**Effect of Halotolerant Microbial Inoculants on Growth and Yield Attributes of Groundnut (*Arachis Hypogaea* L.) Under Varying Soil Salinity Levels in Dry Land Condition**

**Abstract**

A pot culture experiment was conducted to assess the influence of microbial bio-stimulants CSR-GROW-SURE and TNAU culture (*Bacillus subtilis*) on the growth, nodulation, and no. of pods plant-1 of groundnut (var. CO7) under saline soil conditions (EC: 4.03, 5.01, and 6.02 dS m-1) maintained at 75 % field capacity (FC). The microbial cultures were applied at 1, 2, and 3 L ha-1. The results revealed that both bio-inoculants significantly enhanced plant growth attributes, with higher values observed at the post-harvest stage. Comparing treatments, the maximum values are recorded in CSR-GROW-SURE and TNAU cultures with 3 L ha-1, and while comparing, all salinity levels, the 4.03 dS m-1 soil recorded maximum values compared to 5.01 and 6.02 dS m-1 soils in all parameters. Furthermore, the interaction of treatments with various salinity levels of soil indicated that the treatments CSR-GROW-SURE with 3 L ha-1 and TNAU cultures with similar dosageat 4.03 dS m-1 produced the increase in percentage of plant growth and yield attributes than treatment control. The treatment CSR-GROW-SURE with 3 L ha-1 recorded the percentage increase of germination (94.47 %), root length (10.83 cm), number of nodules plant-1 (15.67) and number of pods plant-1 (17.00) over control. And the treatment TNAU culture with 3 L ha-1 is statistically on par with germination percentage (94.02 %), root length (10.78 cm), nodules plant-1 (15.67) and pods plant-1 (17.00) compared to control. The observed benefits are due to microbial production of phytohormones (IAA, gibberellins), ACC deaminase, siderophores, nitrogen fixation, and zinc solubilization. These findings strongly support the application of halotolerant microbial bio-stimulants as a sustainable strategy to mitigate salinity stress and enhance groundnut productivity in dryland saline agro-ecosystems.

**Key words**: *Bacillus* *spp*., CSR-GROW-SURE, Dry land, Groundnut, Growth &Yield, Saline soils

**1. Introduction**

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is a nutritionally and economically important legume crop widely cultivated in arid and semi-arid regions (Pokhrel *et al*., 2025) for its rich content of edible oil, protein, and dietary fiber (Parmar *et al*., 2022). India’s agricultural sector is predominantly dependent on rainfed farming. Out of the total net cultivated area of approximately 140 million hectares, around 70 million hectares constituting nearly 50% are under rainfed conditions it is categorized as dryland (Ganapathy *et al*., 2025). Crops like groundnut, sorghum, maize, sunflower, cotton, and pulses are mostly grown in dryland ecosystems (Sahoo *et al*., 2025). Although dryland agriculture holds considerable potential for enhancing food grain production and sustaining rural livelihoods, its productivity is mostly limited by inadequate soil moisture and soil salinity (Osman *et al*., 2025 and Srinivasrao *et al*., 2025).

Salinity is a growing global problem, affecting nearly 20% of irrigated agricultural lands (Demo *et al*., 2025). In saline soils, high concentrations of soluble salts disrupt the osmotic balance, restrict water and nutrient uptake, and induce toxicity from ions such as sodium, chloride, and sulfate (Kaur *et al*., 2025 and Saleem *et al*., 2025). Moreover, salinity reduces microbial diversity and soil enzymatic activities, further impacting crop performance (Li *et al*., 2025). In groundnut, saline conditions cause decrease in germination percentage, root and shoot growth, nodulation, and eventually lower pod yield (Yunusa *et al*., 2025)

To address these challenges, the use of halotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) has emerged as an eco-friendly strategy (Santhosh *et al*., 2025). These beneficial microbes enhance plant growth through direct and indirect mechanisms. They synthesize phytohormones such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid, and cytokinins, produce siderophores and exopolysaccharides, solubilize phosphorus, and possess ACC deaminase activity that helps reduce ethylene stress in plants (Anwar *et al*., 2025 and Ghosh *et al*., 2025).

Several bacterial genera, including *Achromobacter, Arthrobacter, Bacillus, Chryseobacterium, Enterobacter, Ochrobactrum,* and *Pseudomonas*, have been isolated from saline environments and reported to improve soil fertility and crop resilience without negative environmental effects (Jha and Subramanian, 2014; Bhise *et al*., 2017 and Sarkar *et al.*, 2018). Among these, *Bacillus spp.* is the most effective PGPRs due to their ability to form spores, withstand severe environmental conditions, and maintain a long shelf life makes them well-suited for use in dryland and saline agricultural systems (Abd-Allah *et al*., 2018).

