natural soil microbiota, and improve nutrient accessibility and decomposition of organic matter. This abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the role of biofertilizers, including nitrogen-fixing, phosphorus-solubilizing, potassium-solubilizing, sulphur-solubilizing, and zinc-solubilizing biofertilizers, in promoting plant growth, improving soil fertility, and increasing crop yield. The efficacy of biofertilizers in various crops, such as wheat, cotton, soybeans, and peas, is discussed, highlighting their potential to reduce the reliance on chemical fertilizers, mitigate soil degradation, and contribute to sustainable agricultural development. Moreover, a case study on the impact of Rhizobium inoculation on crop yield and soil fertility provides valuable insights into the practical application and benefits of biofertilizers in agricultural systems. In conclusion, using biofertilizers presents a promising avenue for enhancing food security, promoting sustainable farming practices, and fostering economic development while ensuring environmental sustainability.

***Keyword:*** Biofertilizer, Legumes, Crop yield enhancement, Rhizobium, Soil health, Sustainable agriculture.

**Introduction**

With the world population growing rapidly, it’s more important than ever to boost crop yields to meet future food needs. Healthy soil is essential for food production throughout a person’s life. Unfortunately, over the past few decades, intensive farming practices like the widespread use of pesticides and chemical fertilizers have degraded soils around the globe. This has led to a loss of soil fertility, caused by reduced biodiversity, poorer water retention, and disruptions to vital nutrient cycles. Soil health and the productivity of our crops are strongly affected by the intricate relationships among plants, soil, and the microbes living there (Harman *et al.,* 2020). These soil microbes interact with each other and with plant roots in many ways, playing countless important roles that help maintain the natural balance of soil ecosystems (Kumar *et al.,* 2021c). When the partnership between plants and these soil microbes supports plant health, growth, and yield, it’s a positive relationship but if it hinders plants, it can have negative effects instead. The fertility of soil is closely tied to the balance between its microorganisms and the plants it supports (Vishwakarma *et al.,* 2020). One promising way to restore and maintain soil health is by using biofertilizers. These naturally derived products can boost the microbial life in soil, helping vital soil communities thrive. They make nutrients more available and improve the breakdown of organic matter (Chaudhary *et al.,* 2021). For example, applying biofertilizers to apricot orchards has been shown to change the soil’s microbial makeup and speed up decomposition, which makes nutrient cycling in the soil more efficient under different farming conditions (Agri *et al.,* 2021; Baldi *et al.,* 2021). Biofertilizers can form a high-level microbial diversity in soil may outcome better crop productivity for sustainable agriculture (*Agri et al.,* 2022). The positive impact of beneficial soil microbes on crop productivity has been reported in many recent studies, but the role of consortium in agriculture is not entirely unstated. Using a consortium a combination of different beneficial microbes can help plants take up nutrients more efficiently, defend themselves against harmful pathogens, and better cope with stressful conditions (Aguilar-Paredes *et al.,* 2020).


Every living creature needs nutrients to survive. For plants, there are 17 essential nutrients required for healthy growth and development (Kumar *et al.,* 2021a). While plants get oxygen, hydrogen, and carbon from the air and water around them, they have to absorb all the other nutrients in inorganic forms directly from the soil (Gong *et al.,* 2020). This is where biofertilizers come in. Biofertilizers are products containing living or dormant microorganisms that can settle around plant roots and help plants grow by improving the supply of nutrients (Malusa and Vassilev, 2014; Fasusi *et al.,* 2021). These helpful microbes in the soil are capable of mobilizing nutrients and turning them into forms that plants can absorb such as by fixing nitrogen, making phosphorus and zinc available, or producing substances that promote plant growth (Bhattacharjee and Dey, 2014; Mazid and Khan, 2015). Biofertilizers can be applied in several ways, including treating seeds, spraying soil or roots, or even as a foliar spray on leaves. These applications boost microbial activity by encouraging the microbes to multiply, which in turn helps move nutrients to where plants need them most. As a result, soil fertility improves, leading to healthier crops and better harvests (Pandey and Singh, 2012; Ismail *et al.,* 2013).

**Biofertilizers**

Biofertilizers have been shown to boost plant growth and increase yields by anywhere from 10% to 40% (Stewart and Roberts, 2012). When these beneficial microbes are added to the rhizosphere the zone of soil surrounding plant roots, they settle in and even enter the plant itself, encouraging stronger and healthier growth (Nosheen *et al.,* 2021). Not only do biofertilizers make more nutrients available to plants, enhancing soil fertility and crop output, but they also help protect crops from pests and diseases. Research has found they can improve the survival rate of seedlings, keep root systems healthy for longer, reduce the need for harmful chemical fertilizers, and even shorten the time it takes for plants to flower (Gupta *et al.,* 2015a). Interestingly, once biofertilizers are established after being applied several times (usually 3-4 applications), the beneficial microbes they bring can reproduce and sustain themselves without needing further additions (Bumandalai *et al.,* 2019). For optimal growth, plants need 17 essential nutrients, with nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) required in especially large amounts (Umesha *et al.,* 2018; Bumandalai *et al.,* 2019). Microorganisms used in biofertilizer production often produce growth-promoting substances like phytohormones such as indole acetic acid (IAA) as well as amino acids and vitamins. These help encourage healthy growth, improve soil fertility, and ultimately support bigger, better harvests (Parikh *et al.,* 2012).

**Role of biofertilizer**

Biofertilizers are organic products made up of carefully selected microorganisms, often sourced from the roots and surrounding soil of healthy plants. Research shows that using biofertilizers can increase plant growth and yields by 10 to 40 percent (Stewart & Roberts, 2012). When applied to the rhizosphere the soil area near roots or even inside the plant, these beneficial microbes establish themselves and create a supportive environment that helps plants thrive (Nosheen *et al.,* 2021). Beyond simply boosting nutrient levels and improving soil fertility, biofertilizers also help defend plants against pests and diseases. Studies have found that they can improve seedling survival rates, allow roots to remain healthy for longer, reduce reliance on harmful chemicals, and even speed up the time it takes for plants to flower (Gupta *et al.,* 2015b). Another advantage is that biofertilizers are no longer necessary after 3–4 years of continuous use since the parental inoculum is sufficient for growth and multiplication (Bumandalai *et al.,* 2019). Several microorganisms, including nitrogen-fixing soil bacteria and cyanobacteria, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, Molds, and mushrooms, are routinely utilized as biofertilizers (Umesha *et al.,* n.d.).

