*Original Research Article*

Effect of Eco-Friendly Coatings on Shelf-Life and Post- Harvest Quality Parameters of Guava (*Psidium Guajava* L.)

.

ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| **Aims:** Susceptibility of guava to post-harvest losses is high which can be addressed by post-harvest management technologies such as application of coatings which reduce post-harvest loss. This study investigates the effect of various coatings on shelf life and postharvest quality of guava stored at ambient and cold temperature.  **Study design:**  **Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Food Processing and Nutrition Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women University, Vijayapura, India, between July to August 2023.  **Methodology:** Castor oil, coconut oil, olive oil, refined sunflower oil, and aloe vera gel were applied to the guava samples, while untreated samples were used as the control.  **Results:** The results showed that, castor oil and aloe vera gel coated guava samples had least amount of physiological weight reduction. Guavas treated with castor oil, aloe vera gel and olive oil showed lowest disease incidence and decay percentage in ambient and cold temperature. The samples treated with castor oil, aloe vera gel and olive oil showed longest shelf life and highest reduction in microbial growth in ambient temperature (13 days) and cold temperature (28 days). In samples treated with castor oil, the total soluble solids (TSS) rose more slowly than in samples treated with other coatings in both ambient and cold temperatures, suggesting delayed ripening. At the end of the 28 days storage period for cold treated samples, sensory evaluation showed, guavas treated with castor oil and aloe vera gel had greatest acceptance index. The fruits stored at cold temperature showed increased shelf life irrespective of coatings.  **Conclusion:** Overall, castor oil and aloe vera gel coatings were effective in preserving guava quality and increasing shelf life, making them sustainable natural postharvest preservation options. |

*Keywords: Guava, edible coating, post-harvest management, castor oil, coconut oil, olive oil, refined sunflower oil, aloevera gel coating.*

1. INTRODUCTION

[(Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) also known as ‘apple of tropics’ or ‘poor man’s apple’ is highly productive, delicious and nutritious fruit grown commercially throughout the tropical and sub-tropical regions of India. It is the fourth most important fruit crop in area and production after mango, banana and citrus ([Bose and Mithra, 2001](https://arccjournals.com/journal/indian-journal-of-agricultural-research/A-6167#bose_2001)). Major guava producing countries are Pakistan, South Africa, India, Brazil, Egypt, Mexico, Venezuela and Columbia (Hassan et al., 2012). In India, guava is cultivated in an area of 265 thousand hectare with an annual production of 4054 thousand tons and productivity of 15.3 MT per hectare. In Karnataka, guava is cultivated in an area of 7.18 thousand hectares with an annual production of 140.23 thousand MT with a productivity of 19.52 MT per hectare ([Anon., 2018](https://arccjournals.com/journal/indian-journal-of-agricultural-research/A-6167#anonymous_2018)). Guava (*Psidium guajava L*.) is an important subtropical fruit grown widely in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. India is the major world producer of guava (Jagtianiet al. 1998). With seeds that are rich in omega-3 and omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids, dietary fibre, riboflavin, proteins, and mineral salts, guavas are often marketed as "super-fruits" with significant nutritional value in terms of vitamins A and C. Guava's high vitamin C (ascorbic acid) content makes it an effective weapon against oxidation and free radicals, two major foes that contribute to the development of many degenerative diseases. Guavas' antioxidant property is thought to lower the risk of pancreatic, laryngeal, oesophageal, stomach, and oral malignancies. Guava's vitamin C enhances the absorption of vitamin E, which reduces LDL cholesterol oxidation and improves HDL cholesterol (good) cholesterol. Guava fibres facilitate bowel movements and aid with digestion. Guava's high vitamin A content is crucial for preserving the health and quality of the mucous membranes, teeth, skin, and eyesight. (Dattatreya, 2012). Because of their thin skin and high metabolic rate, guavas are highly susceptible to water loss, physical damage, and spoilage, severely limiting their shelf-life. (Chen, 2024). Hence the present study is conducted to assess how the application of selected coatings can be effectively used to extend the shelf life of guava samples with the following objectives: 1) To study the effect of application of selected oil and aloe vera coating on post-harvest quality parameters, microbial growth and shelf life of guava samples. 2)To conduct sensory evaluation of the coated guava samples

2. material and methods

The present study was conducted during the period of July- August 2023 in the Department of Food Processing and Nutrition, Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Womens University, Vijayapura, Karnataka, with coordinates 16.8° N latitude and 75.6° E longitude with an average elevation of 606 meters.