*Bacillus licheniformis*, a facultative anaerobic species, is particularly well-suited to the rhizosphere under drought and salinity conditions because of its capacity for fermentative metabolism and anaerobic respiration (Zeiger *et al*., 2021). These bacteria not only enhance germination and root growth but also improve nodulation and pod development in legumes under stress conditions. Studies by Verma *et al*., (2010), Anitha and Punith Kumar (2013), Sandhya *et al*., (2009), Damodaran *et al*., (2014), and (Bhatt and Maheshwari 2019) have consistently revealed the positive effects of *Bacillus* and other PGPRs on groundnut and other crops, particularly in saline soils. The present study aims to evaluate the impact of halotolerant microbial inoculants on growth and yield parameters of groundnut grown under different salinity levels of soil.

**2. Materials and methods**

**2.1. Collection of soil samples and microbial inoculants**

To assess the efficacy of microbial inoculants under saline stress, soil samples were collected from Adivalli village in Udumalpet Taluk, Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu, representing three distinct salinity levels with electrical conductivity (EC) values of 4.03, 5.01, and 6.02 dS m-1. The corresponding geographical coordinates for these sites were 10°41'44" N and 77°09'21" E, 10°41'33" N and 77°09'18" E, and 10°41'29" N and 77°09'04" E, respectively.

A halotolerant microbial consortium, CSR-GROW-SURE, collected from the ICAR–Central Soil Salinity Research Institute (ICAR–CSSRI), Karnal, Haryana, was included in the study. This microbial formulation contains three bacterial strains *Lysinibacillus fusiformis* (CSR-A-11), *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* (CSR-A-16), and *Bacillus licheniformis* (CSR-M-16) are tolerant to dry land saline conditions. Furthermore, a microbial culture developed at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Coimbatore, was evaluated. The bacterial strain in this formulation was later identified as *Bacillus subtilis*, a species known for its salt tolerance and plant growth-promoting attributes. Both microbial inoculants were selected based on their potential to mitigate the adverse effects of salinity on soil properties and crop growth.

**2.2. Experimental details**

A pot culture experiment was carried out at the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Coimbatore, to assess the impact of microbiological technologies on the growth performance of groundnut (*Arachis* *hypogaea* L.) and associated soil properties under saline stress conditions. The groundnut variety used for this study was CO 7, a drought-tolerant cultivar obtained from the Department of Oilseeds, TNAU. The experiment was conducted for a duration of 110 days, with three seedlings maintained per pot, to evaluate the effect of different microbial treatments across three levels of soil salinity 4.03, 5.01, and 6.02 dS m-1. Soil samples were air-dried, sieved (2 mm), and 10 kg of soil was filled into each pot. The pots were arranged in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with three replications, and soil moisture was maintained uniformly at 75% of field capacity to simulate dryland conditions. The treatment structure included: T1 – Control (no inoculant) with three salinity levels; T2 – TNAU Culture @ 1 L ha-1; T3 – TNAU Culture @ 2 L ha-1; T4 – TNAU Culture @ 3 L ha-1; T5 – CSR-GROW-SURE @ 1 L ha-1; T6 – CSR-GROW-SURE @ 2 L ha-1; and T7 – CSR-GROW-SURE @ 3 L ha-1. Observations on plant growth and related parameters were recorded at three critical crop growth stages: vegetative, flowering, and post-harvest, to observe the influence of treatments.

**2.3. Preparation and application of bio-inoculants and fertilizers**

To prepare the microbial inoculants for pot culture, 10 ml of either the TNAU or CSR-GROW-SURE culture was mixed with 1 liter of water, supplemented with 2 grams of jaggery liter-1 and incubated overnight to enhance the population of viable microbes (CFUs). Prior to sowing, groundnut seeds were treated with the respective inoculants at a concentration of 1% per kilogram of seed.

To maintain uniform nutrient availability across all treatments, fertilizers were applied at the recommended rate of NPK (25:50:75 kg ha-1). Nutrients were supplied through standard chemical sources: urea for nitrogen, single super phosphate (SSP) for phosphorus, and muriate of potash (MOP) for potassium. This baseline fertilization ensured that differences in plant response were primarily due to the microbial treatments and salinity levels.

