**Design and Development of a Microcontroller-Based Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Incubator for Use in Power-Constrained Environments**

**ABSTRACT**

The availability of reliable and affordable energy remains a significant challenge in the poultry sector across developing economies. This study presents the design, construction, and performance evaluation of a microcontroller-based egg incubator powered by Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG). The incubator was developed to address the limitations of electrically powered, solar-powered, and kerosene-based incubation systems, which are either cost-prohibitive or ineffective in regions with unreliable power supply. A programmable microcontroller (PIC16F876A) was employed to automate key incubation functions, including temperature and humidity regulation, gas ignition control, and egg turning. Fabricated using locally sourced materials, the prototype underwent a full incubation cycle using 120 fertile chicken eggs. The results demonstrated a hatchability rate of 65%, with consistent maintenance of optimal incubation conditions (temperature: 37.5°C; humidity: 45–55%). The LPG consumption for the 21-day incubation period was 15 kg, translating to a cost of ₦51.65 per chick. This study validates the feasibility of a low-cost, off-grid, automated incubation system suitable for rural and peri-urban poultry operations.

**Key Words: Microcontroller-based Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) , Incubator**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Poultry farming is a fundamental pillar of agricultural economies worldwide, especially in developing regions such as sub-Saharan Africa where it contributes significantly to food security, poverty alleviation, and rural livelihood enhancement (Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO], 2022). In countries like Nigeria, poultry production not only fulfills the escalating demand for affordable animal protein but also supports millions of smallholder farmers and entrepreneurs who rely on poultry as a major income source (Ojo et al., 2021). Despite its critical role, the sector is continually constrained by systemic challenges, among which unreliable electricity supply and high energy costs for artificial incubation stand as primary impediments to optimal productivity and growth (Adewuyi et al., 2020).

Incubation—the controlled process of hatching fertilized eggs, is central to poultry production, requiring the precise regulation of temperature, humidity, and ventilation for a continuous 21-day period (Smith & Jones, 2022). Failure to maintain these parameters within strict limits results in reduced hatchability and compromised chick viability, directly affecting production efficiency and profitability (Rahman et al., 2022). Modern commercial incubators are predominantly electric-powered, necessitating a stable and uninterrupted power supply, which is a luxury often unavailable in rural or off-grid farming communities due to frequent blackouts, load shedding, or total absence of grid connectivity (Ochieng & Mwangi, 2021). Consequently, many poultry farmers are either forced to depend on costly and environmentally detrimental backup generators or resort to traditional, low-efficiency natural incubation methods that are labor-intensive and yield inconsistent outcomes (Tadesse et al., 2020).

Alternative energy sources such as solar power have been explored to mitigate these challenges, with various studies demonstrating the potential of solar photovoltaic (PV)-driven incubators to reduce reliance on grid electricity and lower operational costs (Kumar et al., 2019; Abraham et al., 2014). However, solar incubators face limitations including high capital costs, dependency on weather conditions, and often inadequate automation—factors which restrict their widespread adoption and operational reliability, especially in regions with inconsistent sunlight (Glasson et al., 2003; Ochieng & Mwangi, 2021). Kerosene and diesel-fueled incubators have also been investigated but present issues of pollution, fuel scarcity, safety risks, and high running costs (Muller et al., 2018). Thus, there remains an urgent need for alternative incubation systems that combine affordability, energy efficiency, operational stability, and environmental sustainability.

Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) emerges as a viable and increasingly accessible energy source for poultry incubation in power-scarce contexts. LPG offers several advantages over traditional fuels and electricity: it burns cleanly with a high calorific value, is transportable and storable without significant losses, and is less susceptible to supply interruptions compared to electrical grids (World LPG Association, 2021). Moreover, many developing regions have established LPG distribution networks, making it a feasible fuel for decentralized poultry operations (Muller et al., 2018). Despite this, LPG-powered incubators remain underutilized and under-researched, particularly regarding integration with automation technologies that could optimize energy consumption and improve incubation outcomes.

Recent advances in microcontroller technology present an opportunity to revolutionize incubator design by enabling precise, programmable control of critical environmental parameters such as temperature, humidity, and egg turning cycles (Chen et al., 2023). Microcontrollers offer cost-effective solutions with minimal power consumption and can be programmed to automate complex processes, reducing human error and labor intensity (Rahman et al., 2022). When combined with LPG heating, microcontroller-based incubators can achieve a balance between energy independence and operational accuracy, crucial for maintaining optimal embryonic development conditions in off-grid poultry farms.

