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Financial Behavior of University Students: A Theory of Planned Behavior Approach Through Cognitive, Socioeconomic, and Psychological Lenses

ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| Students are growing more conscious of the significance of properly managing their funds as a result of the quick advancements in technology and the expansion of digital services. The ease of use provided by digital financial tools, such online shopping platforms, e-wallets, and mobile banking, might affect consumer behaviour and lead to impulsive purchasing. This study aims to investigate the ways in which students' financial attitudes, financial knowledge, income, and locus of control impact their financial behaviors. The sample consisted of 100 students from three Purwokerto universities using the Slovin formula. The data was analyzed using the Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) approach with SmartPLS 3.0 software. The results demonstrated that financial attitudes, financial knowledge and locus of control all significantly influenced financial behavior, while income had no noticeable impact. These findings highlight the importance of improving financial attitudes, financial knowledge, and self-discipline in order to encourage appropriate financial behavior. |
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**1.** **INTRODUCTION**

The development of information technology in the digital era has driven a significant transformation in the financial service system, such as the emergence of mobile banking, e-wallets, and online-based investment platforms that offer easier, more efficient, and real-time access (Anantadjaya et al., 2023). University students, as part of Generation Z, are a group highly familiar with technology and accustomed to using digital devices for various activities, including financial transactions. Their high adaptability to technology makes them active users of digital financial services. Moreover, students are often targeted as a market due to their consumptive tendencies and interest in modern lifestyle trends. This shows that digital technology not only functions as a tool in financial management but also acts as a trigger for more dynamic and increased consumption patterns.

**Figure 1. Proportion of online transaction amounts by product category based on age group in 2024 (%)**

*Source: Kredivo, 2025*

The 18–25 age group, which represents Generation Z, has the highest consumption pattern in the phone credit and vouchers category (28.3%), followed by health and beauty (18.6%) and fashion and accessories (15.6%), according to the statistics in Figure 1. This consumption pattern indicates a strong preference for digital lifestyles and the need for connectivity, as well as attention to appearance. In contrast, categories such as household appliances, automotive, and travel occupy a smaller portion, reflecting the position of Gen Z who are mostly still in the early stage of adulthood with limited long-term financial responsibilities (Mae, 2025). The ease of access to digital financial services also encourages consumptive behavior and impulsive financial decision-making. This indicates that Gen Z's consumption patterns are not only formed by functional needs but are also influenced by complex psychological and social factors. Without adequate understanding and financial attitude, this phenomenon may pose a risk to unhealthy financial behavior (Widiyati dan Erliana, 2024).

University students face numerous financial choices in their everyday lives that require both basic financial knowledge and the skill to handle their resources in a systematic and strategic way. Such choices frequently center on meeting fundamental needs, funding educational requirements, and addressing ongoing personal costs (Rahmanto dan Susanti, 2021). The ability to demonstrate sound financial behavior is crucial for promoting financial independence and guaranteeing lasting personal economic stability. This is especially vital as students move into adulthood and start to take on more responsibility for their own finances without depending on external support networks. Financially responsible behavior involves various skills such as creating feasible budgets, differentiating between necessities and desires, managing income efficiently, cultivating regular saving practices, and making knowledgeable choices that correspond with immediate responsibilities and future aspirations (Susanti dan Wangdra, 2023). Developing these behavior at a young age acts not only as the foundation for a responsible financial lifestyle but also as a safeguard against future financial challenges, thus providing students with the strength required to handle more complicated economic landscapes.

The development of favorable financial behavior should be supported by vital components, specifically financial attitude, financial knowledge, income, and locus of control, because it is imperative to promote healthy financial conduct among university students. These four factors act as essential elements that influence students’ ability to handle their financial resources judiciously, make well-informed choices, and take charge of their economic welfare. Financial mindset and understanding display cognitive and emotional aspects, income signifies economic ability, whereas locus of control includes psychological preparedness and self-management. A comprehensive grasp of these elements is crucial for creating more focused, contextually appropriate, and impactful financial education initiatives. These strategies can ultimately help promote comprehensive and sustainable financial health among students, providing them with the abilities and attitudes needed to confidently and resiliently manage intricate financial situations.

A person's perspective on handling and reacting to different financial circumstances that arise in daily life is referred to as their financial attitude. It is shaped by a person's experiences, knowledge, and personal values regarding responsible financial management (Romadoni et al., 2024). For college students, financial attitude is crucial as they begin to make their own financial decisions. Pranata dan Widoatmodjo (2023) research indicates that students' financial attitude has a favorable impact on their financial conduct. Wahyuni et al. (2023), on the other hand, presented different results, suggesting that financial conduct was not significantly impacted by financial mindset. These contradictory findings emphasize the need for more research to fully comprehend how financial attitude affects financial behavior, especially among college students.