**2.4. Methodology for the determination of soil properties**

The various physical and physico-chemical properties of soil were analyzed using standard procedures. Soil texture was determined by the International Pipette Method as described by Piper (1966), while field capacity was analysed using Pressure Plate Apparatus following the method of Dakshinamurthi and Gupta (1968). The soil reaction (pH) and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured by potentiometry and conductometry, respectively (Jackson, 1973). Available nitrogen (N) was estimated through the alkaline permanganate method as proposed by Subbiah and Asija (1956), whereas available phosphorus (P) in alkaline soils was extracted using 0.5 M NaHCO₃ as per Olsen (1954). Exchangeable calcium (Ca2+) and magnesium (Mg2+) were determined by the versenate titration method, Exchangeable sodium (Na+) and potassium (K+) were quantified using a flame photometer (Richards 1954). The concentrations of bicarbonates (HCO3-) and chlorides (Cl-) were estimated by titration methods (Richards 1954), while sulphate content was measured using the turbidimetric method as suggested by (Tandon 2005). The initial soil properties were given in the (Table 1).

**Table 1. Initial Soil Properties**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No** | **Particulars** | **Soil EC**  **4.03 dS m-1** | **Soil EC**  **5.01 dS m-1** | **Soil EC**  **6.02 dS m-1** |
|  | Soil Texture | Sandy clay | Sandy clay | Sandy clay |
|  | pH | 8.00 | 8.10 | 8.20 |
|  | Available N (kg ha-1) | 168.12 | 155.01 | 147.28 |
|  | Available P (kg ha-1) | 6.76 | 5.99 | 5.91 |
|  | Exchangeable Calcium (meq kg-1) | 10.34 | 12.64 | 14.58 |
|  | Exchangeable Magnesium (meq kg-1  ) | 5.48 | 6.98 | 8.58 |
|  | Exchangeable Sodium (meq kg-1) | 15.27 | 19.10 | 23.76 |
|  | Exchangeable Potassium (meq kg-1) | 7.99 | 9.89 | 12.04 |
|  | Bicarbonates | 3.34 | 3.67 | 3.91 |
|  | Chloride (meq kg-1) | 22.10 | 27.00 | 35.15 |
|  | Sulphate (meq kg-1) | 13.56 | 17.85 | 19.83 |

**2.5. Assessment of plant growth and yield attributes under salinity stress**

Root length was assessed at vegetative, flowering and postharvest stage. Nodule development was recorded by gently uprooting the groundnut plants and rinsing the roots to remove adhering soil without dislodging the nodules. The nodules were then separated from the roots, and the mean number of effective nodules was determined based on observations from five plants per treatment. Pod formation was evaluated at harvest by counting the number of pods produced per plant. Germination percentage is a critical parameter used to assess the ability of seeds germinate under salt stress conditions. It is as an indicator to evaluate the influence of microbial inoculants germination and establishment of groundnut seedlings in different salinity levels of soil. Germination percentage was calculated by the formulae given (1).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Germination percentage | = | Total no. of seeds germinated | X | 100 | (1) |
| Total no. of seeds sown |

**2.6. Statistical analysis**

By using Gomez and Gomez (1984) statistical analysis method the present data of the pot culture was analysed. F- Test was used for the level of significance and variance analysis   
P = (0.05). As well as the critical difference with probability level of 5 % was also analysed.

**3. Results and discussion**

**3.1. Impact of microbial inoculants on germination percentage of ground nut crop**

The germination percentage linearly increased with increasing rate of application of cultures. Among the cultures CSR-GROW-SURE with 3 L ha-1 was observed significantly highest percentage of germination with mean value of 91.01% and it was on par with the TNAU culture with 3 L ha-1 with mean value of 90.58 % and least mean value was recorded in the treatment control with 71.89 % mean value. Comparing the soils, the per cent germination decreased with increase in soil EC levels. Significantly maximum per cent of germination was observed 4.03dS m-1 soil with the mean per cent germination of 89.25 % followed by 5.01, 6.02 dS m-1 soils with 85.98 and 82.72 % respectively (Table 2). Celluloses, xylanases and mannasnase also help bacteria to sustain to salt tolerance (Govender *et al.,* 2009). These enzymes are help for increasing germination percentage of the plant by providing energy and by improving metabolic processes of in the embryo (Joshi 2018).

The interaction between microbial cultures and soil salinity levels showed significant differences in germination rates. The highest germination percentage (94.47%) was observed in the CSR-GROW-SURE treatment at 3 L ha⁻¹ under 4.03 dS m⁻¹ soil, with 20.63% increase compared to the control. This was on par with the treatment TNAU culture at the same application rate, which achieved a 94.02% germination rate an increase of 21.01% over the control. In contrast, the control treatment (without microbial inoculation) recorded the lowest germination percentages in 4.03, 5.01, 6.02 dS m-1 saline soils. Menasria (2020) found that *Bacillus* spp. was able to produce the enzymes like lipase, protease, amylase, gulutaminase, catalase which make the bacteria to survive under high salt conditions to improve per cent of germination. *Bacillus* can also produce the gibberellins which makes the increase of germination of the seed reported by (Perrig *et al.,* 2007).