****

**fig**

**Types of Biofertilizers**

Based on their functions and mechanisms of action biofertilizers are divided into groups. Nitrogen-fixers (N-fixers), potassium solubilizers (K solubilizers), phosphorus solubilizers (P solubilizers), and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are the most used biofertilizers (Nosheen *et al.,*2021, Salimani *et al.,* 2025). One gram of abundant soil can contain up to 1010 colony-forming units of bacteria of 2000 kg/ha live weight (Raynaud & Nunan, 2014). Cocci, bacilli, and spirals are types of soil bacteria.

1. **Nitrogen-fixing biofertilizers**

Nitrogen is a vital macro-nutrient essential by plants because it improves the growth of the shoot system, helps in reproduction, is a constituent of chlorophyll responsible for the deep green colour, and also increases the size of the grains (Sandhu *et al.,* 2021). Even though about 78% of the air around us is made up of nitrogen, most of it exists as dinitrogen (N₂), which has very strong triple bonds. This makes it unavailable to plants in its natural state. For plants to use nitrogen, it first needs to be converted into a plant-friendly form through a process called biological nitrogen fixation. Special microorganisms known as diazotrophs can do this job, turning dinitrogen gas into non-toxic, soluble ammonia (Abbey *et al.,* 2019). Once ammonia is produced, other types of bacteria get involved: ammonia-oxidizing bacteria convert it into nitrite, and then nitrifying bacteria transform that nitrite into nitrate (Roy *et al.,* 2020). However, if plants don’t use all the available nitrate, another group of bacteria will convert the leftover nitrate back into nitrogen gas through a process called denitrification. This returns nitrogen to the atmosphere, completing the cycle (Mahanty *et al.,* 2017). Some beneficial bacteria, like Azotobacter and Bacillus species, play important roles in fixing nitrogen and promoting the growth of crops like maize and even forest plants (Etesami *et al.,* 2014; Azeem *et al.,* 2022). In soybean plants, inoculation of Bradyrhizobium japonicum showed improvement in its biomass, nodule formation, and Nitrogen fixing rate (Htwe *et al.,* 2019). Plant height and chlorophyll content in maize plants were improved by Azotobacter chroococcum (Jain *et al.,* 2021). Nitrogen fixation, IAA, and siderophores production improved the yield of mung bean as shown by Bradyrhizobium sp. (Alkurtany *et al.,* 2018). Nitrogen-fixing microbes are considered free-living, symbiotic, and associative nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Aasfar *et al.,* 2021). In nitrogen-deficient conditions, plant growth was promoted by the application of Pseudomonas protegens which was reported by (Jing *et al.,* 2020)

1. **Symbiotic nitrogen-fixing microbes**

One of the most studied mutualistic relationships between plant root nodules and nitrogen-fixing microorganisms is *Rhizobium* and legume symbiosis. Mutualistic relationships begins when legume roots release special compounds called flavonoids and isoflavonoids into the soil around them. These compounds attract Rhizobium bacteria, signaling that the plant is ready to form a partnership (Hawkins & Oresnik, 2022). Once they receive this signal, the Rhizobium bacteria start to infect the plant by causing changes in the root hairs. They form what's known as an infection thread, a kind of tunnel that lets the bacteria travel into the root hair cells. Once inside, the bacteria are released into the plant cell’s cytoplasm, where they transform into a specialized form called bacteroids. As these bacteroids develop, they become enclosed within plant-made structures known as symbiosomes. Symbiosomes are where nitrogen fixation actually happens (Cissoko *et al.,* 2018; Jimenez-Jimenez *et al.,* 2019; Suzaki *et al.,* 2019). Through this process, the Rhizobium bacteria convert atmospheric nitrogen into a form that plants can use, helping to naturally enrich the soil and boost crop yields. For example, one study found that Rhizobium meliloti, a nitrogen-fixing bacterium, also produces chitinase - an enzyme that enhances peanut yields (Mondal *et al.,* 2020). Likewise, the partnership between alfalfa and Rhizobium doesn't just improve nitrogen fixation; it also leads to the production of more plant hormones and promotes overall plant growth (Fang *et al.,* 2020).

1. **Free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria**

Azotobacter is a well-known example of a free-living, non-symbiotic, and photo-trophic bacterium. One species in particular, *Azotobacter chroococcum*, is especially valuable as a biofertilizer since it can fix up to 10 mg of nitrogen for every gram of carbon source it uses (Mukherjee *et al.,* 2022). Azotobacter not only helps fix nitrogen but also produces important plant hormones such as indole acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid, naphthalene acetic acid, and vitamin B complex. These substances encourage root growth, improve plants’ ability to take up minerals, and enrich soil fertility while also protecting plant roots from harmful pathogens (Sumbul *et al.,* 2020). Other notable examples of beneficial free-living bacteria include *Bacillus*, *Clostridium*, and *Azospirillum*. For instance, using Bacillus species has been shown to significantly boost the growth of peanut plants (*Arachis hypogaea*), protect them from stress, and promote the production of helpful compounds like ammonia and IAA (Gohil *et al.,* 2022). Similarly, *Azospirillum brasilense* can reduce the need for nitrogen fertilizers, improve nutrient uptake, and increase both plant biomass and grain yield in wheat crops (Galindo *et al.,* 2022).

