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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The manuscript has been reasonably prepared and organized, highlighting a rare clinical condition scenario quite significant to the medical and scientific community. The case report would contribute to the existing case studies literature involving vulnerable pediatric population and can aid during diagnostic dilemma or for an early detection of a drug induced reaction.** | Stevens-Johnson Syndrome (SJS) and Staphylococcal Scalded Skin Syndrome (SSSS) are rare, life-threatening dermatologic emergencies, especially in the paediatric population. The uniqueness of this case lies in the overlapping presentation of both conditions in a single child, which is extremely rare and challenging to diagnose. This report emphasizes the key clinical differences and early management strategies, providing valuable insights to healthcare professionals in resource-limited settings. This case will help clinicians better differentiate and manage these severe conditions promptly. |
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| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, the manuscript has been appropriately written in a scientific manner to a satisfactory level. | Thank you so much |
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