Financial knowledge is an essential basis that includes a person's comprehension of financial principles like budgeting, saving, investing, and managing debt. This knowledge serves as a base, especially for Generation Z students, to develop financial skills and make wise financial decisions in daily life (Aziz dan Zoraya, 2024). It is crucial because it gives students the freedom to deal with financial realities on their own. This result is consistent with studies showing that financial behavior is positively impacted by financial knowledge. However, a study by Savana et al. (2025) indicates that other factors may also play a part in how people effectively manage their finances, suggesting that positive financial behavior does not necessarily arise directly from the amount of financial knowledge.

Income refers to the total financial earnings received by an individual over a specific period, which may come from full-time employment, part-time jobs, or other sources of revenue (Pranata dan Widoatmodjo, 2023). For students, income may come from parental allowance, scholarships, or part-time jobs, which directly affects their ability to manage finances and meet daily needs (Putri dan Andayani, 2022). This income level is believed to influence how students manage their finances whether in meeting basic needs, saving, or consumption. Gustika et al. (2020), assert that financial conduct is positively impacted by income. However, conflicting findings were found by Gahagho et al. (2021), who asserted that there was no appreciable relationship between income and students' financial conduct.

A person's belief about the source of control over life events is known as their locus of control. This view may come from within (internal) or from outside sources like fate, luck, or other people's influence (Ningtyas dan Siskawati, 2022). When it comes to managing their finances, students with an internal locus of control are more likely to be self-reliant and responsible. However, those with an external locus of control are more susceptible to external influences. Healthy financial behavior is typically favorably correlated with high levels of self-control (Feriz dan Arianti, 2025). Research indicates that an individual's locus of control significantly influences their financial behavior Ritakumalasari dan Susanti (2021), offered conflicting findings, contending that other factors may potentially influence financial behavior and that locus of control has no appreciable impact on financial conduct.

Based on the literature review and the identified research gaps, the researchers intend to replicate and expand upon the study by Anggraini et al. (2022) titled "Financial Behavior of University Students: A Theory of Planned Behavior Approach Through Cognitive, Socioeconomic, and Psychological Lenses" The inclusion of the locus of control variable for model development and the larger sample size, which will include students from three Purwokerto universities, are the study's main differences. The selection of respondents from various study programs at the three institutions also considers the potential for different financial behaviors to form, given the academic background may influence students' financial thinking and decision-making. Furthermore, this study will employ the Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis method using SmartPLS software to comprehensively test the relationships between the variables.

**2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

**2.1 Theory of Planned Behavior**

According to Syarfi dan Asandimitra (2020), who referenced Ajzen (1991), How easy or difficult a person thinks a behavior is has a big influence on their intention to engage in it. Therefore, a person's background plays a crucial role in influencing their actions. As a result, a person's past is very important in determining what they will do. Additionally, Ajzen (2005), as referenced in Dewanti dan Asandimitra (2021), categorized the determinants that influence behavior into three primary groups. The first group includes individual factors such as beliefs, emotions, intellect, personality, and life principles. The second group encompasses informational factors, which consist of knowledge, experience, and information from various sources. The third group involves social factors, including age, education, ethnicity, gender, and income. These three categories interact and collectively influence individual decision-making.

A person's intention, which is based on three key components, determines how they behave, according to the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). First, an individual's attitude toward the activity is defined as their evaluation of it, whether favorable or unfavorable. Second, social pressures or expectations that come from the environment are referred to as subjective norms. Third, a person's belief in their capacity to control and carry out the activity is reflected in their perceived behavioral control. Because these three elements work together to create intention, TPB is an essential model for comprehending how both internal and external factors impact a person's conduct.

**2.2 Financial Behavior**

A person's capacity to effectively manage their finances is reflected in their financial behavior, which includes anything from budgeting to routine financial decisions. This behavior is formed not only by internal factors such as knowledge and attitude, but also through a complex interaction of economic, psychological, and social aspects that shape one’s financial behavior (Yuniningsih et al., 2020). For university students, understanding financial behavior is crucial for supporting personal economic stability, especially as they begin to face financial responsibilities independently. Students who develop habits of setting financial priorities, managing income wisely, and preparing emergency funds are seen to have a stronger foundation for financial independence (Asyik et al., 2022). In general, financial behavior demonstrates the capacity to strategize, handle, and use financial resources effectively (Arianti, 2020).