1. **Associative nitrogen-fixing bacteria**

The Spirillum lipoferum was originally discovered by M.W. Beijerinck in 1925 (Soumare *et al.,* 2020). This bacterium was first found living among the roots of cereal plants known for their natural ability to fix nitrogen in the soil (Soumare *et al.,* 2020). Another closely related bacterium, *Azospirillum*, is a gram-negative, non-nodulating, nitrogen-fixing species that forms beneficial relationships with the roots of plants that utilize the C4 dicarboxylic pathway for photosynthesis. These plants include important crops like sugarcane, maize, sorghum, pearl millet, as well as major cereals such as wheat, rice, and barley (Yasuda *et al.,* 2022; Kumar & Pareek, 2022). In addition to their role in fixing nitrogen, *Azospirillum* bacteria are known to produce several growth-promoting hormones e.g. cytokinins, gibberellins, and indole acetic acid, which help plants take up essential nutrients like nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), and encourage robust root growth (Yasuda *et al.,* 2022; Kumar & Pareek, 2022) .

1. **Phosphorus-solubilizing biofertilizers**

Phosphorus is the second macro-nutrient that is responsible for plant growth limitation (Bechtaoui *et al.,* 2021). It is an important constituent of organic and nucleic acids which is responsible for the synthesis of ATP and several amino acids. In the nodulation process, amino acid synthesis, and proteins in leguminous plants Phosphorous helps (Wang *et al.,* 2020). A soluble form of phosphorus is phosphate anion (orthophosphate), and their uptake is facilitated by rhizosphere microbes which help in plant nutrition. Different microbes can solubilize the remaining unavailable form of P into available form via organic acid production by bacteria which lowers the pH of the soil, making them available for the plant's nutrition (Mahanty *et al.,* 2017). Bacillus, Rhizobium, Aerobacter, Burkholderia, Aspergillus, and Penicillium are examples of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria and fungi (PSB and PSF). Plant growth parameters via P solubilization and IAA production were improved by inoculation of Alcaligenes sp (Abdallah *et al.,* 2016).  The yield and growth of wheat plants were enhanced by Rhizobium leguminosarum and Pseudomonas moraviensis which showed IAA and solubilization (Igiehon *et al.,* 2019; Fahsi *et al.,* 2021). Application of Arbuscular fungi can make greater availability of P in plants and protect them from stress conditions as reported by Nacoon et al. (2020). Safflower growth is improved by Bacillus subtilis which protects plants from salinity stress and is also known as PSB as reported by (Zhang *et al.,* 2019). Byincreasing the soil enzymes and bacteria population under field conditions, nano Phos containing phosphate-solubilizing bacteria enhanced maize production (Chaudhary *et al.,* 2021).

1. **Phosphorus-mobilizing biofertilizers**

They are beneficial bacteria that effectively mobilize the soluble phosphorus and mineralization of the organic phosphorus compound, both are unavailable forms of phosphorus. These phosphorus-mobilizing microorganisms (PMBs), which include well-known groups like Bacillus, Pseudomonas, and Rhizobium (Kirui *et al.,* 2022), contribute through several processes. Firstly, PMBs release phosphatase enzymes that help transform organic phosphorus compounds into forms that plants can absorb. Secondly, they produce organic acids, which help dissolve mineral-bound phosphorus in the soil. Thirdly, some of these bacteria establish mutually beneficial partnerships with mycorrhizal fungi. These fungi act like extensions of plant roots, drawing up soluble phosphorus from parts of the soil that plant roots alone can’t reach, thanks to their fine hyphal networks (Nassal *et al.,* 2018; Etesami *et al.,* 2021). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (VAM) are especially valuable, as they can transport both mineral and organic forms of phosphorus into plants—a major advantage. Common AMF include species from the Acaulospora, Glomus, Entrophospora, and Paraglomus genera. There are also ectomycorrhizal fungi, such as Amanita, Laccaria, and Boletus species, that contribute to this process. Additionally, certain fungal endophytes like Serendipita have been shown to boost potassium levels in crops such as maize and help protect plants from salt stress (Haro & Benito, 2019).

1. **Potassium-solubilizing biofertilizers**

Potassium (K) is recognized as the third essential macronutrient that plants need for healthy growth. It plays an important role in many plant processes, such as controlling the opening and closing of stomata (which affects water regulation), aiding in nutrient absorption, supporting protein synthesis, enhancing crop quality, and helping plants withstand stressful conditions (Santosh *et al.,* 2022). In the soil, potassium can be found in several forms, which depend on the soil’s composition. These include water-soluble potassium, forms that are available to plants, and forms that are locked away and not immediately accessible (Basak *et al.,* 2022). Much of the soil’s potassium is actually trapped within silicate minerals like illite, orthoclase, biotite, and feldspar, making it largely unavailable to plants in its natural state. Bacteria and fungi contribute to the release (solubilization) of this inaccessible potassium. The main way they do this is by acidifying the soil essentially, they secrete organic acids that help break down these minerals and release potassium in a form that plants can utilize (Varga *et al.,* 2020). There are also mechanisms for solubilization of the K, namely, siderophores production, exchange reaction, and complexation (Sattar *et al.,* 2019). Examples of some potassium-solubilizing bacteria include Bacillus mucilaginous, B. edaphicus. Potassium-solubilizing fungi are Aspergillus spp. and some arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi. Potato plant health parameters and yield were improved by Bacillus cereus which also showed K solubilization (Ali *et al.,* 2021). Dal et al. (2020) reported that via P and K solubilization the combination of Rhizophagus irregularis and in wheat crop A. vinelandii improved the soil enzyme activities and its growth.

