**COMPARISON ON PHYSIOLOGICAL TRIATS OF MINI CLONAL LEAVES OF MULBERRY TREATED WITH DIFFERENT GROWTH HORMONES**

**ABSTRACT**

In this study leaves of mini clonal cutting of mulberry treated with different growth regulating hormones *viz*., (IBA and NAA) were analysed for their physiological traits *viz.*, (chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’, total chlorophyll content, chlorophyll a/b ratio and soluble protein content). Their result shows that IBA reigned supreme in terms physiological traits *viz*., (chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’, total chlorophyll content, chlorophyll a/b ratio and soluble protein content).
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**INTRODUCTION**

Mulberry (*Morus sp.,* Moraceae) is a fast growing, deciduous woody perennial tree with a deep rooting system with its leaves being simple, alternate, stipulate, petiolate, entire or lobed, lobes may vary from 1-5. Inflorescence is catkin type with a pendent or drooping peduncle bearing unisexual flowers. Mulberry is one of the most economically important tree crops in Asia as the leaves of mulberry is the sole food for silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Nearly 70 per cent of silk produced by silkworm is directly derived from the protein of mulberry leaves as the quality and quantity of mulberry leaves have a direct impact on cocoon harvest (Datta, 2000).

 Mulberry is amenable for sexual and asexual modes of reproduction. Owing to heterozygosity of parents, propagation through seeds is not commercially viable as seed grown plants show high degree of variability and poor survival percentage 20-30 per cent (Vijayan, 1997). Therefore, propagation of mulberry for large scale production is done using stem cuttings by planting the cutting directly in the field or raising saplings in nursery and then transplanting to main field. Though propagation through stem cutting is easy, it has some restriction *viz.,* low rooting potential in (MR2 variety), less number of harvests per plant and long juvenile period. Additional problem involved in developing saplings in nursery is the maintenance and management cost for 3-6 months (Kapur *et al.,* 2001).

 Owing to these limitations, it was planned to developed an alternative method for propagation of mulberry. Mini clonal technology is well known propagation techniques successfully developed for forest trees with promising results. Since mulberry is perennial tree, this provides an alternative tool for rapid and cost-effective multiplication for mulberry as large number of clones could be produced in short time and space. Mini clonal technology has been developed for *Casuariana* and *Melia* and successfully implemented in Tamil Nadu for commercial multiplication (Parthiban, 2016). The cuttings have to be treated with plant growth regulators to promote growth. Plant growth regulators are synthetic substances which produce identical results like natural plant hormones which are synthesized in the plants in a very small amount. They are also known as **Phytohormones**. Plant Growth Regulators are used in agricultural and horticultural technology to stimulate the developmental processes of the plants. The most common plant growth regulator or auxin used is Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) or Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) to stimulate rooting in cuttings. There are three types of cuttings that are used for propagation– Softwood cutting, Semi-hardwood cutting and Hardwood cutting. (Rahman et al., 2004).

This study was undertaken to access the leaf quality of mini clonal cuttings treated with different growth regulating hormones *viz*., of IBA and NAA. Leaves are excised from mini clonal cutting treated with IBA and NAA @ 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000 ppm and analysed for physiological traits *viz*., (chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’, total chlorophyll content, chlorophyll a/b ratio and soluble protein content).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experimental material comprised of semi-hard wood cuttings of MR2 variety (*Morus sinensis).* Nursery experiments were carried out at Forest College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Mettupalayam(11o19’N, 76o56’E, 300 meters MSL, 800 mm, pH 7.1during 2016-2017. The propagules required for standardizing cutting size for mini clonal propagation were collected from juvenile stem cuttings of MR2.

**Species under study**

**i) Species description**

Species : *Morus sinensis*

Tamil name : KalpaVruksha

Common name : Indian Mulberry

**ii) Climate and soil**

Mulberry thrives under various climatic conditions ranging from temperate to tropical, located north of equator between 28˚N and 55˚N latitude. The ideal range of temperature is from 24˚ to 28˚C. Mulberry grows well in places with an annual rainfall ranging from 600 to 2500 mm and flourishes well in soil that are flat, deep, loamy to clayey and porous with good moisture holding capacity with ideal pH ranging from 6.2 to 6.8.