**2.3 Financial Attitude**

Financial attitude denotes a person's inclination to react to monetary circumstances shaped by their understanding, convictions, and experiences. According to Cahyaningrum dan Fikri (2021), An individual's assessment of the importance and worth of practicing healthy financial behavior is reflected in their financial attitude. Fiika et al. (2022) added that this attitude is shaped by personal experience and financial behavior developed from a young age. According to Ajzen's (1991) Theory of Planned Behavior, attitude is a key component that influences behavioral intentions, particularly financial ones. Numerous research have demonstrated that financial attitudes have a favorable influence on financial actions, which is consistent with the idea. The more favorable a person's attitude toward financial management, the more likely they are to demonstrate healthy financial behaviors, such as disciplined spending and prudent financial decision-making (Pranata dan Widoatmodjo, 2023; Mustika et al., 2022). Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: Financial Attitude has a positive effect on Financial Behavior

**2.4 Financial Knowledge**

The ability to understand and apply fundamental financial principles, such as budgeting, saving, investing, and debt management, to everyday financial decision-making is referred to as financial knowledge. It helps individuals make wise choices and achieve financial stability (Dwi Immamah & Handayani, 2022). This includes knowledge about budgeting, saving, investing, and debt management, which are important financial skills for students to manage their finances independently. In the Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991), financial knowledge aids in forming favorable attitudes, enhancing social pressures, and boosting perceived behavioral control, all of which play a role in shaping intentions and actions. Research has revealed a favorable connection between financial knowledge and responsible finansial behavior (Wijaya dan Yanuar, 2020; Syarfi dan Asandimitra, 2020; Syuliswati, 2020). From this, the subsequent hypothesis is suggested:

H2: Financial Knowledge has a positive effect on Financial Behavior

**2.5 Income**

According to (Arianti, 2020), income is the total amount of money made by a person over a given period of time and used to cover their basic needs. Income not only acts as a source of funds, but also influences a person's financial behavior patterns. According to Dewanti et al. (2021), money has an impact on how people prioritize and divide their spending, which helps them develop prudent financial behavior. Income and the sense of control over conduct are related, according to the Theory of Planned conduct (Ajzen, 1991), with those who earn enough money frequently believing they have greater control over their financial decisions. Research by (Gustika et al., 2020; Nafitri dan Wikartika, 2023; Pranata dan Widoatmodjo, 2023) indicates that income positively impacts financial behavior. From this, the third hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H3: Income has a positive effect on Financial Behavior

**2.6 Locus of Control**

In financial psychology, locus of control is a personality trait that represents how much people believe they have control over the course of their lives. According to Cahyaningrum et al., 2021), those who have an internal locus of control think that their activities have an effect on outcomes, even financial ones. The locus of control can influence perceived behavioral control, which in turn affects intention and actual conduct, according to the Theory of Planned conduct (Ajzen, 1991). Research by (Ahmad, 2021; Safira Cahyani Ula Muhidia, 2020; dan Widi Asih et al., 2020) shows that locus of control has a favorable impact on financial behavior. Thus, the fourth hypothesis is proposed:

H4: Locus of Control has a positive effect on Financial Behavior

**3. RESEARCH METHOD**

Through statistical hypothesis testing, this study uses an explanatory design and a quantitative technique to examine causal links between variables. This approach was selected because to its ability to detect direct effects supported by empirical data. An online survey with closed-ended questions was used to gather data since it was thought to be an efficient way to contact a large number of student responders. (Fiika et al., 2022). The research tool employed a five-point Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), aimed at quantitatively assessing the perceptions and attitudinal tendencies of respondents.

**Table 1. Research Variables and Indicators**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Indicators** |
| **Financial Behavior** | 1. Financial planning
2. Financial management and control
3. Savings or financial storage
4. Investment

(Ferdiyansyah et al., 2021) |
| **Financial Attitude** | 1. Orientation towards personal finance
2. Debt philosophy
3. Money security
4. Assessing personal

(Gustika et al., 2020) |
| **Financial Knowledge** | 1. Knowledge of financial management
2. Knowledge of financial planning
3. Knowledge of income and expenses
4. Knowledge of money and assets

(Nurisaputri et al., 2024) |
| **Income** | 1. Income components
2. Income sources
3. Costs

(Putri dan Andayani, 2022) |
| **Locus of Control** | 1. Ability to solve personal problem
2. Self-control
3. Self-confidence
4. Feeling helpless in facing life problems

(Ritakumalasari dan Susanti, 2021) |

The variables' indicators financial attitude, financial knowledge, income, locus of control, and financial behavior were taken from pertinent prior research and modified for this study. A five-point Likert scale is used to assess each indicator, which is constructed as a closed statement. With the aid of SmartPLS 3.0 software, which permits the simultaneous evaluation of both measurement and structural models, data analysis was carried out using the Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) method.