1. **Potassium-mobilizing biofertilizers**

Through the solubilization process, potassium-mobilizing microorganisms (PMMs) effectively release the unavailable potassium (Patel *et al.,* 2021). Potassium-mobilizing microorganisms (PMM), also known as potassium-dissolving or potassium-solubilizing bacteria, play a vital role in making potassium available to crops. For example, research by Ghaffari *et al.* (2018) showed that Frateuria and *Bacillus megaterium* are particularly effective at mobilizing potassium for crop growth. Other beneficial microbes, like Azotobacter, have been shown to enhance both potassium uptake and solubilization in wheat, resulting in improved plant growth and more active soil microbial communities (Game *et al.,* 2020). Additionally, bacteria such as Enterococcus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa have demonstrated the ability to solubilize not only potassium but also phosphorus. Their presence has been linked to taller maize plants, higher yields, and better overall nutrient uptake (Kumar *et al.,* 2021b). Moreover, *Bacillus aryabhattai* has been found to trigger the expression of genes involved in potassium solubilization, helping plants better cope with stress and promoting their growth (Chen *et al.,* 2022).

1. **Sulphur-solubilizing biofertilizers**

Sulphur is a crucial nutrient for all plants, as it’s involved in several key biological functions. It plays an important role in the formation of chlorophyll, activates certain enzymes, and is essential for the synthesis of amino acids and vitamins. Sulphur also promotes nodulation, which is especially important for plant growth and development (Wang *et al.,* 2019). To make sulphur available to plants, sulphur-solubilizing or sulphur-oxidizing bacteria are needed. These helpful microorganisms convert the highly insoluble form of sulphur, hydrogen sulphide (H2S), into sulphate (SO4²⁻), which plants can readily absorb. The opposite process, called assimilatory sulphate reduction, is carried out by sulphate-reducing bacteria (Wang *et al.,* 2019). Most sulphur transformations in soil—such as mineralization, immobilization, oxidation, and reduction—are driven by microbial activity (Malik *et al.,* 2021). Among aerobic sulphur-oxidizing bacteria, common genera include *Bacillus*, *Beggiatoa, Aquifer, Paracoccus, Sulfolobus, Thiobacillus, Thermithiobacillus,* and *Xanthobacter*. There are also phototrophic (light-loving) anaerobic sulphur-oxidizers like *Allochromatium, Chlorobium, Rhodobacter,* and *Rhodopseudomonas,* along with certain non-phototrophic, strictly anaerobic bacteria such as *Wolinella succinogenes* (Kusale *et al.,* 2021). Specific microbes, such as *Thiobacillus thiooxidans* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, have been used as biofertilizers to improve sulphur uptake in cereal crops, medicinal plants, and forage crops, leading to better plant health and yields (Zhang *et al.,* 2018).

1. **Zinc-solubilizing biofertilizers**

Zinc is needed during protein synthesis, DNA–protein interaction, growth hormone production, seed development, and production of chlorophyll and protects plants from stress conditions (Umair Hassan *et al.,* 2020). Insoluble forms of zinc are generally ZnO, Zn3(PO4)2, ZnCO3, and metallic Zn. Divalent cations is the usable form of zinc by the plant (Ayoub *et al.,* 2022). Zinc-solubilizing fertilizers contain the zinc-solubilization bacteria which produce the organic acids to solubilize the insoluble zinc to Zn+2, thereby enhancing zinc uptake in plants (Nitu *et al.,* 2020). Some examples of zinc-solubilizing bacteria and fungi which improved the soil enzyme activities and availability of Zn in crop plants are Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas striata, Serratia, Burkholderia cenocepacia, Aspergillus niger, A. nomius, and A. oryza (Batool *et al.,* 2021). In the roots of cucumber plants Leclercia adecarboxylata solubilizes Zn and produces siderophores which enhances the Zn (Kang *et al.,* 2021). Studies have shown that certain beneficial microbes can significantly enhance plant growth and health. For instance, the application of Bacillus species and Pseudomonas taiwanensis in maize has been linked to improved plant growth and higher chlorophyll levels (Chaudhary & Sharma, 2019; Hussain *et al.,* 2020). In addition, pot experiments with soybean revealed that inoculating the plants with Trichoderma longibrachiatum and Bacillus megaterium resulted in better seed germination (Bakhshandeh *et al.,* 2020). Similarly, incorporating phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) alongside fertilizers into sandy soils has been found to boost the growth of fava beans (Ding *et al.,* 2021).


**Some Case studies:**

With varying doses of nitrogen under field conditions, high nitrogen fixing and phytohormone-producing diazotrophs such as *Azotobacter* were isolated, identified, and used as bioinoculants on wheat. The impact of bio-inoculants was determined based on their effect on yield, dry weight, and survival rate of bacteria at different days of plant growth under field conditions in two consecutive seasons (2000–01 and 2001–02). Pronounced effects were seen using bio-inoculants in wheat crops. A net saving of 25–30 kg of nitrogen was observed using chosen bio-inoculants for wheat crops (Narula *et al.,* 2005).

Kachroo and Razdan (2002) observed that nitrogen utilization efficiency was enhanced when Azotobacter and Azospirillum were co-inoculated in a 1:1 ratio in wheat. Additionally, the nitrogen content in wheat grains increased with higher nitrogen application rates. Similarly, Kader *et al.* found that the highest nitrogen uptake (23.2 mg plant-1) occurred with the treatment involving 168 kg N ha-1, cow dung, and Azotobacter, while the lowest uptake (11.03 mg plant-1) was observed in the control treatment in wheat.

Patil *et al.* (11) found that plants inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) in sterilized soil exhibited significantly enhanced growth and dry matter compared to those in unsterilized soil. Moreover, there was an increase in the percentage of root chlorophyll content in leaves. A synergistic effect was observed, leading to increased plant dry matter and root colonization percentage in Sorghum vulgare Pers. plants when both inoculants were used in sterilized soil compared to unsterilized soil.