**3.2. Impact of microbial inoculants on Root length (cm) of ground nut crop**

The root length of the groundnut variety (CO 7) was assessed at three critical growth stages-vegetative, flowering, and post-harvest. Among the cultures, CSR-GROW-SURE with 3 L ha-1 showed increase in root length with mean values 5.83, 7.40 and 10.43cm at vegetative, flowering, and post-harvest stage respectively. It was on par with treatment TNAU Culture with 3 L ha-1 with mean values of 5.80, 7.36 and 10.38cm, and the control exhibited the lowest mean values of 4.61, 5.84 and 8.24cm during the vegetative, flowering and post-harvest stage respectively. Tank and Saraf (2010) reported that with increase in activity of the ACC deaminase, reduction in effect of ethylene content by reducing ACC concentration in the plant Glick *et al*., (2007) will be done by bacillus results in increase of the root length of the plant.

The maximum root length was observed in the 4.03 dS m-1 soil with mean values of 5.72, 7.25 and 10.23 cm and followed by 5.01 and 6.02 dS m-1 of soil showed the mean values of 5.51, 6.99 & 9.85cm and 5.30, 6.72 and 9.48cm at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages respectively. However, among all the stages the length of the root was observed more at the stage of harvest. Vivas *et al*., (2003) reported that phosphorus content in plant increased after inoculation of the *Bacillus* spp. will improve the root length of the plant. Also Kayin *et al.,* (2015) attributed that nitrogen fixation stimulation by *Bacillus spp*. will improve the formation of root.

The interaction between soil salinity and microbial cultures resulted in significant variations in root length at different growth stages. The highest root lengths for groundnut CO 7 were observed with the application of CSR-GROW-SURE at 3 L ha-1 in soil with 4.03 dS m⁻¹ salinity, measuring 6.05 cm, 7.68 cm, and 10.83 cm at the vegetative, flowering, and harvest stages, respectively. These values represent an increase of 20.60 20.55 and 20.69% over the control. A similar performance was noted with the TNAU culture at the same application rate and salinity level, which produced root lengths of 6.02 cm, 7.64 cm, and 10.78 cm showing respective increases of 20.99 20.96% and 21.05% compared to the control (Table 3). And similar trend was observed in 5.01 and 6.02 dS m-1 of saline soil. Arkhipova *et al.,* (2007), reported that cytokinins produced by *Bacillus spp*. will also increase the root growth. Further, Rana *et al.,* (2012)substantiated that solubilisation of zinc through *Bacillus spp*. will improve the root growth of the plant.

**3.3. Impact of microbial inoculants on No. of Nodules per plant-1 of ground nut crop**

In groundnut, the application of microbial cultures enhanced the number of nodules plant-1 across all doses and salinity levels. The nodule count increased gradually with higher microbial application rates but declined with increasing salinity levels across all treatments, including the control. The number of nodules per plant increased with the application of microbial cultures at all treatment levels. The highest mean value of 15.67 nodules was observed with both CSR-GROW-SURE and TNAU cultures applied at 3 L ha-1, representing a 54.01% improvement over the control (Table 4). Among the different soil salinity levels, the maximum nodule count was recorded in soil with 4.03 dS m-1 EC, with mean value of 15.43 nodules, followed by 13.42 at 5.01 dS m-1 and 13.00 at 6.02 dS m-1. Verma *et al*., (2010) stated that no. of nodules per plant were increased due to IAA and ammonia production and nitrogen fixation. The maximum number of nodules per plant was recorded in saline soil with an EC of 4.03 dS m-1, where both CSR-GROW-SURE and TNAU cultures applied at 3 L ha-1 showed a 34.49% increase in nodule formation compared to the control. Kumawat *et al*., (2009) reported that due to raise in phosphorus content in soil after PSB inoculation will increase the no. of nodules per plant. Similar studies was also testified by Anandham *et al*., (2007) and Argaw (2012).

**3.4. Impact of microbial inoculants on no. of pods plant-1 of ground nut crop**

The yield potential of groundnut is directly impacted by no. of pods plant-1. Beneficial microbes in the soil will increase nutrient uptake and overall plant health, which improves the pod development. Among the cultures CSR-GROW-SURE with 3 L ha-1 showed significantly highest no. of pods plant-1 with mean value of 15.67 and it was on par with the TNAU culture with 3 L ha-1 with mean value of 15.67 and the less mean value was observed in the treatment control with 10.33. Comparing the soils, the highest no. of pods plant-1 was recorded in the 4.03 dS m-1 soil with mean value of 15.57 followed by 5.01 and 6.02 dS m-1 with mean value of 13.86 and 13.43 respectively.Hou *et al.,* (2021) revealed that increase in pod number due to N2 fixation and auxin production and siderophores.