The participants in this research consist of students from three universities in Purwokerto: Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto with 14,187 students, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman with 23,658 students, and Universitas Islam Negeri Saifuddin Zuhri with 11,291 students. These universities were chosen because of their significant student enrollments and varied academic profiles, anticipated to offer a more extensive depiction of student financial behavior. The sampling method employed was probability sampling utilizing a simple random sampling approach, ensuring that every individual in the population has an equal opportunity to be chosen. The Slovin formula, accounting for a 10% margin of error, was utilized to establish the sample size, leading to a total of 100 respondents considered suitable for subsequent analysis (Fadel et al., 2024).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data analysis in this research utilized Structural Equation Modeling with Partial Least Squares (SEM-PLS) version 3.0, encompassing three primary stages. To verify that the indicators accurately measure the constructs, the first step entails examining the outer model to assess the instruments' validity and reliability. By examining the direction and magnitude of the influences, the inner model is examined in the second stage to evaluate the relationships between latent variables. In order to determine the importance of the associations, the third stage entails testing hypotheses using t-statistics and path coefficient values. The SEM-PLS method enables thorough statistical and theoretical examination of student financial behavior (Purwidianti et al., 2022). PLS is utilized to estimate model parameters with the goal of reducing the residual variance of the dependent variable, which makes it very effective for hypotheses in predictive research (Ghozali, 2015). All data from the questionnaire were gathered with a 100% response rate and are prepared for subsequent analysis

**Table 2. Respondent Demographics**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristics** | **Category** | **Frequency** | **Percentage** |
| **Institution** | Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto | 29 | 29% |
| Universitas Jendral Soedirman | 48 | 48% |
| Universitas Islam Negeri Saifuddin Zuhri | 23 | 23% |
| **Gender** | Male | 31 | 31% |
| Female | 69 | 69% |
| **Age** | < 18  | 1 | 1% |
| 19 - 21  | 79 | 79% |
| 22 - 24  | 20 | 20% |
| **Income** | < 1 Million IDR | 42 | 42% |
| 1 - 2 Million IDR | 46 | 46% |
| 3 - 4 Million IDR | 9 | 9% |
| > 4 Million IDR | 3 | 3% |

*Source: Results of questionnaires filled out by respondents, 2025*

The demographic findings of the research participants are shown in Table 2. 48 responders or 48% of the total, were from Jenderal Soedirman University. In terms of gender, 69 responders or 69% of the total, are female. With 79 responders (79%), students between the ages of 19 and 21 make up the largest demographic. Furthermore, the majority of respondents 46 individuals or 46% of the sample earn between 1 and 2 million rupiah per month.

**4.1 Outer Model Analysis**

According to Purwidianti et al. (2022), outer model analysis is necessary to ensure that the measurement instruments used meet the validity and reliability standards. Three criteria are applied in this measurement: convergent validity, discriminant validity, and composite reliability.



**Figure 2. Hypothesis Model and Outer Loading Values**

*Source: SEM-PLS 3.0 Data Processing, 2025*

The reflective indicators used in this study showed good convergent validity, as indicated by outer loading values mostly exceeding 0.7. Additionally, several indicators had outer loading values above 0.6, which are still acceptable in exploratory research. According to Ghozali (2015:30), outer loading values between 0.5 and 0.6 are considered the minimum acceptable threshold for convergent validity. Therefore, the indicators with outer loading above 0.6, as shown in Figure 2, are deemed valid and suitable for further analysis.

**Table 3. Construct Reliability and Validity**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | **Cronbach's Alpha** | **rho\_A** | **Composite Reliability** | **Average Variance Extracted (AVE)** |
| **Financial Attitude** | 0.832 | 0,84 | 0,883 | 0,604 |
| **Financial Knowledge** | 0,861 | 0,869 | 0,892 | 0,543 |
| **Income**  | 0.840 | 0,842 | 0,879 | 0,509 |
| **Locus of Control**  | 0,778 | 0,78 | 0,85 | 0,532 |
| **Financial Behavior** | 0,804 | 0,808 | 0,86 | 0,507 |

*Source: SEM-PLS 3.0 Data Processing, 2025*

The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) was used to assess convergent validity in this study, values greater than 0.5 suggest that the latent construct explains over half of the variance in its indicators. Composite reliability (with a threshold of ≥ 0.7) and Cronbach's alpha (minimum acceptable value of ≥ 0.6) were used to evaluate construct dependability. These criteria were met by every construct in this investigation, indicating the validity and reliability of the assessment tools employed.

