|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research**](https://journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_AJFAR\_141438** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Use Of Yakult Probiotic Materials With Different Fermentation Durations In Bioflock Systems On Biological Performance Of Vanname Fish (Litopenaeus vannamei)** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | Biofloc technology is a sustainable aquaculture method used in vannamei farming that leverages microbial communities to improve water quality and potentially enhance shrimp health and growth. This article focus on use of yakult probiotic materials with different fermentation durations in Bioflock systems on biological performance of Vanname Fish. So, this manuscript is very important. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title of the article is suitable. |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, comprehensive. |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript is scientifically, correct. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. |  |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript is good and it is important for the scientific community. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Sushil Bera , India**