The interaction between microbial cultures and salinity levels significantly influenced the number of pods per plant. The highest number of pods (17.00) was observed with the CSR-GROW-SURE treatment at 3 L ha-1 under 4.03 dS m-1 salinity, with 35.29% increase over the control. This result was statistically on par with the TNAU culture applied at the same rate, which also produced 17.00 pods per plant under the same salinity level, showing an identical percentage (35.29 %) increase. A similar trend was seen at higher salinity levels (5.01 and 6.02 dS m-1), where both treatments (CSR-GROW-SURE and TNAU culture at 3 L ha-1) recorded 15.00 pods per plant. In contrast, the control treatment constantly showed lower pod numbers, with 11.00, 10.00, and 10.00 pods per plant under 4.03, 5.01, and 6.02 dS m-1 salinity levels, respectively (Figure 1). Indole Acetic Acid production by beneficial microbes enhances root growth and nutrient uptake, will prove the plant vigour. This, in turn, promotes flower and pod development, resulting in an increased number of pods plant-1.(Pradhan *et al*., 2017).

**4. Conclusion**

The study highlights the significant potential of halotolerant microbial inoculants, particularly CSR-GROW-SURE and TNAU culture (*Bacillus subtilis*), in enhancing groundnut growth and yield under saline conditions. Their application improved germination, root development, nodulation, and pod formation, especially at higher doses and in soils with lower salinity. The observed positive interactions between salinity levels and inoculant application emphasize their adaptive efficacy under stress conditions. These results confirm the role of microbial bio-inoculants as a sustainable and eco-friendly solution for managing saline soils. Furthermore, field-level evaluation, formulation development, and integration with nutrient management practices are essential. Moreover, research on microbial consortia and their synergistic interactions with crops under multi-stress environments can enhance their resilience-building capacity, contributing to sustainable and climate-smart agriculture.

6. **Acknowledgement**

The authors sincerely acknowledge the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, for providing the required facility to carry out the pot culture experiment. The authors also extend their immense gratitude to Dr. T. Damodaran and the ICAR-Central Soil Salinity Research Institute (ICAR-CSSRI), Karnal, for providing the CSR-GROW-SURE microbial bio-inoculant, which was crucial for the successful completing of this research work.