**Table 4. Discriminant Validity (Fronell-Lercker)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Financial Attitude** | **Financial Knowledge** | **Income**  | **Locus of Control** | **Financial Behavior** |
| **Financial Attitude** | 0,777 |   |   |   |   |
| **Financial Knowledge** | 0,605 | 0,737 |   |   |   |
| **Income**  | 0,661 | 0,719 | 0,714 |   |   |
| **Locus of Control**  | 0,668 | 0,553 | 0,676 | 0,729 |   |
| **Financial Behavior** | 0,768 | 0,69 | 0,682 | 0,661 | 0,712 |

*Source: SEM-PLS 3.0 Data Processing, 2025*

The Fornell-Larcker criterion, which compares each construct's AVE with its correlations with other components, was used to assess discriminant validity. Since the square root of the AVE for each construct is greater than its correlations with other constructs, the results show that each latent variable is distinct. Consequently, every construct satisfies the criteria for discriminant validity and is suitable for additional SEM-PLS investigation.

**4.2 Inner Model Analysis**

The R-square (R²) value, which indicates the percentage of variance in the dependent variable explained by the independent variables, was used to evaluate the structural model. Greater predictive strength of the model is shown by a higher R² score (Fiika et al., 2022).

**Table 5. R-square Results**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **R Square** | **R Square Adjusted** |
| **Financial Behavior** | 0,69 | 0,677 |

*Source: SEM-PLS 3.0 Data Processing, 2025*

The R-Square (R²) value of 0.690 indicates that 69% of the variance in students' financial behavior is explained by Financial Attitude, Financial Knowledge, Income, and Locus of Control. This highlights the strong impact of these factors and the effectiveness of the model in explaining students' financial decision making. The remaining 31% indicates other variables not covered in this study. This finding emphasizes the need for a broader multidimensional approach and recommends that future research include additional factors to better understand students' financial behavior

**Table 6. Model Fit**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Model Saturated** | **Model Estimasi** |
| **SRMR** | 0.095 | 0.095 |

*Source: SEM-PLS 3.0 Data Processing, 2025*

The model fit test showed an SRMR value of 0.095, which is slightly above the ideal cutoff of 0.08 but still falls within the acceptable range of 0.08 to 0.10. This range is generally considered adequate for exploratory research models, where the theoretical framework and model structure are still being developed and refined. Therefore, while the model fit is not perfect, it is sufficient and reliable enough to proceed with further analysis and interpretation.

**4.3 Hypothesis Testing**

Hypothesis testing uses p-values, t-statistics, and sample values. A relationship is significant if p < 0.05 and t > 1.96. Sample values show effect direction and strength. These metrics assess how independent variables affect dependent variables (Ningtyas & Siskawati, 2022).

**Table 7. Hypothesis Testing**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Original Sample (O)** | **Sample Mean (M)** | **Standard Deviation (STDEV)** | **T Statistics (|O/STDEV|)** | **P Values** | **Keterangan** |
| **Financial Attitude - > Financial Behavior** | 0,439 | 0,428 | 0,085 | 5,15 | 0,000 | *Accepted* |
| **Financial Knowledge - > Financial Behavior** | 0,275 | 0,27 | 0,103 | 2,658 | 0,006 | *Accepted* |
| **Income - > Financial Behavior** | 0,089 | 0,104 | 0,115 | 0,771 | 0,409 | *Rejected* |
| **Locus of Control - > Financial Behavior** | 0,155 | 0,161 | 0,07 | 2,213 | 0,026 | Accepted |

*Source: SEM-PLS 3.0 Data Processing, 2025*

Based on the results shown in Table 7, A positive and significant link is indicated by the Financial Attitude variable's p-value of 0.000 (<0.05) and original sample value of 0.439. This indicates that Purwokerto students' financial behavior is significantly positively impacted by their financial attitude. Simply put, students who approach financial management with greater positivity typically exhibit better financial behavior.

The Financial Knowledge variable also exhibits a substantial positive impact on Financial Behavior, with a p-value of 0.006 (<0.05) and an original sample value of 0.275. This suggests that the development of healthier financial behavior is supported when children comprehend financial concepts like planning and budgeting.

Income, on the other hand, has a positive influence but is not statistically significant, as seen by its p-value of 0.409 (> 0.05) and original sample value of 0.089. This suggests that the quality of students' financial conduct is not directly influenced by their income levels, emphasizing the greater significance of internal elements like attitudes and knowledge.

Finally, a substantial positive correlation between the variables of Locus of Control and Financial Behavior is indicated by the p-value of 0.026 (<0.05) and the original sample value of 0.155. Strong self-control makes students more likely to manage their money wisely and carefully.

**4.4 Effect of Financial Attitude on Financial Behavior (H1)**

The results of the study show that Purwokerto students' financial behavior is significantly and favorably impacted by their financial attitudes. Students who hold positive views on financial matters such as spending discipline, budgeting, and saving tend to practice responsible and effective financial management in their daily lives. This result supports the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), which identifies attitude as a critical factor shaping individual intentions and behaviors. A positive mindset toward financial management strengthens students’ resolve to make wise and rational financial decisions, which is reflected in their actual financial conduct. Therefore, improving financial attitudes is an essential strategy for promoting sound financial behavior, especially among students who represent a promising generation building sustainable economic foundations. This conclusion is also supported by Fiika et al. (2022), His work emphasizes how important it is to promote a positive approach to personal money management and how important financial attitudes are in shaping financial behavior.