Alemayehu, N. (2020) investigates the adoption of biofertilizer technology by smallholder farmers in the Lemu Bilbilo and Digelu Tijo districts of the Arsi Zone, Oromia Regional State, southeastern Ethiopia, since 2010. Specifically focusing on faba bean and field pea cultivation, the study assesses the impact of rhizobium-inoculated seeds on crop yields and farming sustainability. Through qualitative and quantitative methods involving 150 participants, including farmers and experts, the research reveals significant yield increases—79% for faba bean, 66% for wheat, and 42% for barley—compared to traditional methods. Farmers also report additional benefits such as improved soil fertility, reduced need for nitrogen fertilizers, and enhanced disease resistance. This study underscores the transformative potential of biofertilizer technology in bolstering agricultural productivity and sustainability in the region.



fig

In Lahaul and Sapidi, India, a field experiment investigated broccoli's response to integrated nutrient management during the 2003 and 2004 summer seasons. Twelve treatments combining NPK synthetic fertilizer, cow manure (CM), and Azotobacter inoculation were evaluated. Marketable head yield increased linearly with CM application and Azotobacter inoculation. Integration of Azotobacter with the recommended practice (100% NPK + 20 Mt CM/ha) yielded the highest marketable head yield and net returns. Azotobacter integration with 75% NPK and 20 Mt CM/ha produced yields comparable to 100% NPK, saving 25% NPK fertilizers. Nutrient uptake in plant tissues and soil nutrient build-up followed similar trends. Application of 100% NPK + Azotobacter inoculation + 20 Mt CM/ha significantly increased soil organic C, available N, P, and K contents. Integration of CM and Azotobacter with synthetic fertilizers offers a sustainable approach to enhance broccoli yield and soil health in nutrient-depleted soils.

**Conclusions and Future Perspectives:**

The rise in the global population has increased the demand for food production. Biofertilizers have emerged as an effective and eco-friendly way to boost crop yields and ensure safer food products for consumers. Unlike traditional chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers help close the nutrient gap in agriculture, the difference between what crops need and what chemical fertilizers alone can supply, a gap that has recently exceeded 10 million tons worldwide. Heavy reliance on chemical fertilizers is increasingly unsustainable, not just because of escalating costs and the need for expensive manufacturing plants, but also due to their negative environmental impacts. Issues like soil degradation, water pollution, and the depletion of natural resources make chemical fertilizers a risky long-term solution. In contrast, biofertilizers harness beneficial microorganisms to naturally improve soil fertility, lower input costs, and reduce our dependence on imported agricultural chemicals. With more information and training, farmers and producers can easily adopt biofertilizer technologies, which are commercially promising and becoming more widely available globally. Their widespread use is expected to play a crucial role in fostering sustainable economic growth, promoting a healthier environment, and advancing food security. Overall, biofertilizers are not just a practical replacement for chemicals but a key component of a more resilient and sustainable agricultural future.

**References:**

Aasfar A., Bargaz A., Yaakoubi K., Hilali A., Bennis I., Zeroual Y., Kadmiri M. I. (2021). Nitrogen fixing Azotobacter species as potential soil biological enhancers for crop nutrition and yield stability. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 628379. 10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379

Abbey L., Abbey J., Leke-Aladekoba A., Iheshiulo E. M. A., Ijenyo M. (2019). Biopesticides and biofertilizers: types, production, benefits, and utilization. *Byprod. Agri. Fisher.* 2019, 479–500. 10.1002/9781119383956.ch20

Abdallah R. A. B., Trabelsi B. M., Nefzi A., Khiareddine H. J., Remadi M. D. (2016). Isolation of endophytic bacteria from Withania somnifera and assessment of their ability to suppress Fusarium wilt disease in tomato and to promote plant growth. *J. Plant Pathol. Microbiol.* 7:352. 10.4172/2157-7471.1000352

Agri, U., Chaudhary, P., and Sharma, A. (2021). In vitro compatibility evaluation of agriusable nanochitosan on beneficial plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and maize plant. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* 44, 555–559. doi: 10.1007/s40009-021-01047-w

Agri, U., Chaudhary, P., Sharma, A., and Kukreti, B. (2022). Physiological response of maize plants and its rhizospheric microbiome under the influence of potential bioinoculants and nanochitosan. *Plant Soil* 474, 451–468. doi: 10.1007/s11104-022-05351-2

Aguilar-Paredes, A., Valdés, G., and Nuti, M. (2020). Agronomy ecosystem functions of microbial consortia in sustainable agriculture. *Agronomy.* 10. doi: 10.3390/agronomy10121902

Akhilesh Sharma, A. S., Parmar, D. K., Pardeep Kumar, P. K., Yudhvir Singh, Y. S., & Sharma, R. P. (2008). Azotobacter soil amendment integrated with cow manure reduces need for NPK fertilizers in sprouting broccoli.

Alemayehu, N. (2020). The Use of Biofertilizer by Smallholder Farmers and its Impact on Productivity of Pulse-Cereal Cropping System in Arsi Zone, Oromia Regional State, Southeastern Ethiopia. East African Journal of Sciences, 14(1), 1-12.

Ali A. A., Awad M. Y. M., Hegab S. A., Abd El Gawad A. M., Eissa M. A. (2021). Effect of potassium solubilizing bacteria (Bacillus cereus) on growth and yield of potato. *J. Plant. Nutr.* 44, 411–420. 10.1080/01904167.2020.1822399

Alkurtany A., Ali S., Mahdi W. (2018). The efficiency of prepared biofertilizer from local isolate of Bradyrhizobium sp. on growth and yield of mungbean plant. *Iraqi J. Agric. Sci.* 49, 722–730.