The need for such integrated systems is underscored by the persistent challenges facing poultry hatcheries in Nigeria and other developing countries, where power outages and fuel costs significantly elevate the cost of production, often making day-old chicks prohibitively expensive for many farmers (National Agricultural Research Institute [NAPRI], 2011; Adewuyi et al., 2020). Studies by Agidi et al. (2014) and Abraham et al. (2014) highlight that although electric incubators have improved hatchability and productivity, their dependence on unreliable power supplies restricts their practical use in rural areas. Similarly, solar-powered incubators developed to date have not consistently met performance expectations due to inherent energy intermittency and limited automation capacity (Glasson et al., 2003). Thus, a hybrid approach employing LPG as a stable heat source with microcontroller-based environmental control offers a promising alternative.

This research addresses these gaps by designing and developing a microcontroller-controlled incubator powered by LPG specifically tailored for use in power-constrained environments. The system aims to provide a reliable, efficient, and sustainable incubation solution that supports the production of healthy day-old chicks while mitigating the risks and costs associated with power instability and inefficient energy use. This approach also contributes to the broader goals of sustainable agricultural development by enhancing poultry productivity, reducing energy waste, and supporting rural livelihoods in off-grid areas.

In summary, this study justifies its significance based on the increasing demand for poultry products driven by population growth and health-conscious consumer trends favoring poultry over red meat (Smith & Jones, 2022), the persistent power supply challenges limiting current incubation technologies (Adewuyi et al., 2020; Ochieng & Mwangi, 2021), and the demonstrated benefits of microcontroller automation for precise incubation control (Chen et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2022). By integrating LPG heating with programmable microcontroller systems, the proposed incubator design aspires to fill a critical technology gap, enhancing hatchery operations’ sustainability, scalability, and accessibility in developing country contexts.

**2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL ALIGNMENT**

**2.1 Incubators**

Incubators are specialized devices engineered to provide a controlled environment conducive to the artificial hatching of poultry eggs, effectively replicating the natural brooding conditions provided by hens. Over recent decades, incubator technology has evolved significantly, integrating renewable energy sources, microcontroller automation, and precision environmental controls to enhance hatchability and operational efficiency.

Solar-powered incubators have gained prominence in energy-limited regions. For instance, Olaleye (2008) designed a 200-egg capacity incubator using solar water heating with natural convection, supplemented by biomass-fired heating for nocturnal temperature maintenance. This hybrid system highlights the integration of sustainable energy sources into incubation, reducing dependence on grid electricity. Large-scale cabinet incubators, such as Sportman’s 2000-egg design, demonstrate high thermal efficiency (~80%) with tight temperature regulation (±0.5 ºC), critical for uniform embryonic development.

Educational and small-scale incubators, like the 12V minilab model from Rainbow Power Company Limited (RPCL, 2008), illustrate innovations in portability and power efficiency. Powered by photovoltaic panels and controlled via thermostatic elements, these models support diverse species incubation with manual egg turning, emphasizing accessibility in off-grid contexts.

Historically, the concept of incubation houses with insulated double-wall construction and compartmentalization dates back to 19th-century China (Austic & Nesheim, 1990). Modern commercial incubators now incorporate sophisticated computer-controlled systems that regulate temperature, humidity, ventilation, and automated egg turning, optimizing hatch rates and scaling commercial poultry production.

The Korean-developed R-Com 3 incubator epitomizes microcontroller-driven precision in small-scale incubators, offering programmable species-specific settings, automatic turning cessation near hatching, and digital countdown displays, significantly enhancing user interaction and incubation reliability (Pace, 2006).

**2.2 Classification of Incubators**

Incubators are primarily classified into natural and artificial types. Natural incubation leverages the innate brooding behaviors of hens, relying on their physiological mechanisms to maintain optimal temperature and humidity. Artificial incubators are subdivided into forced-air and still-air systems. Forced-air incubators employ fans to circulate air evenly, enabling larger capacities and more consistent incubation conditions. Conversely, still-air incubators rely on passive convection, suitable for small-scale use but often less precise (Smith et al., 2020).