**4.5 Effect of Financial Knowledge on Financial Behavior (H2)**

The results of the study show that financial knowledge has a favorable and significant impact on Purwokerto students' financial behavior. Pupils who are well-versed in financial plans, investments, budgeting, and debt management typically demonstrate more responsible and cautious financial practices. This is consistent with the Theory of Planned conduct (TPB), which emphasizes the importance of perceived behavioral control in determining intentions and conduct. Enhanced financial knowledge increases students' confidence in making informed financial decisions, resulting in better management of their financial resources. Consequently, initiatives aimed at improving financial knowledge among students are vital as a strategic method for cultivating healthy and sustainable financial behavior. This is further corroborated by research from  Triani dan Wahdiniwaty (2020) It demonstrated that raising financial awareness can have a good impact on personal financial management and that financial behavior is significantly influenced by financial knowledge.

**4.6 Effect of Income on Financial Behavior (H3)**

The results of the study show that Purwokerto students' financial conduct is not much impacted by their income. This implies that students' financial behavior are not directly influenced by the quantity of money they get. Students with higher incomes do not always demonstrate responsible financial behavior, whereas those with lower incomes can manage their finances wisely if they possess good financial management skills. This highlights the greater influence of internal factors such as financial attitude, financial knowledge, and locus of control in shaping financial behavior. These results demonstrate that attitudes and perceived behavioral control have a greater impact on intentions and behaviors than merely having financial resources, according to the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). Without the right mindset and knowledge, having a higher income alone will not result in improved financial decisions. This conclusion is reinforced by (Hendry et al., 2022), who discovered that a high income does not ensure effective financial behavior in the absence of sufficient management skills and discipline.

**4.7 Effect of Locus of Control on Financial Behavior (H4)**

The results of the study show that Purwokerto students' financial conduct is positively and significantly impacted by locus of control. This implies that the more confident students are in their ability to handle their financial circumstances, the more likely their financial conduct is to be advantageous. This is evident in skills such as budgeting, consistent saving, and making prudent, responsible financial choices. Perceived behavioral control, or a person's confidence in their capacity to carry out particular acts, is closely related to locus of control in the context of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB). Students who have an internal locus of control tend to feel more capable of handling their money, which motivates them to do it responsibly and on their own. These results are consistent with the findings of Fadilah dan Purwanto (2022), who also found that locus of control had a big impact on financial behavior. Therefore, improving students' financial behavior requires improving their locus of control.

**5. CONCLUSIONS**

**5.1 Conclusions**

Based on the findings of the analysis, it can be said that three of the four independent factors that were looked at financial attitude, financial knowledge, income, and locus of control have a significant and beneficial impact on the financial behavior of Purwokerto students. Financial conduct is significantly influenced by financial attitude, as students who have a positive outlook are more likely to have sound financial practices. Financial Knowledge also plays a crucial role by enhancing understanding of budgeting, saving, and financial planning, which supports positive financial practices. Additionally, Locus of Control helps promote more responsible financial behavior, suggesting that students who feel in control of their finances manage their money more effectively. Conversely, Income does not have a significant impact, indicating that income alone does not dictate financial behavior without adequate financial knowledge and positive attitudes. Therefore, fostering positive attitudes, enhancing financial knowledge, and strengthening self-discipline are vital for encouraging good financial behavior among students.

**2.5 Suggestions**

In order to promote independence in personal financial management, it is advised that students' financial knowledge and attitudes be reinforced through a variety of educational initiatives, including seminars, workshops, and locus of control development training. Although income does not have a significant effect, other factors such as lifestyle and spending patterns need to be studied more deeply because they have the potential to influence financial behavior. This study has limitations, including geographical coverage that is only limited to the Purwokerto area and a quantitative approach that is less able to explore subjective aspects in depth. Therefore, future research is recommended to expand geographic and demographic coverage, use mixed methods, and integrate theoretical models such as Theory of Planned Behavior. It is anticipated that this method will offer a more thorough comprehension of the elements influencing students' financial behavior.

**COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

**REFERENCES**

Ahmad, A. (2021). The Impact of Locus of Control, Financial Attitude, Income, and Religiosity on Financial Behavior. In Management & Accounting Expose e-ISSN (Vol. 2, Issue 2). http://jurnal.usahid.ac.id/index.php/accounting

Anantadjaya, S., Setiawan, B., Volin, V., Moridu, I., & Bailusy, M. (2023). Exploring Financial Technology’s Impact On Generation Z Transaction Knowledge. Jurnal Scientia, 12(3), 3945–3951. http://ejournal.seaninstitute.or.id/index.php/Ekonomi

Anggraini, A., & Anggrainie, N. (2022). The Influence of Behavior, Financial Attitude, Lifestyle, Financial Knowledge, Income and Self-Control on Investment Decisions of Productive Age Employees in Jakarta. YUME: Journal of Management, 5(3), 51–61. https://doi.org/10.37531/yume.vxix.45674

Arianti, B. (2020). The Influence of Income and Financial Behavior on Financial Literacy through Investment Decisions as Intervening Variables. Journal of Accounting, 10(1), 13–36. https://doi.org/10.33369/j.akuntansi.9.3.13-36

Asyik, N. F., Wahidahwati, & Laily, N. (2022). The Role of Intellectual Capital in Intervening Financial Behavior and Financial Literacy on Financial Inclusion. WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics, 19, 805–814. https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2022.19.70

Aziz, D. A., & Zoraya, I. (2024). How Financial Literacy, Financial Attitudes and Personality Affect MSMe Management Behavior? Case in Bengkulu. Hasanuddin Economics and Business Review, 7(3), 113. https://doi.org/10.26487/hebr.v7i3.5126

Cahyaningrum, B., & Fikri, M. (2021). The Mediating Role of Locus of Control on the Influence of Financial Knowledge and Financial Attitude on Financial Management Behavior. Journal of Management Science, 9(4), 1500–1515.

Dewanti, V. P., & Asandimitra, N. (2021). The Influence of Financial Socialization, Financial Knowledge, and Financial Experience on Financial Management Behavior with Locus of Control as a Mediating Variable on PayLater Users. In Journal of Management Science (Vol. 9).

Fadel, A., Wasesa, A., Utami, A. F., & Nisa, F. L. (2024). Analysis of Interest in Students of the East Java Veteran National Development University Department of Development Economics Semester 7 Regarding Planning After Graduation. Scientific Journal of Wahana Pendidikan, 10(19), 64–73. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14038122

Fadilah, S. J., & Purwanto, E. (2022). The Influence of Locus of Control, Planning and Financial Literacy on the Financial Behavior of MSMEs. Al-Kharaj: Journal of Economics, Finance & Sharia Business, 4(5), 1476–1488. https://doi.org/10.47467/alkharaj.v4i5.1003

Ferdiansyah, A., Triwahyuningtyas, N., Management Studies, P., & Veteran National Development Jakarta, U. (2021). Analysis of Financial Technology Services and Lifestyle on Students' Financial Behavior. Accredited Scientific Journal of Management Economics Students Sinta, 4(1), 223–235. http:jim.unsyiah.ac.id/ekm

Feriz, N. J., & Arianti, K. R. (2025). The Influence of Lifestyle, Religiosity, Financial Technology, and Locus of Control on Students' Financial Behavior. EDUNOMIKA, 9(1), 1–6.

Fiika, A., Haqiqi, Z., & Pertiwi, T. K. (2022). The Influence of Financial Technology, Financial Literacy and Financial Attitudes on Generation Z Financial Behavior in the Covid-19 Pandemic Era on UPN “Veteran” East Java Students. SEIKO: Journal of Management & Business, 5(2), 2022–2355. https://doi.org/10.37531/sejaman.v5i2.2301

Gahagho, Y., Rotinsulu, T., & Mandeij, D. (2021). The Influence of Financial Literacy, Financial Attitudes and Income Sources on Financial Management Behavior of Students of the Faculty of Economics and Business, UNSRAT with Intention as an Intervening Variable. EMBA Journal, 9, 543–555.

Gustika, R., Tinggi, S., & Pasaman, I. E. (2020). The Influence of Financial Income and Attitudes on Financial Management (Study on Household Women in Nagari Binjai, Kecamatan Tigo Nagari, Pasaman District). Journal of Economic Appreciation, 8(3), 399–406.

Hendry, Alvin, Sutiono, R., Permana, E., & Jordan, C. (2022). The Effect of Income, Financial Attitude, and Financial Literacy on Financial Behavior with Locus of Control as a moderating variable. Management Studies and Entrepreneurship Journal, 3(3), 968–980. http://journal.yrpipku.com/index.php/msej

Mae. (2025, February 26). Indonesian Citizens Are Increasingly Dedicated to Online Shopping, Worth Thousands of Trillions. CNBC Indonesia.