Ayoub I., Kumar V., Abolhassani R., Sehgal R., Sharma V., Sehgal R., et al.. (2022). Advances in ZnO: Manipulation of defects for enhancing their technological potentials. *Nanotechnol. Rev*. 11, 575–619. 10.1515/ntrev-2022-0035

Azeem M., Haider M. Z., Javed S., Saleem M. H., Alatawi A. (2022). Drought stress amelioration in maize (Zea mays L.) by inoculation of Bacillus spp. Strains under sterile soil conditions. *Agriculture* 12:50. 10.3390/agriculture12010050

Bakhshandeh E., Gholamhosseini M., Yaghoubian Y., Pirdashti H. (2020). Plant growth promoting microorganisms can improve germination, seedling growth and potassium uptake of soybean under drought and salt stress. *Plant Growth Regul*. 90, 123–136. 10.1007/s10725-019-00556-5

Baldi, E., Gioacchini, P., Montecchio, D., Mocali, S., Antonielli, L., Masoero, G., et al. (2021). Effect of biofertilizers application on soil biodiversity and litter degradation in a commercial apricot orchard. *Agronomy* 11:1116. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11061116

Basak B. B., Maity A., Ray P., Biswas D. R., Roy S. (2022). Potassium supply in agriculture through biological potassium fertilizer: a promising and sustainable option for developing countries. *Arch. Agronomy Soil Sci.* 68, 101–114. 10.1080/03650340.2020.1821191

Batool S., Asghar H. N., Shehzad M. A., Yasin S., Sohaib M., Nawaz F., et al.. (2021). Zinc-solubilizing bacteria-mediated enzymatic and physiological regulations confer zinc biofortification in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr*. 21, 2456–2471. 10.1007/s42729-021-00537-6

Bechtaoui N., Rabiu M. K., Raklami A., Oufdou K., Hafidi M., Jemo M. (2021). Phosphate-dependent regulation of growth and stresses management in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12, 679916. 10.3389/fpls.2021.679916

Bhattacharjee, R., and Dey, U. (2014). Biofertilizer, a way towards organic agriculture: A review. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 8, 2332–2343. doi: 10.5897/AJMR2013.6374

Brahmaprakash G. P., Sahu P. K. (2012). Biofertilizers for sustainability. *J. Indian Institute Sci.* 92, 37–62.

Bumandalai, O., of, R. T.-I. J., & 2019, undefined. (2019). Effect of Chlorella vulgaris as a biofertilizer on germination of tomato and cucumber seeds. *Ij-Aquaticbiology.ComO Bumandalai, R TserennadmidInternational Journal of Aquatic Biology, 2019•ij-Aquaticbiology.Com*, *7*(2), 95–99.

Chaudhary P., Sharma A. (2019). Response of nanogypsum on the performance of plant growth promotory bacteria recovered from nano compound infested agriculture field. *Environ. Ecol*. 37, 363–372.

Chaudhary, A., Parveen, H., Chaudhary, P., Khatoon, H., and Bhatt, P. (2021). “Rhizospheric microbes and their mechanism,” in *Microbial Technology for Sustainable Environment*, eds P. Bhatt, S. Gangola, D. Udayanga, and G. Kumar (Singapore: Springer). doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-3840-4\_6

Chen Y., Yang H., Shen Z., Ye J. (2022). Whole-Genome Sequencing and Potassium-Solubilizing Mechanism of Bacillus aryabhattai SK1-7. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 722379. 10.3389/fmicb.2021.722379

Cissoko M., Hocher V., Gherbi H., Gully D., Carré-Mlouka A., Sane S., *et al.* (2018). Actinorhizal signaling molecules: Frankia root hair deforming factor shares properties with NIN inducing factor. *Front. Plant Sci.* 18:1494. 10.3389/fpls.2018.01494

Dal C.ortivo C., Ferrari M., Visioli G., Lauro M., Fornasier F., Barion G., et al.. (2020). Effects of seed-applied biofertilizers on rhizosphere biodiversity and growth of common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in the field. *Front. Plant Sci*. 11, 72. 10.3389/fpls.2020.00072

Etesami H., Jeong B. R., Glick B. R. (2021). Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, phosphate–solubilizing bacteria, and silicon to p uptake by plant. *Front. Plant Sci*. 12, 699618. 10.3389/fpls.2021.699618

Fahsi N., Mahdi I., Mesfioui A., Biskri L., Allaoui A. (2021). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria isolated from the Jujube (Ziziphus lotus) plant enhance wheat growth, Zn uptake and heavy metal tolerance. *Agriculture*. 11:316. 10.3390/agriculture11040316

Fang L., Ju W., Yang C., Jin X., Zhang C. (2020). Exogenous application of signaling molecules to enhance the resistance of legume-rhizobium symbiosis in Pb/Cd-contaminated soils. *Environ. Pollut*. 365:114744. 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114744

Fasusi, O. A., Cruz, C., and Babalola, O. O. (2021). Agricultural sustainability: microbial biofertilizers in rhizosphere management. *Agriculture* 11:163. doi: 10.3390/agriculture11020163

Galindo F. S., Pagliari P. H., Fernandes G. C., Rodrigues W. L., Boleta E. H. M., Jalal A., et al.. (2022). Improving sustainable field-grown wheat production with Azospirillum brasilense under tropical conditions: a potential tool for improving nitrogen management. *Front. Environ. Sci*. 10, 821628. 10.3389/fenvs.2022.821628

Game B. C., Ilhe B. M., Pawar V. S., Khandagale P. P. (2020). Effect of Azotobacter, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and potash mobilizing bacteria inoculants on productivity of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Intern. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 9, 2800–2807. 10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.322

Ghaffari H., Gholizadeh A., Biabani A., Fallah A., Mohammadian M. (2018). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) application with different nitrogen fertilizer levels in rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* 41, 715–728.

Gohil R. B., Raval V. H., Panchal R. R., Rajput K. N. (2022). Plant growth-promoting activity of Bacillus sp. PG-8 isolated from fermented panchagavya and its effect on the growth of arachis hypogea. *Front. Agron*. 4, 805454. 10.3389/fagro.2022.805454

Gong, Z., Xiong, L., Shi, H., Yang, S., Herrera-Estrella, L. R., Xu, G., et al. (2020). Plant abiotic stress response and nutrient use efficiency. *Sci. China Life Sci*. 63, 635–674. doi: 10.1007/s11427-020-1683-x

Gupta, G., singh Parihar, S., Kumar Ahirwar Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramoday Vishwavidyalaya, N., Kumar Snehi, S., Mma, Y., & Mfm, E. (2015a). Biofertilizers and their role in management of plant parasitic nematodes. A review. *Researchgate.NetMMA Youssef, MFM EissaJournal of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Research, 2014•researchgate.Net*, *5*(1), 1–006.

