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PART 1: Comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer🠚s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author🠚s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This paper gives us valuable information on what motivates farmers' demand for better maize seed in Ethiopia. With a robust econometric method, it illuminates how price, income, and other variables influence seed adoption. It is possible to direct more pragmatic and specific agricultural policies using the results. In general, it contributes valuable information to agricultural economics and food security research. | We accepted comments and improved it as follows to better inform the essence of study for the scientific community. “We included these sentences at the end of the introduction to keep the flow:  This study provides critical information on what determines farmers' improved maize seed demand through a parametric demand analysis. Accordingly, we identified how price and other socioeconomic variables influence improved maize seed demand, thus inferring means to address seed demand challenges for proactive agricultural policy design to improve the food security system through addressing the challenges of the seed system. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The current title, "Demand Analysis of Improved Maize Seed in Halaba Zone, Central Ethiopia," is generally clear and informative. However, it can be slightly refined to better reflect the methodological strength and broader significance of the study.  This can be the new title:- Determinants and Demand Elasticities of Improved Maize Seed Use in Halaba Zone, Central Ethiopia: An Econometric Approach | Accepted the suggestion with minor edits:  Determinants and Demand Elasticities of Improved Maize Seed Utilization in Halaba Zone, Central Ethiopia: An Almost Ideal Demand System (AIDS) Approach |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | The abstract does a good job of capturing the study, but it might be more direct and clearer. It would be good to state how many households were surveyed and to briefly describe the methods employed, so readers can see where the data and analysis are derived. Some of the phrasing sounds a bit too formal or repetitive— streamlining a few sentences would be more readable. Also, concluding with a more robust, definitive policy  implication would make the abstract more effective. A couple of tiny adjustments could significantly enhance the way the main points are conveyed. | Improvements have been made and some redundancies were ignored. Sample size and method employed were mentioned. |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The methods are appropriate, the analysis is properly conducted, and the conclusions are supported by the data. | Yes. A manuscript followed basic scientific processes, as scientifically recognized |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Generally, the references are very solid—they address related and primarily new studies on enhanced seed adoption, demand analysis, and Ethiopian agriculture. The literature provides a proper foundation for the study. All the same, it would be even more potent with a few more recent international articles over the past couple of years, particularly on such subjects as seed system performance, climate resilience, or input demand within similar Sub-Saharan African settings. Adding those would set the findings in context and demonstrate how this  research contributes to the global debate as of now. | We fairly addressed the literature gap existing in our area of study. All appropriate reviews have been done to our best of our knowledge. |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The article is well-suited to conveying the key points, but the wording can be slightly improved. There are a few areas where the phrasing sounds a little clunky or is repetitive, and some minor grammatical errors cause a few sentences to be more difficult to follow. With some close language editing to enhance flow and clarity, the paper  would be much easier to read and more suitable for academic publication. Some tidying would actually make the writing quality keep pace with the robustness of the research. | We addressed grammatical issues and improved the coherence of the study. |
| **Optional/General** comments | Overall, the manuscript is a timely and relevant study with excellent practical and policy implications. The study is well-designed methodologically, the analysis is well done, and the findings are in accordance with economic theory. It adds valuable insights into factors determining enhanced maize seed demand in smallholder farm systems. With some slight enhancement in language, organization, and updates in references, the manuscript will be an excellent candidate for scholarly publication. | Suggestions have been included in the original document. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | We don’t have any ethical issues. |