Mustika, H., Eliyana, A., Agustina, T. S., & Anwar, A. (2022). Testing the Determining Factors of Knowledge Sharing Behavior. SAGE Open, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221078012

Nafitri, S., & Wikartika, I. (2023). The Influence of Income, Lifestyle and Financial Literacy on Financial Behavior in Management Students of National Development University "Veteran" East Java. Management Studies and Entrepreneurship Journal, 4(1), 766–774. http://journal.yrpipku.com/index.php/msej

Ningtyas, M. N., & Siskawati, E. N. (2022). Financial Literacy, Locus of Control, Financial Technology, and Financial Behavior: A Case Study on Undergraduate Students of Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University Malang. International Conference of Islamic Economics and Business, 423–433.

Nurisaputri, A. D., Fikri, M. A., Windikasari, E. S., Galih, M., & Wardana, W. (2024). Financial management behavior: The role of financial knowledge, financial attitude, and personality (Vol. 22, Issue 2). http://jurnalnasional.ump.ac.id/index.php/kompartemen/

Pranata, T., & Widoatmodjo, S. (2023). The Influence of Income, Financial Literacy, and Financial Attitude on Financial Management Behavior of Unmarried Adults in DKI Jakarta. Journal of Business Management and Entrepreneurship, 7, 803–815.

Purwidianti, W., Tubastuvi, N., Darmawan, A., & Rahmawati, I. Y. (2022). Does Financial Behavior Mediate The Relationship Between Financial Literacy and Financial Experience Towards Financial Performance of Small Businesses? 201, 235–241.

Putri, K. A. S., & Andayani, S. (2022). Financial Literacy and Income on Students' Investment Decisions with Financial Behavior as an Intervening Variable. Journal of Management and Bussines (JOMB), 4(2), 1075–1089. https://doi.org/10.31539/jomb.v4i2.4715

Rahmanto, F., & Susanti, A. (2021). The Influence of Financial Literacy, Hedonic Lifestyle, and Personal Financial Attitudes on Students' Financial Behavior. Journal of Economics, Business, and Management, 8(1), 40–48.

Ritakumalasari, N., & Susanti, A. (2021). Financial Literacy, Lifestyle, Locus of Control, and Parental Income on Students' Financial Behavior. Journal of Management Science, 9, 1440–1450.

Romadoni, D. A., & Ristianawati, Y. (2024). Financial Management Behavior: Income, Financial Attitude, Financial Literacy, and Locus of Control as Intervening Variables. EDUNOMIKA, 8(3), 1–15.

Safira Cahyani Ula Muhidia. (2020). The Influence of Financial Knowledge, Financial Attitude, and Locus of Control on the Financial Behavior of Management Study Program Students, Muhammadiyah University of Gresik.

Savana, Z. F., Lubis, T. A., & Hulu, D. (2025). The Influence of Self-Efficacy and Financial Knowledge on Financial Behavior with Financial Attitude as a Mediating Variable on MSME Actors in Telanaipura District Post COVID-19. Journal of Management Dynamics, 13(1), 32–47.

Susanti, R., & Wangdra, R. (2023). The Influence of Financial Literacy, Financial Attitude and Locus of Control on Financial Management Behavior of Accounting Students.

Syarfi, S. M., & Asandimitra, N. (n.d.). Number 3-Management Department, Faculty of Economics, Surabaya State University 2020. In Management Science Journal (Vol. 8).

Syuliswati, A., & Malang, P. N. (2020). The Influence of Financial Knowledge on Financial Behavior with Financial Attitude as a Mediating Variable.

Triani, A., & Wahdiniwaty, R. (2020). The Influence of Financial Knowledge and Financial Attitude on Financial Management Behavior.

Wahyuni, S. F., Radiman, R., & Kinanti, D. (2023). The Influence of Financial Literacy, Hedonic Lifestyle and Personal Financial Attitude on Student Financial Behavior. Owner, 7(1), 656–671. https://doi.org/10.33395/owner.v7i1.1304

Widi Asih, S., & Khafid, M. (2020). The Influence of Financial Knowledge, Financial Attitude and Income on Personal Financial Management Behavior through Locus Of Control as an Intervening Variable How to Cite. EEAJ, 9(3), 748–767. https://doi.org/10.15294/eeaj.v9i1.42349

Widiyati, D., & Erliana. (2024). The Influence of Financial Literacy, Data Protection, and Cybersecurity on the Use of Financial Technology. JAE (Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Ekonomi), 9(1), 130–141. https://doi.org/10.29407/jae.v9i1.21945

Wijaya, I., & Yanuar. (2020). The Influence of Financial Knowledge, Financial Satisfaction, Financial Confidence on Financial Behavior. Journal of Business Management and Entrepreneurship, 5, 72–76.

Yuniningsih, Y., Santoso, B., & Atestasi, /. (2020). Does Family Environment Moderate The Effect of Financial Literacy, Attitudes and Motivation on Investment Interest. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi, 3(2), 126–132. https://doi.org/10.33096/atestasi.v3i2.569