Gupta, G., singh Parihar, S., Kumar Ahirwar Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramoday Vishwavidyalaya, N., Kumar Snehi, S., Mma, Y., & Mfm, E. (2015b). Biofertilizers and their role in management of plant parasitic nematodes. A review. *Researchgate.NetMMA Youssef, MFM EissaJournal of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Research, 2014•researchgate.Net*, *5*(1), 1–006.

Harman, G., Khadka, R., Doni, F., and Uphoff, N. (2020). Benefits to plant health and productivity from enhancing plant microbial symbionts. *Front. Plant Sci*. 11, 610065. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.610065

Haro R., Benito B. (2019). The role of soil fungi in K+ plant nutrition. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:3169. 10.3390/ijms20133169

Hawkins J. P., Oresnik I. J. (2022). The Rhizobium-legume symbiosis: co-opting successful stress management. *Front. Plant Sci*. 3, 796045. 10.3389/fpls.2021.796045

Htwe A. Z., Moh S. M., Moe K., Yamakawa T. (2019). Biofertilizer production for agronomic application and evaluation of its symbiotic effectiveness in soybeans. *Agronomy* 9:162. 10.3390/agronomy9040162

Igiehon N. O., Babalola O. O., Aremu B. R. (2019). Genomic insights into plant growth promoting rhizobia capable of enhancing soybean germination under drought stress. *BMC Microbiol.* 19:159. 10.1186/s12866-019-1536-1

Ismail, E. G., Mohamed, W. W., Khattab, S., and Sherif, F. E. (2013). Effect of manure and bio-fertilizers on growth, yield, silymarin content, and protein expression profile of *Silybum marianum*. *Int. J. Med. Arom. Plants.* 3, 430–438.

Jain D., Sharma J., Kaur G., Bhojiya A. A., Chauhan S., Sharma V., *et al.* (2021). Phenetic and molecular diversity of nitrogen-fixing plant growth-promoting Azotobacter isolated from semiarid regions of India. *Hindawi BioMed. Res. Intern.* 2021:6686283. 10.1155/2021/6686283

Kader, M. A., Mian, M. H., & Hoque, M. S. (2002). Effects of Azotobacter inoculant on the yield and nitrogen uptake by wheat. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, *2*(4), 259-261.

Kang S.-M., Shahzad R., Khan M. A., Hasnain Z., Lee K.-E., Park H.-S., *et al.* (2021). Ameliorative effect of indole-3-acetic acid- and siderophore-producing Leclercia adecarboxylata MO1 on cucumber plants under zinc stress. *J. Plant Inter*. 16, 30–41. 10.1080/17429145.2020.1864039

Kirui C. K., Njeru E. M., Runo S. (2022). Diversity and phosphate solubilization efficiency of phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from semi-arid agroecosystems of Eastern Kenya. *Microbiol. Insights* 17:15. 10.1177/11786361221088991

Kumar A., Singh S. K., Kant C., Verma H., Kumar D., Singh P. P., *et al.* (2021b). Microbial biosurfactant: a new frontier for sustainable agriculture and pharmaceutical industries. *Antioxidants* 10:1472. 10.3390/antiox10091472

Kumar, A., Maurya, B. M., and Raghuwanshi, R. (2021a). The microbial consortium of indigenous rhizobacteria improving plant health, yield and nutrient content in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *J. Plant Nutr*. 44, 1942–1956. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2021.1884706

Kumar, R., and Pareek, B. L. (2022). Effect of organic manure, Azospirillum and inorganic fertilizer on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The* *Pharma Journal*, *11*(2), 2179-2183.

Kusale S. P., Attar Y. C., Sayyed R. Z., Malek R. A., Ilyas N., Suriani N. L., *et al*. (2021). Production of plant beneficial and antioxidants metabolites by Klebsiella variicola under salinity stress. *Molecules* 26:1894. 10.3390/molecules26071894

Mahanty T., Bhattacharjee S., Goswami M., Bhattacharyya P., Das B., Ghosh A., et al. (2017). Biofertilizers: a potential approach for sustainable agriculture development. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24, 3315–3335. 10.1007/s11356-016-8104-0

Malik K. M., Khan K. S., Billah M., Akhtar M. S., Rukh S., Alam S., *et al.* (2021). Organic amendments and elemental sulphur stimulate microbial biomass and sulphur oxidation in alkaline subtropical soils. *Agronomy* 11:2514. 10.3390/agronomy11122514

Malusa, E., and Vassilev, N. (2014). A contribution to set a legal framework for biofertilisers. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98, 6599–6607. doi: 10.1007/s00253-014-5828-y

Mazid, M., and Khan, T. A. (2015). Future of bio-fertilizers in Indian agriculture: an overview. *Int. J. Agri. Food Res.* 3:132. doi: 10.24102/ijafr.v3i3.132

Mukherjee A., Gaurav A. K., Singh S., Yadav S., Bhowmick S., Abeysinghe S., *et al.* (2022). The bioactive potential of phytohormones: A review. *Biotechnol. Rep.* 8: e00748. 10.1016/j.btre.2022. e 00748

Nacoon S., Jogloy S., Riddech N., Mongkolthanaruk W., Kuyper T., Boonlue S. (2020). Interaction between phosphate solubilizing bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth promotion and tuber inulin content of Helianthus tuberosus L. *Sci. Rep*. 10:4916. 10.1038/s41598-020-61846-x

Narula, N., Kumar, V., Singh, B., Bhatia, R., & Lakshminarayana, K. (2005). Impact of Biofertilizers on grain yield in spring wheat under varying fertility conditions and wheat-cotton rotation. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, *51*(1), 79–89.