**Optimization of rooting hormone for Mini clonal propagation of mulberry**

 Different concentrations of rooting hormones *viz*., 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000 and 5000 ppm were used. Instead of stock solution, talc based formulation of rooting hormone prepared by adding known quantity of rooting hormone *viz*. (100mg, 200mg, 300mg, 400mg, and 500mg/100g of talc respectively). Selected Mini-cuttings were dipped in the rooting hormone and planted in polybags and raised in polytunnel. Leaves from the cuttings are excised after 40 and 60 DAP.

**Estimation of chlorophyll**

The concentrations of chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’ and total chlorophyll were estimated at 40 days and 60 days old cuttings by adopting the method of Yoshida *et al.,* (1976) and expressed as mg per gram of fresh weight.

Matured young fresh leaf samples of 250 mg were collected, washed in distilled water and then ground with 10 ml of 80 per cent acetone using pestle and mortar. The homogenate solution was centrifuged at 500 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was collected and the volume was made upto 25 ml using 80 per cent acetone. The optical density of the content was measured at 663 and 645nm (Tanee and Albert, 2013). Then chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’ total chlorophyll and soluble protein content were calculated using the following formulae:

**Total chlorophyll** (mg g-1) = (8.02 x OD at 663) + (20.2 x OD at 645) X dilution factor

Where,

 V = Volume made (25ml)

 W = Weight of fresh sample taken (0.250g)

**Estimation of soluble protein**

This was estimated using Lowry’s method (Lowry *et al*., 1951) and expressed in mg/g.

**Statistical design**

The data obtained were subjected to statistical analyses to evaluate the possible relationship between different parameters and analysis of variance employed in nursery by adopting Completely Randomized Design described by Panse *et al*. (1985). The stagewise data were analysed separately using AGRES software.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Out of two growth regulators (IBA and NAA) used, IBA reigned supreme in terms of physiological traits *viz*., (chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’, total chlorophyll content, chlorophyll a/b ratio and Soluble protein content).

**Chlorophyll ‘a’ (mg g-1)**

Significant variation in chlorophyll ‘a’ due to IBA and NAA treatments was evidenced and it ranged from 3.25 mg g-1 (T5) to 2.58 mg g-1 (T1) in IBA and 3.14 mg g-1 (control) to 1.88 mg g-1 (T1) in NAA. Compare to control (3.14 mg g-1), only one treatment (T5) of IBA treated cutting registered significantly higher chlorophyll ‘a’ and (Table1 & 2).

**Chlorophyll ‘b’ (mg g-1)**

Chlorophyll ‘b’ differs significantly due to treatments. It varied between 1.47 mg g-1 (T1) and 2.93 mg g-1 (control) in IBA and 2.74 mg g-1 (T5) and 3.08 mg g-1 (control). Both IBA and NAA registered lower Chlorophyll ‘b’ content when compared with mean. However compare to general mean 2.22 mg g-1 in case of IBA three treatments *viz*., T3 (2.36 mg g-1), T4 (2.65 mg g-1) and T5 (2.93 mg g-1) registered significantly higher chlorophyll ‘b’ and when it comes to NAA Two treatments *viz*., T5 (2.74 mg g-1) and T4 (2.50 mg g-1) recorded significantly higher chlorophyll ‘b’ than general mean 2.43 mg g-1 (Table 1 & 2).

**Total chlorophyll (mg g-1)**

There was a significant variation in the total chlorophyll content among treatments. It ranged from 2.90 mg g-1 (T5) to 2.32 mg g-1 (control) of cuttings treated with IBA. The general mean recorded for total chlorophyll is 2.70 mg g-1. All the treatments exhibited significantly higher total chlorophyll content than control. Were as in NAA treated cuttings showed lower total chlorophyll content when compare to control. (Table 1 & 2).

**Chlorophyll a/b**

Variation in chlorophyll a/b ratio was significantly influenced by IBA treatments compare to NAA. The deviation for chlorophyll a/b ratio varied from 1.06 (control) to 1.56 (T1). Compared to control, all treatments registered higher chlorophyll a/b ratio. Among the treatments, significantly higher chlorophyll a/b was registered by T1 (1.56) and lowest value of 1.11was recorded by T5 (Table 1 & 2).