**Sample collection:** Guava samples were procured from the local market of Vijayapura. Samples were collected at commercial harvest, using skin colour as maturity index. Guavas of regular shape and uniform size without any defect, green-skinned fruit at a range of maturities approaching full development were selected. Apart from these, traits of healthiness for fruits free from disease and bruising on skin were also taken into consideration for selection of fruits. Selected samples were collected in cartons and brought to laboratory. Blemished, diseased and fruits that are not uniform in size and colour were discarded. Before the application of the treatments, the fruits were thoroughly washed and dried. The selected fruits were randomly picked.

**Coating materials:** Selected guava samples were coated in using coatings of different materials as per the details mentioned below:

List 1 : **Details of the treatment**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No.** | **Code** | **Details of the treatment** |
| 1. | AC | Control (uncoated) + Ambient temperature |
| 2. | AT1 | Castor Oil + Ambient temperature |
| 3. | AT2 | Coconut oil + Ambient temperature |
| 4. | AT3 | Olive oil + Ambient temperature |
| 5. | AT4 | Refined sunflower oil + Ambient temperature |
| 6. | AT5 | Aloe vera gel + Ambient temperature |
| 7. | CC | Control (uncoated) + Cold temperature |
| 8. | CT1 | Castor Oil + Cold temperature |
| 9. | CT2 | Coconut oil + Cold temperature |
| 10. | CT3 | Olive oil + Cold temperature |
| 11. | CT4 | Refined sunflower oil + Cold temperature |
| 12. | CT5 | Aloe vera gel + Cold temperature |


**Application of Coatings:** Coating technique employed for this study was dipping**.** The control samples were the untreated bananas


**Storage Conditions:** The temperatures throughout the study were: ambient temperature (26 ±2 °C) and cold temperature (4 ±2 °C). The total duration of storage was seven days after ripening of the guava fruits.

**Quality Parameter Evaluation:**


1. **Physical observation:**

**1.1 Fruit weight (g):** Fruits of investigational set were weighed with a scientific weighing (accuracy 0.001mg) balance at the start of each experiment (freshly harvested, i.e., at day 0) and thereafter at regular interval during the storage period.

**1.2 Physiological loss in weight (%):** The difference between the initial and final weight of the fruit was considered as the total weight loss and calculated it as a percentage on a fresh weight basis as per the standard method of AOAC (1994). The weight loss was calculated with the following formula:


* 1. **Per cent decay (%):** Ten fruit samples of each treatment were used to study the decay percentage. Based on the number of fruits spoiled (unfit for human consumption), the percentage decay was worked out and the spoiled fruits were removed. It was determined by using the following formula according to Ismail *et* *al*., 2010.

1. **Chemical parameters:**

**2.1 Total Soluble Solids (° Brix):** Erma hand refractometer (range 0-32 °Brix) was used to measured total soluble solids of pulp. A drop of homogenized pulp was used to measure the TSS, and values were expressed as °Brix.

1. **Microbial analysis to study the shelf life and decay incidence of samples:** Shelf life refers to a time period for which a fruit or vegetable can be stored. The item becomes unfit for use after the shelf life. The selected fruits and vegetables coated with the coatings of different oils namely castor, coconut, olive, sunflower and the aloe vera. The samples were analysed throughout the storage duration for the microbial contamination.
2. **Sensory evaluation of the coated samples:** The sensory characters viz., size, shape, skin colour, texture, aroma, appearance, marketability and overall acceptability of coated fruits and vegetables during their storage life were evaluated on four-point hedonic scale (Larmond, 1977). The information contained on the performa was 4: extremely like; 3: moderately like; 2: neither like nor dislike; 1: dislike. 10 panellists of were invited to discriminate different attributes. Sensory evaluation was completed by judges and their observations were recorded.
3. **Statistical Analysis**: To ascertain the significance of variations among the treatments, the experimental results were statistically analysed using One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). To find significant differences between treatments, the means were compared using the Critical Difference (CD) test at a 5% level of significance (p < 0.05). Additionally, the Coefficient of Variation (CV%) was computed to evaluate the accuracy of the findings. All the statistical analysis was carried out by using MS Excel.