Nassal D., Spohn M., Eltlbany N., Jacquiod S., Smalla K., Marhan S., *et al*. (2018). Effects of phosphorus-mobilizing bacteria on tomato growth and soil microbial activity. *Plant Soil.* 427, 17–37. 10.1007/s11104-017-3528-y

Nitu R., Rajinder K., Sukhminderjit K. (2020). Zinc solubilizing bacteria to augment soil fertility—A comprehensive review. *Int. J. Agri. Sci. Vet. Med.* 8, 38–44.

Nosheen, S., Ajmal, I., & Song, Y. (2021). Microbes as Biofertilizers, a Potential Approach for Sustainable Crop Production. *Sustainability 2021, Vol. 13, Page 1868*, *13*(4), 1868. Patel S. H., Viradiya M. B., Prajapati B. J. (2021). Effect of potassium and potassium mobilizing bacteria (KMB) with and without FYM on yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Pharmac. Phytochem.* 10, 1615–1620.

Pandey, J., and Singh, A. (2012). Opportunities and constraints in organic farming: an Indian perspective. *J. Sci. Res.* 56, 47–72.

Parikh, S., Knowledge, B. J.-N. E., & 2012, undefined. (n.d.). Soil: the foundation of agriculture. *Jbb6666.ComSJ Parikh, BR JamesNature Education Knowledge, 2012•jbb6666.Com*. Retrieved March 24, 2024, from

Patil, G. B., Lakshman, H. C., & Puttaradder, J. (2015). Synergistic effect between phosphate solubilising bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and P uptake in Sorghum vulgare Pers. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Science*, *5*(10).

Raynaud, X., & Nunan, N. (2014). Spatial Ecology of Bacteria at the Microscale in Soil. *PLOS ONE*, *9*(1), e87217.

Roy S., Liu W., Nandety R. S., Crook A., Mysore K. S., Pislariu C. I., *et al.* (2020). Celebrating 20 years of genetic discoveries in legume nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *Plant Cell.* 32, 15–41. 10.1105/tpc.19.00279

Salimani, S., Verma, R., Kumar, K.B. and Mehta, S. (2025). Impact of Biofertilizers and Integrated Nutrient Management on Transplanted Rice for Improving the Growth, Yield and Soil Quality: A Review, *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 37 (5):271-81. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i55451.

Sandhu, N., Sethi, M., Kumar, A., Dang, D., Singh, J., & Chhuneja, P. (2021). Biochemical and Genetic Approaches Improving Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Cereal Crops: A Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *12*, 657629.

Santosh S., Velmourougane K., Idapuganti R. G., Manikandan A., Blaise D. (2022). Potassium solubilizing potential of native bacterial isolates from cotton rhizosphere of rainfed vertisols. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* 2022, 1–4. 10.1007/s40009-022-01113-x

Sattar A., Naveed M., Ali M., Zahir Z. A., Nadeem S. M., Yaseen M., *et al.* (2019). Perspectives of potassium solubilizing microbes in sustainable food production system: A review. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. Appl. Soil Ecol.* 133, 146–159. 10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.09.012

Singh, M. P., Kumar, P., Kumar, A., Kumar, R., Diwedi, A., Gangwar, S., & Kumar, V. (2016). Effect of NPK with biofertilizers on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in Western Uttar Pradesh condition. *Progressive Agriculture*, *16*(1), 83-87.

Soumare A., Diedhiou A. G., Thuita M., Hafidi M., Ouhdouch Y., Gopalakrishnan S., *et al.* (2020). Exploiting biological nitrogen fixation: a route towards a sustainable agriculture. *Plants* 9:1011. 10.3390/plants9081011

Stewart, W. M., & Roberts, T. L. (2012). Food Security and the Role of Fertilizer in Supporting it. *Procedia Engineering*, *46*, 76–82.

Sumbul A., Ansari R. A., Rizvi R., Mahmood I. (2020). Azotobacter: A potential bio-fertilizer for soil and plant health management. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci*. 27, 3634–3640. 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.08.

Umair Hassan M., Aamer M., Umer Chattha M., Haiying T., Shahzad B., Barbanti L., *et al*. (2020). The critical role of zinc in plants facing the drought stress. *Agriculture* 10:396. 10.3390/agriculture10090396

Umesha, S., Singh, P., agriculture, R. S.-B. for sustainable, & 2018, undefined. (n.d.). Microbial biotechnology and sustainable agriculture. *Elsevier*. Retrieved March 24, 2024, from

Varga T., Hixson K. K., Ahkami A. H., Sher A. W., Barnes M. E., Chu R. K., *et al*. (2020). Endophyte-promoted phosphorus solubilization in populus. *Front. Plant Sci*. 11, 567918. 10.3389/fpls.2020.567918

Vishwakarma, K., Kumar, N., Shandilya, C., Mohapatra, S., Bhayana, S., and Varma, A. (2020). Revisiting plant–microbe interactions and microbial consortia application for enhancing sustainable agriculture: a review. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 560406. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.560406

Wang X., Cai D., Ji M., Chen Z., Yao L., Han H. (2022b). Isolation of heavy metal-immobilizing and plant growth-promoting bacteria and their potential in reducing Cd and Pb uptake in water spinach. *Sci. Total Environ*. 819:153242. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153242

Yasuda M., Dastogeer K. M. G., Sarkodee-Addo E., Tokiwa C., Isawa T., Shinozaki S., et al.. (2022). Impact of Azospirillum sp. B510 on the rhizosphere microbiome of rice under field conditions. *Agronomy* 12:1367. 10.3390/agronomy12061367

Zhang T., Hu F., Ma L. (2019). Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria from safflower rhizosphere and their effect on seedling growth. *Open. Life Sci*. 14, 246–254. 10.1515/biol-2019-0028

Zhang X., Liu Z., Wei G., Yang F., Liu X. (2018). In silico genome-wide analysis reveals the potential links between core genome of Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans and its autotrophic lifestyle. *Front. Microbiol*. 9, 1255. 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01255