|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Journal Name: | [**South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology**](https://journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_SAJRM\_139514** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Infectious Disease Burden in the Aftermath of War: A Historical and Contemporary Review** |
| Type of the Article | **Minireview Article** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The topic is relevant to global health, especially with the ongoing conflicts and humanitarian crises. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | To make the title more consistent with the text of the manuscript ‘A Historical and Contemporary Review’ can be replaced by narrative review.Here’s a suggestion - Infectious disease patterns, risk factors, and policy gaps in war zones – a narrative review |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is comprehensive and highlights all the points fundamental to the manuscript. |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript is scientifically correct as it is a narrative review and does not require a rigorous methodology. but if the authors could include the keywords they used to search databases it would be helpful. Also, the authors mentioned literature from 1800 onwards were included. It would be helpful to include how they found the articles that predate the online databases. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The references are relevant and sufficient. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the writing is clear and easy to understand.  |  |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Kanika Deshpande Koirala, United States of America**