**Chart 1 : Research Methodology Flowchart**



3. results and discussion

**Table 1. Percentage of physiological loss in weight of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Days** | **Ambient Treatments** | | | | | | **Cold Treatments** | | | | | | |
| **AC** | **AT1** | **AT2** | **AT3** | **AT4** | **AT5** | **CC** | **CT1** | **CT2** | **CT3** | **CT4** | **CT5** |
| **Fruit weight** | **0** | 33.70 | 36.80 | 28.10 | 37.70 | 23.50 | 34.40 | 40.70 | 35.00 | 41.40 | 44.40 | 42.50 | 38.50 |
| **7** | 21.60 | 30.80 | 20.20 | 29.70 | 18.30 | 28.20 | 35.20 | 32.80 | 39.90 | 42.80 | 40.10 | 36.70 |
| **14** | 18.20 | 29.00 | 18.20 | 27.30 | 16.30 | 26.30 | 34.10 | 32.30 | 38.00 | 41.40 | 39.30 | 36.10 |
| **21** | - | - | - | - | - | - | 33.20 | 33.40 | 37.80 | 40.50 | 38.40 | 35.90 |
| **28** | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31.50 | 32.40 | 36.40 | 39.40 | 37.40 | 35.10 |
| **Physiological loss in weight (%)** | | 45.99 | 21.19 | 35.23 | 27.58 | 30.63 | 23.54 | 22.60 | 7.43 | 12.08 | 11.26 | 12.00 | 8.83 |
| **mean** | | 14.70 | 19.32 | 13.30 | 18.94 | 11.62 | 17.78 | 34.94 | 33.18 | 38.70 | 41.70 | 39.54 | 36.46 |
| **SD** | | 14.60 | 17.87 | 12.69 | 17.71 | 10.93 | 16.50 | 3.49 | 1.11 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 1.94 | 1.28 |
| **SEM** | | 32.65 | 39.96 | 28.38 | 39.61 | 24.44 | 36.91 | 7.81 | 2.47 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 2.85 |
| **cd at 5%** | | 10.59 | 11.71 | 9.87 | 11.66 | 9.16 | 11.25 | 5.18 | 2.91 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 3.86 | 3.13 |
| **CV (%)** | | 99.34 | 92.50 | 95.44 | 93.52 | 94.05 | 92.83 | 10.00 | 3.33 | 5.06 | 4.69 | 4.90 | 3.50 |
| **Significance** | | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* | \* |

AC- Control (uncoated) + ambient temperature, AT1- Castor Oil + ambient temperature, AT2- Coconut oil + ambient temperature, AT3- Olive oil + ambient temperature, AT4- Refined sunflower oil + ambient temperature, AT5- Aloe vera gel + ambient temperature, CC - Control (uncoated) + cold temperature, CT1 - Castor Oil + cold temperature, CT2- Coconut oil + cold temperature, CT3 Olive oil + cold temperature, CT4- Refined sunflower oil +cold temperature, CT5 - Aloe vera gel +cold temperature.

Table 1 represents percentage of physiological loss in weight of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature. Among ambient treatments least PLW was seen in AT1 (castor oil) followed by AT5 (aloe vera) and AT3 (olive oil) as 21.19 percent, 23.54 percent, and 27.58 percent respectively, maximum PLW was observed in control sample (45. 99%). Among the cold treatments least PLW was seen in CT1 (castor oil) followed by CT5 (aloe vera) and CT3 (olive oil) as 7.43 percent, 8.83percent, and 11.26 percent respectively, maximum PLW was observed in control sample (22.60%). Increase in PLW was observed in all the treatments with increase in storage period which may be because of moisture loss by evapo-transpiration and reserved food material by respiration. The analysis was found to be significant among the treatments. The results were corresponding to the results of Joshi and Roy (1985) in mango and Pandey *et al.,* (2006) on apple.

**Table 2. Percent decay (%) of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature (per 10 fruits)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatments** | **AC** | **AT1** | **AT2** | **AT3** | **AT4** | **AT5** | **CC** | **CT1** | **CT2** | **CT3** | **CT4** | **CT5** |
| **Guava** | 9 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 90% | 30% | 60% | 50% | 70% | 40% | 20% | 0% | 10% | 0% | 20% | 0% |

AC- Control (uncoated) + ambient temperature, AT1- Castor Oil + ambient temperature, AT2- Coconut oil + ambient temperature, AT3- Olive oil + ambient temperature, AT4- Refined sunflower oil + ambient temperature, AT5- Aloe vera gel + ambient temperature, CC - Control (uncoated) + cold temperature, CT1 - Castor Oil + cold temperature, CT2- Coconut oil + cold temperature, CT3 Olive oil + cold temperature, CT4- Refined sunflower oil +cold temperature, CT5 - Aloe vera gel +cold temperature.