**Soluble Protein Content**

Significant differences in soluble protein were observed among different concentrations of IBA and NAA. The variation ranged from 23.58 mg g-1 (T1) to 40.62 mg g-1 (T5). The general mean for soluble protein recorded was 24.07 mg g-1 in case of IBA this trend is found to be decreasing in NAA treated cuttings ranged from 10.43 mg g-1 (T1) to 17.62 mg g-1 (T5). Among the treatments T5 recorded highest soluble protein content of 17.62 mg g-1. Four treatments *viz*. T2 (12.80 mg g-1), T3 (15.27 mg g-1), T4 (16.11 mg g-1) and T5 (17.62 mg g-1) expressed superiority over control.

Out of two growth regulators (IBA and NAA) used, IBA reigned supreme in terms of physiological traits *viz*., (chlorophyll ‘a’, chlorophyll ‘b’, total chlorophyll content, chlorophyll a/b ratio and Soluble protein).Auxins were known to trigger the rooting of cuttings of many species (Sardoei *et al*., 2013; Azamal Husen *et al.*, 2015).

Among auxins, the superiority of IBA to that of other auxins had been reported earlier by many investigators in *Eucalyptus* (Teotonio Francisco de Assis *et al.,* 2004), and *Cordyline terminalis* (Parvaneh Rahdari *et al*., 2014).

**Conclusion**

Holistically, by considering all the parameters investigated *viz*., chlorophyll of IBA and NAA treated mini clonal cuttings. IBA @ 5000 ppm treated cutting are found to be superior in all physiological traits to NAA @ 5000 ppm treated cuttings hence IBA 5000 ppm is fixed as an optimum rooting concentration for clonal propagation of *Morus sinensis.*
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**Table 1. Effect of IBA on chlorophyll content of *M. sinensis***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatments** | **Chlorophyll a** | **Chlorophyll b** | **Total****chlorophyll** | **Chlorophyll a/b** | **Protein content mg/g** |
| T1 – 1000 ppm | 2.58 | 1.47 | 2.62\* | 1.76\* | 23.58\* |
| T2 - 2000 ppm | 2.61 | 1.67 | 2.74\* | 1.56\* | 25.31\* |
| T3 - 3000 ppm | 2.89 | 2.36 | 2.79\* | 1.22\* | 32.10\* |
| T4 - 4000 ppm | 3.05 | 2.65 | 2.84\* | 1.15\* | 38.78\* |
| T5 - 5000 ppm | 3.25\* | 2.93 | 2.90\* | 1.11\* | 40.62\* |
| Control (V1) | 3.14 | 2.96\* | 2.32 | 1.06 | 20.04 |
| **Mean** | **2.87** | **2.22** | **2.70** | **1.31** | **34.57** |
| **SEd** | **0.03** | **0.02** | **0.03** | **0.02** | **0.058** |
| **CD (.05)** | **0.06** | **0.05** | **0.06** | **0.04** | **0.12** |

\*Significant at 5% level

**Table 2. Effect of NAA on chlorophyll content of *M. sinensis***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatments** | **Chlorophyll a** | **Chlorophyll b** | **Total****chlorophyll** | **Chlorophyll a/b** | **Protein content mg/g** |
| T1 – 1000 ppm | 1.88 | 1.73 | 1.11 | 1.09\* | 10.43 |
| T2 - 2000 ppm | 2.08 | 2.13 | 1.64 | 0.97 | 12.80\* |
| T3 - 3000 ppm | 2.17 | 2.38 | 1.58 | 0.91 | 15.27\* |
| T4 - 4000 ppm | 2.24 | 2.50 | 1.59 | 0.90 | 16.11\* |
| T5 - 5000 ppm | 2.41 | 2.74 | 1.75 | 0.88 | 17.62\* |
| Control (V1) | 3.14\* | 3.08\* | 2.32\* | 1.02 | **12.18** |
| **Mean** | **2.32** | **2.43** | **1.67** | **0.96** | **14.07** |
| **SEd** | **0.02** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** | **0.14** |
| **CD (.05)** | **0.05** | **0.06** | **0.07** | **0.04** | **0.29** |

\*Significant at 5% level