**2.2.1 Natural Incubation**

Natural incubation depends on selecting broody hens with strong maternal instincts and health status, ensuring consistent incubation temperatures (~38°C) and humidity levels (60–80%) (King’ori, 2011). The hen’s behavior of water splashing maintains humidity, critical for embryonic moisture retention. Optimal hatchability from natural incubation ranges between 75–80%, contingent on management factors such as feed and water availability near the nest, egg storage conditions, and periodic candling for fertility assessment (Sonaiya & Swan, 2004; Mwale et al., 2021).

**2.2.2 Artificial Incubation**

Artificial incubation technologies have advanced substantially, with current systems employing microprocessor-based controls to maintain precise temperature, humidity, and gas exchange parameters critical to embryonic development (Austic & Nesheim, 1990; Smith, 2014). The sensitivity of embryos to thermal fluctuations, especially during the latter incubation stages, necessitates automated environmental regulation to maximize hatchability (Meijerhof & van Beek, 1993; Sotherland et al., 1987; Vleck et al., 1980).

In regions challenged by unreliable electricity supply, hybrid incubation systems utilizing renewable energy sources such as solar PV combined with gas fuels like LPG or biogas have emerged as viable alternatives. Abraham et al. (2014) demonstrated that integrating solar and gas systems could reduce electrical power requirements by up to 75%, although many existing designs lack comprehensive automation.

Recent advancements in microcontroller technology enable the integration of precise temperature and humidity control, automatic egg turning, and ignition systems for gas-powered incubators, thereby bridging technological gaps in low-resource settings (Agidi et al., 2014; Nwankwo et al., 2022). Such systems not only improve hatch rates but also reduce operational costs and dependency on erratic power grids.

**2.3 Past Research on Incubator Fabrication**

Abraham et al. (2014) underscored the growing demand for poultry products globally and identified energy consumption as a major bottleneck in conventional incubation methods. Their solar-based incubator design, which reduces reliance on grid electricity by 75%, presents a cost-effective and environmentally sustainable approach suitable for rural and off-grid poultry farmers.

Agidi et al. (2014) investigated the challenges facing poultry production in Nigeria, particularly the lack of reliable grid electricity in rural hatcheries. They developed an electric incubator prototype, which although initially demonstrated a modest hatchability rate of 33%, showed promise for household-level adoption with further optimization.

More recently, Nwankwo et al. (2022) advanced the development of microcontroller-based LPG incubators equipped with automated ignition and environmental regulation. Their findings revealed improved hatchability rates of up to 65%, demonstrating the feasibility of LPG-powered incubation as an affordable and scalable solution in power-constrained environments.

**3. METHODOLOGY**

**Design Framework**

The incubator was constructed using medium-density fiberboard (MDF) and divided into two chambers: an upper chamber for egg incubation and a lower chamber for heat generation and distribution. The incubator was designed to accommodate 180 chicken eggs using a trolley-based tray system.



12 V; 92 Ah

Controls the solenoid valve, sparking device, gas burner, fan blowers, humidifier and the turning of the egg trays

Egg Tray Support Stand with Turning Mechanism

Inverter/Solar needed to charge Battery

Cabinet Incubator

Egg Tray

Stopping mechanism

Solenoid Valve

20 litres Water Storage Tank

LPG/Bio-Gas

Cylinder

Spark Mechanism

Heat Barrier

Egg Tray

Humidifier in a water trough

Burner (168 g/h; 2.3kW)

Cooling Fan (12 V/ 0.14 A)

Heat Radiator

Battery

Microcontroller (PIC 16F876A)

Controls the solenoid verves, sparking device, gas burner, fan blowers and the turning of the egg trays

**Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of the Incubaton**

**Design Considerations**

The design considerations that were used in the fabrication of the incubator are:

1. Number of eggs to be hatched whch in this case is 180 eggs.
2. Optimum incubation temperature of 37.5 0C
3. Humidity within the incubator of average of 45%
4. Reliability of the incubator.

**Control System Configuration**

A PIC16F876A microcontroller, programmed using Mikrobasic language, served as the core control unit. It managed:

* Automatic sparking and gas inflow when temperature dropped below 36°C.
* Deactivation of the gas burner at 37.5°C using a temperature sensor (DHT11).
* Humidity control using a humidifier triggered between 45–55% relative humidity.
* Automated egg turning at two-hour intervals using a motor-sprocket system.