Data depicted in Table 2 addresses percent decay of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature. In case of treated samples stored at ambient temperature, the lowest decay percentage of 30 percent was seen in AT1 (castor oil) which may be due to the barrier properties of castor oil treated guava samples, the highest decay percentage of 90% was seen in AC (control). In case of treated samples stored at cold temperature, the lowest decay percentage of zero percent was seen in CT1 (castor oil) and CT5 (aloe vera) which may be due to the barrier properties of castor oil and antimicrobial properties of aloe vera gel, the highest decay percentage of twenty percent was seen in AC (control) and CT4 (refined sunflower oil).

**Table 3. Effect of treatments on TSS of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | **TSS (% brix)** | | | | | | |
| **Fruits/**  **Vegetables** | | **Treatments** | **Day 0** | **Day 7** | **Day 14** | **Day 21** | **Day 28** | **Percentage increase in TSS** |
| **Guava** | **Ambient** | **AC** | 10 | 12 | 13 | - | - | 30.00 |
| **AT1** | 10 | 11 | 11 | - | - | 10.00 |
| **AT2** | 10 | 11 | 12 | - | - | 20.00 |
| **AT3** | 10 | 11 | 12 | - | - | 20.00 |
| **AT4** | 10 | 12 | 13 | - | - | 30.00 |
| **AT5** | 10 | 11 | 12 | - | - | 20.00 |
| **Cold** | **CC** | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 30.00 |
| **CT1** | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10.00 |
| **CT2** | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 20.00 |
| **CT3** | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 20.00 |
| **CT4** | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 30.00 |
| **CT5** | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 30.00 |

AC- Control (uncoated) + ambient temperature, AT1- Castor Oil + ambient temperature, AT2- Coconut oil + ambient temperature, AT3- Olive oil + ambient temperature, AT4- Refined sunflower oil + ambient temperature, AT5- Aloe vera gel + ambient temperature, CC - Control (uncoated) + cold temperature, CT1 - Castor Oil + cold temperature, CT2- Coconut oil + cold temperature, CT3 Olive oil + cold temperature, CT4- Refined sunflower oil +cold temperature, CT5 - Aloe vera gel +cold temperature.

Data depicted in Table 3represents the percentage increase in TSS of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature. In case of ambient temperature there was rise in TSS of fruits up to 14th day of storage but after that the fruits got rotten at ambient temperature hence the further readings have not been taken. The lowest TSS percentage increase was observed in AT1 (castor oil) and AT5 (aloevera), and maximum TSS percentage increase was recorded in AC (control) and AT4 (refined sunflower oil). The coating materials used for fruits except for refined sunflower oil showed less percentage increase in total soluble solids compared to control. The TSS content of the freshly harvested (i.e.,0 days of storage) guava fruit was 10 percent. The increasing trend of TSS was observed in the treated as well as untreated guava fruits. In case of samples stored in cold temperature there was rise in TSS of fruits up to 28th day of storage but after that the fruits got rotten at ambient temperature hence the further readings have not been taken. The lowest TSS percentage increase was observed in CT1 (castor oil) and maximum TSS percentage increase was recorded in CC (control), CT4 (refined sunflower oil) and CT5 (aloevera). The TSS content of the freshly harvested (i.e.,0 days of storage) guava fruit was 10 percent. The increasing trend of TSS was observed in the treated as well as untreated guava fruits. Total soluble solid (TSS) an important parameter of fruit quality. TSS value affects the taste of the fruit because it can indicate the level of sweetness of the fruit. The findings of this investigation are in conformation with the earlier findings of Panwar (1980) in Ber.

