|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | **[Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences](https://journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS)** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_JAMPS\_138922** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Evaluation of Omega-3, Omega-6 and Lipid Contents of Wistar Rats Treated with Frequently Used Cooking Oil in Nigeria** |
| Type of the Article |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This study offers significant contributions to the scientific community by examining how cooking oils influence lipid profiles of Wistar rats, relevant to human cardiovascular health. It provides data on how oils affect cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C, and triglyceride levels, contributing to our understanding of nutrition. Analysing the omega-3 and omega-6 within the oils helps expand knowledge of its contribution to cardiovascular disease. This study will help in the development of more precise food standard and recommendations and also, public health strategies. | Impressive, and I agree with the Reviewer. |
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