**7. Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, manuscript.

**8. Reference**

1. Abd\_Allah, E. F., Alqarawi, A. A., Hashem, A., Radhakrishnan, R., Al-Huqail, A. A., Al-Otibi, F. O. N., ... & Egamberdieva, D. (2018). Endophytic bacterium *Bacillus* *subtilis* (BERA 71) improves salt tolerance in chickpea plants by regulating the plant defense mechanisms. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, *13*(1), 37-44.
2. Anandham, R., Sridar, R., Nalayini, P., Poonguzhali, S., & Madhaiyan, M. J. M. R. (2007). Potential for plant growth promotion in groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) cv. ALR-2 by co-inoculation of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria and Rhizobium. *Microbiological Research*, *162*(2), 139-153.
3. Anitha, M., Mummigatti, V., & Punith Kumar, C. H. (2013). Effect of organic and inorganic seed priming on soybean germination and yield parameters. *Biolife*, *1*(4), 223-230.
4. Anwar, H., Jamil, M., Hussain, A., Dar, A., Ahmad, M., Salmen, S. H., ... & Iqbal, R. (2025). Zinc-coated urea and zinc-solubilizing microbes: synergistic strategies for improving zinc bioavailability in dry region soils. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, *2025*(01).
5. Argaw, A. (2012). Evaluation of co-inoculation of Bradyrhizobium japonicum and Phosphate solubilizing *Pseudomonas* spp. effect on soybean (Glycine max L. Merr.) in Assossa Area. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, *14*(1), 213-224.
6. Arkhipova, T. N., Prinsen, E., Veselov, S. U., Martinenko, E. V., Melentiev, A. I., & Kudoyarova, G. R. (2007). Cytokinin producing bacteria enhance plant growth in drying soil. *Plant and Soil*, *292*, 305-315.
7. Bhatt, K., & Maheshwari, D. K. (2019). Decoding multifarious role of cow dung bacteria in mobilization of zinc fractions along with growth promotion of C. *annuum* L. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 14232.
8. Bhise, K. K., Bhagwat, P. K., & Dandge, P. B. (2017). Synergistic effect of *Chryseobacterium* *gleum* *sp*. SUK with ACC deaminase activity in alleviation of salt stress and plant growth promotion in *Triticum aestivum* L. *3 Biotech*, *7*, 1-13.
9. Dakshinamurthi, C., & Gupta, R. P. (1968). Practicals in soil physics. *IARI, New Delhi*.
10. Damodaran, T., Rai, R. B., Jha, S. K., Kannan, R., Pandey, B. K., Sah, V., ... & Sharma, D. K. (2014). Rhizosphere and endophytic bacteria for induction of salt tolerance in gladiolus grown in sodic soils. *Journal of plant interactions*, *9*(1), 577-584.
11. Demo, A. H., Gemeda, M. K., Abdo, D. R., Guluma, T. N., & Adugna, D. B. (2025). Impact of soil salinity, sodicity, and irrigation water salinity on crop production and coping mechanism in areas of dryland farming. *Agrosystems, Geosciences & Environment*, *8*(1), e70072.
12. Eşitken, A., Karlidağ, H., Ercişli, S., & Şahin, F. (2002). Effects of foliar application of Bacillus subtilis OSU-142 on the yield, growth and control of shot-hole disease (Coryneum blight). *Gartenbauwissenschaft*, *67*, 139-142.
13. Ganapathy, S., Shibi, S., Kanchanarani, R., Jayakumar, J., Veeramani, P., Senthilkumar, M., & Murugan, P. P. (2025). Moth bean [Vigna aconitifolia (Jacq.) Marechal] as an Alternative Crop to Groundnut in the Rainfed Areas of Northern Parts of Tamil Nadu, India. *Legume Research-An International Journal*, *1*, 5.
14. Srinivasarao, C., Kundu, S., Rakesh, S., Lakshmi, C. S., Kumar, G. R., Manasa, R., ... & Prasad, J. V. N. S. (2021). Managing soil organic matter under dryland farming systems for climate change adaptation and sustaining agriculture productivity. In *Soil Organic Carbon and Feeding the Future* (pp. 219-251). CRC Press.
15. Zeigler, D. R., & Perkins, J. B. (2021). The genus bacillus. In *Practical handbook of microbiology* (pp. 249-278). CRC Press.
16. Osman, K. T., & Osman, K. T. (2018). Dryland soils. *Management of soil problems*, 15-36.
17. Ghosh, S. K., Pal, P., Mondal, S., Mondal, T., Soren, T., Ghosh, P. K., & Maiti, T. K. (2025). Halotolerant Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: The Hidden Gem. In *Plant-Microbe Interactions: A Comprehensive Review* (pp. 197-222). Bentham Science Publishers.
18. Glick, B. R., Todorovic, B., Czarny, J., Cheng, Z., Duan, J., & McConkey, B. (2007). Promotion of plant growth by bacterial ACC deaminase. *Critical reviews in plant sciences*, *26*(5-6), 227-242.
19. Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John wiley & sons.
20. Govender, L., Naidoo, L., & Setati, M. E. (2009). Isolation of hydrolase producing bacteria from Sua pan solar salterns and the production of endo-1, 4-bxylanase from a newly isolated haloalkaliphilic Nesterenkonia sp. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *8*(20).
21. Hou, L., Lin, R. X., Wang, X. J., Li, H., Zhao, C. Z., Zhu, X. J., ... & Li, G. H. (2022). The mechanisms of pod zone nitrogen application on peanut pod yield. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, *69*(3), 51.
22. Jackson, M. L. (1973). *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
23. Jha, Y., & Subramanian, R. B. (2014). PGPR regulate caspase-like activity, programmed cell death, and antioxidant enzyme activity in paddy under salinity. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, *20*, 201-207.