**Table 4. Effect of treatments on microbial growth and shelf life of treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total**  **Microbial Count** | **Treatments** | **Day 0** | **Day 4** | **Day 8** | **Day 12** | **Day 13** | **Day 14** | **Day 16** | **Day 20** | **Day 24** | **Day 26** | **Day 28** |
| **AC** | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **AT1** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - | - | - | - | - |
| **AT2** | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **AT3** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - | - | - | - | - |
| **AT4** | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **AT5** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - | - | - | - | - |
| **CC** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu | - |
| **CT1** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |
| **CT2** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu |
| **CT3** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |
| **CT4** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | >10 cfu |
| **CT5** | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil | nil |

AC- Control (uncoated) + ambient temperature, AT1- Castor Oil + ambient temperature, AT2- Coconut oil + ambient temperature, AT3- Olive oil + ambient temperature, AT4- Refined sunflower oil + ambient temperature, AT5- Aloe vera gel + ambient temperature, CC - Control (uncoated) + cold temperature, CT1 - Castor Oil + cold temperature, CT2- Coconut oil + cold temperature, CT3 Olive oil + cold temperature, CT4- Refined sunflower oil +cold temperature, CT5 - Aloe vera gel +cold temperature.

Data depicted in Table No. 4represents the effect of the different coatings on the microbial growth and the shelf life of the treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature. ">10 cfu" denotes the existence of microbial growth, whereas "nil" denotes absence of microbial growth. In case of ambient temperature, no microbial growth was detected in the AT1(castor oil), AT3 (olive oil) and AT5 (aloe vera) coated samples until 14th day of the storage period. AT2 (coconut oil) and AT4 moderately extend the shelf life**,** delaying microbial growth untilday 12. The control (AC) deteriorated the fastest, with microbial growth appearing by day 12. In case of cold temperature, no microbial growth was detected in the CT1(castor oil), CT3 (olive oil) and CT5 (aloe vera) coated samples until 28th day of the storage period. CT2 (coconut oil) and CT4 moderately extend the shelf life**,** delaying microbial growth untilday 27. The control (CC) deteriorated the fastest, with microbial growth appearing by day 26. Therefore based of the study it can be concluded that castor oil, olive oil and aloe vera gel might be the most effective treatments, preventing microbial growth entirely for 13 days and 28 days at both ambient and cold temperature respectively.

**Table 5. Mean sensory scores of the treated guava samples stored at ambient and cold temperature**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Days** | **Acceptability Index (%)** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Treatments** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **AC** | **AT1** | **AT2** | **AT3** | **AT4** | **AT5** | **CC** | **CT1** | **CT2** | **CT3** | **CT4** | **CT5** |
| **Day 0** | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| **Day 7** | 82.1 | 96.8 | 82.5 | 88.1 | 83.1 | 95.9 | 92.5 | 99.0 | 95.3 | 96.8 | 92.8 | 97.5 |
| **Day 14** | - | 85.9 | - | 46.8 | - | 72.1 | 80.0 | 94.0 | 80.0 | 84.6 | 79.0 | 93.7 |
| **Day 21** | - | - | - | - | - | - | 62.1 | 87.8 | 62.8 | 70.0 | 68.7 | 84.6 |
| **Day 28** | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32.5 | 86.5 | 36.5 | 41.5 | 34.3 | 83.7 |

AC- Control (uncoated) + ambient temperature, AT1- Castor Oil + ambient temperature, AT2- Coconut oil + ambient temperature, AT3- Olive oil + ambient temperature, AT4- Refined sunflower oil + ambient temperature, AT5- Aloe vera gel + ambient temperature, CC - Control (uncoated) + cold temperature, CT1 - Castor Oil + cold temperature, CT2- Coconut oil + cold temperature, CT3 Olive oil + cold temperature, CT4- Refined sunflower oil +cold temperature, CT5 - Aloe vera gel +cold temperature.

Table 5 represents mean sensory scores during the storage period of the treated guava samples in ambient and cold temperatures. On day zero all the treated samples showed 100 percent acceptability index, as the days progressed the acceptability index gradually decreased, from the first to the fourteenth day. In case of ambient temperature, highest acceptability index was seen in AT1 (castor oil) followed by AT5 (aloe vera). As on fourteenth day the acceptability index percentage was maximum in AT1 (85.9%) followed by AT5 (72.1%) and the least was seen in AT3 (46.8%), the acceptability index of AC (control), AT2 (coconut oil) and AT4 (refined sunflower oil) were not recorded as they had already decayed and were no longer acceptable. Control showed the lowest acceptability index in all the storage days. In case of cold temperature storage, from the first to the twenty eighth day, highest acceptability index was seen in CT1 (castor oil) followed by CT5 (aloe vera). As on twenty eighth day the acceptability index percentage was maximum in CT1 (86.5%) followed by CT5 (83.7%) and the least was seen in control (32.5%). This may be due to the and the surface barrier properties of castor oil and olive oil and the antimicrobial properties of aloe vera.