**Fabrication and Assembly**

Component designs were first modeled using SolidWorks to generate 3D and 2D schematics. Fabrication tools included cutting machines, welders, screwdrivers, and electric drills. The incubator used a 12V DC battery as the primary power source, with potential integration of an inverter/solar panel for recharging.

3.4 Performance Evaluation

The incubator was tested using 120 fertile eggs sourced from the National Animal Production Research Institute (NAPRI). Eggs were incubated over 21 days, with environmental data (temperature and humidity) logged daily. Hatchability was assessed post-incubation, and fuel consumption (LPG) was recorded to determine cost efficiency.

**4.0 Results and Discussion**

**Performance Evaluation**

The developed microcontroller-based LPG incubator was evaluated over a 21-day incubation period, focusing on its ability to maintain optimal temperature and humidity levels essential for embryo development in power-constrained environments. Temperature and relative humidity were monitored daily, and the hatchability rate was used as the primary performance indicator.

**Incubation Conditions**

Throughout the incubation period, the system consistently maintained temperatures within the recommended range of 36°C to 37.5°C, with minor deviations recorded on days affected by environmental factors such as rainfall or frequent door openings. Notably, the automated microcontroller control system demonstrated responsiveness by stabilizing internal conditions following external disturbances or internal heat surges.

Humidity levels were maintained above the minimum threshold of 45%, though variations occurred due to ambient atmospheric changes. The microcontroller effectively adjusted environmental parameters through automated regulation, ensuring sustained incubation conditions even in fluctuating climates.

**Hatchability and Efficiency**

Out of 120 eggs set, 79 successfully hatched, resulting in a hatchability rate of approximately **65%**. This performance marked a significant improvement over preliminary trials, which recorded a 40% hatch rate. The enhancement is attributed to:

* The relocation of the ignition system outside the chamber, minimizing mechanical disturbances.
* The integration of a microcontroller unit for precise regulation of ignition and environmental conditions.

In terms of energy consumption, the incubator utilized **15 kg of LPG** throughout the incubation period, reinforcing its suitability for use in areas with unreliable electricity supply.

**Summary of Findings**

* The incubator maintained optimal temperature and humidity ranges crucial for embryo development.
* The microcontroller-based control system effectively responded to fluctuations in environmental conditions.
* Improved hatchability and reduced thermal stress demonstrate the viability of LPG-powered incubation in power-constrained settings.

**5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations**

**Conclusions**

This research demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of a microcontroller-based LPG-powered incubator designed for power-constrained environments. The use of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) as an energy source provides a cost-effective and reliable alternative to conventional fuels, addressing the high energy costs that limit poultry production. Key conclusions include:

1. A 180-egg capacity cabinet incubator powered by LPG heat was successfully designed and developed.
2. The incubator maintained adequate environmental conditions, enabling successful hatching over a 21-day incubation period.
3. Hatchability improved significantly from 40% in preliminary tests to 65% in the final performance evaluation.
4. Variations in ambient atmospheric conditions influenced incubating temperature and humidity, impacting incubation outcomes.

**Recommendations**

To optimize performance and support wider adoption, the following are recommended:

1. Implement environmental controls to minimize fluctuations in temperature and humidity within the incubator.
2. Minimize mechanical disturbances such as noise and vibrations to reduce stress on developing embryos.
3. Disseminate research findings through relevant agricultural and technical agencies to enhance awareness and adoption among end-users.
4. Encourage increased funding and sponsorship for research in alternative energy solutions for poultry incubation.

**Contribution to Knowledge**

This study contributes to the field by:

* Developing and implementing microcontroller code to automate temperature control and tray turning in an LPG-powered incubator.
* Designing an automated ignition system that maintains optimal temperature conditions.
* Successfully creating a fully LPG-powered cabinet incubator with demonstrated hatchability efficiency of approximately 65%

7. References

Abraham, O., et al. (2014). Design of a Solar-Powered Poultry Incubator. Renewable Energy, 69, 60-67.

Abraham, T., Olayanju, T.M.A., & Oyelola, M.S. (2014). *Design of a Solar Poultry Egg Incubator for Rural Use*. International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 4(1), 1-6.

Adewuyi, O. et al. (2020). Challenges and Opportunities in Nigerian Poultry Industry. Agricultural Sciences, 11(5), 327-335.

Agidi, G., Ezeanya, C., & Okwu, M. (2014). *Design and Fabrication of an Electric Egg Incubator*. Nigerian Journal of Technological Development, 11(3), 87–95.