24. Joshi, R. (2018). Role of enzymes in seed germination. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, *6*(2), 1481-1485.
25. Kaur, H., Kumar, S., Ali, S., Kaushik, R., Ravi, K., Saroha, A., ... & Rashid, B. Salinity Stress Alleviation in Fenugreek. In *Fenugreek* (pp. 177-206). Apple Academic Press.
26. Kayın, G. B., Öztüfekçi, S., Akın, H. F., Karaata, E. U., Katkat, A. V., & Turan, M. A. (2015). Effect of Bacillus subtilis Ch-13, Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Yield, Protein and Gluten Content of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Uludağ Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, *29*(1), 19-28.
27. Kumawat, N., Kumar, R., & Sharma, O. P. (2009). Nutrient uptake and yield of mungbean [*Vigna* *radiata* (L.) Wilczek] as influenced by organic manures, PSB and phosphorus fertilization. *Environ Ecol*, *27*(4B), 2002-2005.
28. Li, W., Zhong, M., Wang, H., Shi, X., Song, J., Wang, J., & Zhang, W. (2025). Exogenous carbon inputs alleviated salt-induced oxidative stress to cotton in salinized field by improving soil aggregate structure and microbial community. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *16*, 1522534.
29. Menasria, T. (2020). *Biodiversité microbienne dans les milieux extrêmes salés du Nord-Est Algérien* (Doctoral dissertation, Université de Batna 2).
30. Olsen, S. R. (1954). *Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate* (No. 939). US Department of Agriculture.
31. Parmar, S., Sharma, V., Bomireddy, D., Soni, P., Joshi, P., Gangurde, S. S., ... & Pandey, M. K. (2022). Recent advances in genetics, genomics, and breeding for nutritional quality in groundnut. *Accelerated Plant Breeding, Volume 4: Oil Crops*, 111-137.
32. Pradhan, M., Pradhan, C., & Mohanty, S. (2017). Effect of P-solubilizing bacteria on microbial biomass P and phosphatase activity in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) rhizosphere. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci*, *6*(4), 1240-1260.
33. Perrig, D., Boiero, M. L., Masciarelli, O. A., Penna, C., Ruiz, O. A., Cassán, F. D., & Luna, M. V. (2007). Plant-growth-promoting compounds produced by two agronomically important strains of Azospirillum brasilense, and implications for inoculant formulation. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, *75*, 1143-1150.
34. Piper, CS. (1966). Soil and plant analysis.,(Hans Publishers: Bombay, India).
35. Pokhrel, S., Kharel, P., Pandey, S., Botton, S., Nugraha, G. T., Holbrook, C., & Ozias-Akins, P. (2025). Understanding the impacts of drought on peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L.): exploring physio-genetic mechanisms to develop drought-resilient peanut cultivars. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *15*, 1492434.
36. Rana, A., Joshi, M., Prasanna, R., Shivay, Y. S., & Nain, L. (2012). Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *50*, 118-126.
37. Richards, L. A. (1954). *Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils* (Vol. 78). U.S. Department of Agriculture.
38. Sahoo, C., Saini, A., Vandana Devi, V. S., Saha, A., & Fayezizadeh, M. R. (2025). Revitalizing Dryland Soils: Strategies for Sustainable Management. In *Ecologically Mediated Development: Promoting Biodiversity Conservation and Food Security* (pp. 399-418). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
39. Saleem, M. A., Khan, A., Tu, J., Huang, W., Liu, Y., Feng, N., ... & Xue, Y. (2025). Salinity Stress in Rice: Multilayered Approaches for Sustainable Tolerance. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *26*(13), 6025.
40. Salma Santhosh, S., Meena, S., Baskar, M., Karthikeyan, S., Vanniarajan, C., & Ramesh, T. (2025). Transformative strategies for saline soil restoration: Harnessing halotolerant microorganisms and advanced technologies. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *41*(5), 1-41.
41. Sarkar, A., Ghosh, P. K., Pramanik, K., Mitra, S., Soren, T., Pandey, S., ... & Maiti, T. K. (2018). A halotolerant *Enterobacter* sp. displaying ACC deaminase activity promotes rice seedling growth under salt stress. *Research in microbiology*, *169*(1), 20-32.
42. Subbiah, B. V., & Asija, G. L. (1956). Alkaline method for determination of mineralizable nitrogen. *Current Science, 25*(2), 259–260.
43. Tandon, H. L. S. (2005). *Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters, fertilisers & organic manures* (pp. xi+-203).
44. Tank, N., & Saraf, M. (2010). Salinity-resistant plant growth promoting rhizobacteria ameliorates sodium chloride stress on tomato plants. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, *5*(1), 51-58.
45. Verma, J. P., Yadav, J., & Tiwari, K. N. (2010). Application of Rhizobium sp. BHURC01 and plant growth promoting rhizobactria on nodulation, plant biomass and yields of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.).
46. Vivas, A., Marulanda, A., Ruiz-Lozano, J. M., Barea, J. M., & Azcón, R. (2003). Influence of a Bacillus sp. on physiological activities of two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and on plant responses to PEG-induced drought stress. *Mycorrhiza*, *13*, 249-256.
47. Yunusa, A. Y., Hayatu, M., Sani, L. A., Babura, S. R., Aminu, M. A., Namadina, M. M., & Phoebe, A. O. (2025). Impact of Salinity Stress on Ion Homeostasis of Some Selected Groundnut (*Arachis* *hypogaea* L.) Varieties. *Sahel Journal of Life Sciences FUDMA*, *3*(2), 198-204.