4. Conclusion

In case of ambient temperature, castor oil (AT1) treated guava sample showed the least percentage loss in weight percent followed by aloevera (AT5), olive oil (AT3).The lowest disease incidence and decay percentage in treated guava sample was observedin castor oil (AT1) followed by aloe vera treated (AT5) and olive oil (AT3) treated guava samples. The lowest microbial growth and the highest shelf life was seen in the castor oil (AT1) followed by aloe vera gel (AT5) treated guava samples.Least percentage increase in TSS was seen in castor oil (AT1) and aloevera (AT5) treated guava sample. Maximum shelf life was seen in castor oil, olive oil and aloe vera gel (AT1, AT3 and AT5) treated samples. At the end of the storage period (14 days) guava stored at ambient temperature treated with castor oil (AT1) showed the highest percentage of acceptability index followed by aloe vera (AT5) and the least was seen in olive oil treated guava sample (AT3) whereas control (AC), coconut oil (AT2) and refined sunflower oil (AT4) treated guava sample had decayed on the fourteenth day and were unfit for consumption. In case of cold temperature, castor oil (CT1) treated guava sample showed the least percentage loss in weight percent followed by aloevera (CT5), olive oil (CT3).The lowest disease incidence and decay percentage in treated guava sample was observedin castor oil (CT1), aloe vera treated (CT5) and olive oil (CT3) treated guava samples. The lowest microbial growth and the highest shelf life was seen in the castor oil (CT1), aloe vera gel (CT5) and olive oil treated guava samples.Least percentage increase in TSS was seen in castor oil (CT1) treated guava sample. Maximum shelf life was seen in castor oil, olive oil and aloe vera gel (CT1, CT3 and CT5) treated samples. At the end of the storage period (28 days) guava stored at cold temperature treated with castor oil (CT1) showed the highest percentage of acceptability index followed by aloe vera (CT5) and the least was seen in refined sunflower oil treated guava sample (CT4). Overall, it can be concluded that castor oil coating and aloevera gel coating showed better barrier properties and can be a sustainable alternative in enhancing the postharvest qualities of the guava fruits stored in ambient and cold temperature.

References

Bose, T. K., & Mitra, S. K. (2001). *Fruits: Tropical and subtropical* (pp. 610). Calcutta: Nava Udyog Publication.

Anonymous. (2018). *Indian horticulture database*. National Horticulture Board. [https://www.nhb.gov.in](https://www.nhb.gov.in/)

Dattatreya, M. K., Kaushik, P., & Kumar, R. (2012). Evaluation of guava products quality. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering, 2*(1), 7–11. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.food.20120201.02>

Chen, N., Wei, W., Yang, Y., Chen, L., Shan, W., Chen, J., Lu, W., Kuang, J., & Wu, C. (2024). Postharvest physiology and handling of guava fruit. *Foods, 13*(5), 805. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13050805>

AOAC. (1994). *Official methods of analysis* (16th ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

Ismail, O. M., Abd El-Moniem, E. A. A., Abd-Allah, A. S. E., & El-Naggar, M. A. A. (2010). Influence of some post-harvest treatments on guava fruits. *Agric. Biol. JN Am*, *1*(6), 1309-1318.

LARMOND, E. 1977. *Laboratory Methods for Sensory Evaluation of Foods*. Canada Department Agric., Publ. 1637, Ottawa

Roy, S. K., & Joshi, G. D. (1985, May). An approach to integrated post-harvest handling of mango. In *II International Symposium on Mango 231* (pp. 649-661).

Pandey, G., Verma, M. K., & Tripathi, A. N. (2006). Studies on storage behaviour of apple cultivars. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, *63*(4), 368-371.

Panwar, J. S. (1980). Pattern of Fruit Drop in Ber (Zizyphus Mauritiana Lamk). Under Arid Condition of Haryana. *Haryana agric. UnilJ. J*, 57-59.

Hassan, I., Khurshid, W., & Iqbal, K. (2012). Factors responsible for decline in guava (*Psidium guajava*) yield. *Journal of Agricultural Research, 50*, 129–134.

Jagtiani, J., Chan, H. T., & Sakai, W. S. (1998). Guava. In *Tropical fruit processing*. New York: Academic Press.