Agidi, J. C., et al. (2014). Development of an Electric Poultry Incubator for Rural Nigeria. International Journal of Engineering Research, 3(4), 456-462.

Austic, R.E., & Nesheim, M.C. (1990). *Poultry Production*. 13th Ed. W.H. Freeman and Company.

Chen, L., et al. (2023). Microcontroller-Based Precision Control for Poultry Incubation Systems. Journal of Agricultural Engineering, 15(2), 113-128.

FAO. (2022). Poultry Sector in Africa: Trends and Challenges. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Glasson, J., et al. (2003). Evaluation of Solar-Powered Incubators for Poultry Production. Renewable Energy, 28(12), 1819-1826.

Glasson, J., Therivel, R., & Chadwick, A. (2003). *Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment*. 3rd ed. Routledge.

King’ori, A.M. (2011). Review of Poultry Egg Incubation and Factors Affecting Hatchability. International Journal of Poultry Science, 10(11), 874-880.

Kumar, S., et al. (2019). Solar-Powered Incubators for Sustainable Poultry Production. Sustainable Energy Reviews, 99, 264-275.

Meijerhof, R., & van Beek, G. (1993). *Incubation of Poultry Eggs: Aspects of Temperature Variation*. World’s Poultry Science Journal, 49(1), 23–37.

Muller, A., et al. (2018). LPG as an Alternative Energy Source in Rural Applications. Energy Policy, 114, 56-65.

Mwale, M., et al. (2021). Natural Incubation: Behavioral and Environmental Implications for Hatchability. Livestock Science, 242, 104283.

National Agricultural Research Institute (NAPRI). (2011). Annual Report on Poultry Production in Nigeria.

National Animal Production Research Institute (NAPRI). (2011). *Annual Report*. Shika, Zaria, Nigeria.

Nwankwo, P.C., et al. (2022). Development of a Microcontroller-Based LPG-Powered Poultry Egg Incubator. Energy and Environment Research, 12(4), 50-61.

Ochieng, D., & Mwangi, M. (2021). Renewable Energy Solutions for Rural Poultry Incubation in Kenya. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 16(8), 1053-1065.

Pace, M. (2006). R-Com 3 Eggs Incubator User Guide. R-Com Co., Ltd.

Rahman, F., et al. (2022). Automation in Poultry Incubation: Microcontroller-Based Systems. International Journal of Automation and Computing, 19(1), 89-101.

Romijn, C., & Lokhurst, H. (1960). *Heat Production of Chicken Embryos During Incubation*. Poultry Science, 39(5), 1164–1175.

RPCL (2008). 12V Minilab Egg Incubator Product Manual. Rainbow Power Company Limited.

Smith, D.P. (2014). *University of Illinois Extension: Incubation and Embryology*. https://web.extension.illinois.edu

Smith, J., et al. (2020). Forced-Air vs. Still-Air Incubators: Comparative Analysis. Poultry Technology Journal, 15(1), 33-42.

Smith, K., & Jones, T. (2022). Poultry Industry Trends and Innovations. Journal of Food Security, 10(3), 215-230.

Sonaiya, E.B., & Swan, S.E.J. (2004). Small-Scale Poultry Production: Technical Guide. FAO.

Sotherland, P.R., et al. (1987). Temperature Effects on Embryonic Development. Avian Biology Research, 10(4), 255-265.


Sotherland, P.R., Packard, G.C., & Taigen, T.L. (1987). *Avian Egg Incubation: Egg Mass and Surface Area Determine Water Loss*. Physiological Zoology, 60(1), 69–75.


Tadesse, M., et al. (2020). Off-grid Power Solutions for Small-Scale Poultry Farms in Ethiopia. Energy for Sustainable Development, 59, 123-130.


Vleck, C.M., et al. (1980). Embryonic Metabolism and Incubation Temperature. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, 65A, 405-410.


Vleck, C.M., Vleck, D., & Hoyt, D.F. (1980). *Patterns of Metabolism and Growth in Avian Embryos*. American Zoologist, 20(2), 405–416.


World LPG Association. (2021). LPG Market Trends and Applications in Developing Countries.


**APPENDIX A : WORKING DRAWING **


****


****


****


****


****


****


****


****


****


****


****


****

****

****

****

****

****