**Table 2. Effect of microbial inoculants on germination percentage (%) of ground nut crop (CO 7) at various salinity levels**


|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatments** | **Germination percentage (%)** | | | |
| **4.03 dS m-1** | **5.01 dS m-1** | **6.02 dS m-1** | **Mean** |
| T1 - Control | 74.62 | 71.89 | 69.16 | 71.89 |
| T2 -TNAU Culture @ 1 L ha-1 | 89.54 | 86.27 | 82.99 | 86.27 |
| T3 - TNAU Culture @ 2 L ha-1 | 91.04 | 87.71 | 84.38 | 87.71 |
| T4 - TNAU Culture @ 3 L ha-1 | 94.02 | 90.58 | 87.14 | 90.58 |
| T5 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 1 L ha-1 | 89.69 | 86.41 | 83.13 | 86.41 |
| T6 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 2 L ha-1 | 91.33 | 87.99 | 84.65 | 87.99 |
| T7 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 3 L ha-1 | 94.47 | 91.01 | 87.56 | 91.01 |
| Mean | 89.25 | 85.98 | 82.72 |  |
|  | Cultures (C) | Soils (S) | C × S |
| SEd | 0.24 | 1.02 | 1.26 |
| CD @ 5 % | 0.48 | 2.04 | 2.52 |

**Table 3. Effect of microbial inoculants on root length (cm) in all growth stages of ground nut crop (CO 7) at various salinity levels**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Treatments | Vegetative stage | | | | Flowering stage | | | Post- Harvest stage | | | | |
| 4.03  dS m-1 | 5.01  dS m-1 | 6.02  dS m-1 | Mean | 4.03  dS m-1 | 5.01  dS m-1 | 6.02  dS m-1 | Mean | 4.03  dS m-1 | 5.01  dS m-1 | 6.02 dS m-1 | Mean |
| T1 – Control | 4.78 | 4.61 | 4.43 | 4.61 | 6.07 | 5.84 | 5.62 | 5.84 | 8.55 | 8.24 | 7.93 | 8.24 |
| T2 -TNAU Culture @ 1 L ha-1 | 5.74 | 5.53 | 5.32 | 5.53 | 7.28 | 7.01 | 6.75 | 7.01 | 10.26 | 9.89 | 9.51 | 9.89 |
| T3 - TNAU Culture @ 2 L ha-1 | 5.83 | 5.62 | 5.41 | 5.62 | 7.40 | 7.13 | 6.86 | 7.13 | 10.43 | 10.05 | 9.67 | 10.05 |
| T4 - TNAU Culture @ 3 L ha-1 | 6.02 | 5.80 | 5.58 | 5.80 | 7.64 | 7.36 | 7.08 | 7.36 | 10.78 | 10.38 | 9.99 | 10.38 |
| T5 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 1 L ha-1 | 5.75 | 5.54 | 5.33 | 5.54 | 7.29 | 7.02 | 6.76 | 7.02 | 10.28 | 9.90 | 9.53 | 9.90 |
| T6 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 2 L ha-1 | 5.85 | 5.64 | 5.42 | 5.64 | 7.42 | 7.15 | 6.88 | 7.15 | 10.47 | 10.09 | 9.70 | 10.09 |
| T7 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 3 L ha-1 | 6.05 | 5.83 | 5.61 | 5.83 | 7.68 | 7.40 | 7.12 | 7.40 | 10.83 | 10.43 | 10.04 | 10.43 |
| Mean | 5.72 | 5.51 | 5.30 |  | 7.25 | 6.99 | 6.72 |  | 10.23 | 9.85 | 9.48 |  |
|  | Cultures (C) | Soils (S) | C × S | Cultures (C) | Soils (S) | C × S | Cultures(C) | Soils (S) | C × S |
| SEd | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| CD @ 5 % | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 |

**Table 4. Effect of microbial inoculants on no. nodules plant-1 in groundnut crop (CO 7) at various salinity levels**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Treatments | No. of nodules per plant | | | |
| 4.03 dS m-1 | 5.01 dS m-1 | 6.02 dS m-1 | Mean |
| T1 – Control | 10.00 | 9.00 | 8.00 | **9.00** |
| T2 -TNAU Culture @ 1 L ha-1 | 13.00 | 13.00 | 12.00 | **13.33** |
| T3 - TNAU Culture @ 2 L ha-1 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | **14.67** |
| T4 - TNAU Culture @ 3 L ha-1 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | **15.67** |
| T5 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 1 L ha-1 | 13.00 | 13.00 | 13.00 | **13.67** |
| T6 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 2 L ha-1 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 14.00 | **15.00** |
| T7 - CSR-GROW-SURE @ 3 L ha-1 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | **15.67** |
| Mean | **15.43** | **13.42** | **13..00** |  |
|  | Cultures (C) | Soils (S) | C × S |
| SEd | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.26 |
| CD @ 5 % | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.48 |

****

**Figure 1. Influence of microbial inoculants on no. nodules plant-1 in groundnut crop (CO 7) at various salinity levels.**