|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics**](https://journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_ARJGO\_139247** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **ROLE OF MEOWS (MODIFIED EARLY OBSTETRIC WARNING SCORE) AS A PREDICTOR OF PERIPARTUM MORBIDITY–A PROSPECTIVE STUDY IN A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING INSTITUTE** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript underscores the critical role of the Modified Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) in enhancing maternal and neonatal care, especially in resource-limited settings. By demonstrating its efficacy in predicting peripartum morbidity, the study provides valuable insights into early detection and timely intervention, which are essential for improving outcomes. The findings contribute to the growing body of evidence supporting the implementation of simple, effective clinical tools in obstetric practice, fostering safer childbirth experiences. Additionally, this research addresses the urgent need for reliable monitoring systems in developing countries, highlighting the potential for MEOWS to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality rates.** | YES , I AGREE |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes, the title "Role of MEOWS (Modified Early Obstetric Warning Score) as a Predictor of Peripartum Morbidity – A Prospective Study in a Tertiary Care Teaching Institute" is suitable. It clearly conveys the focus of the study on the MEOWS tool and its predictive capability regarding peripartum morbidity, while also indicating that the research is based on a prospective study conducted in a specific healthcare setting. This provides potential readers with a good understanding of the study's scope and context.** | YES , I AGREE |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract is generally comprehensive, covering the background, aims, study design, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, here are some suggestions for improvement:**   1. **Clarify Methodology: Briefly mention the specific methods used for statistical analysis to enhance clarity on data handling.** 2. **Highlight Key Findings: While the results are summarized, including a specific mention of how MEOWS improved outcomes would strengthen the impact.** 3. **Implications for Practice: A final sentence summarizing the practical implications or recommendations for healthcare providers could enhance the relevance of the findings.**   **These additions would provide a more rounded view of the study's significance and practical application.** | The collected data were checked for consistency, completeness and entered into Microsoft Excel (MS-EXCEL, Microsoft Corp.) data sheet. Analyzed with the statistical program Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS, version 22). Data were organized and presented using the principles of descriptive and inferential statistics.  Recommendations will be added |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | **The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound based on the provided content. It effectively outlines the study's background, aims, methodology, results, and conclusions, adhering to standard research practices. Key components include:**   1. **Clear Objectives: The aims are well-defined, focusing on the efficacy of MEOWS as a predictive tool for peripartum complications.** 2. **Methodology: The study design is appropriate (prospective observational study), with a clear description of participant selection, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and statistical analysis methods.** 3. **Results Presentation: The results are presented with relevant statistics, including sensitivity, specificity, and significance values, which support the conclusions drawn.** 4. **Discussion and Context: The discussion contextualizes findings within existing literature and addresses the implications for maternal healthcare.** | Yes , I strongly agree |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | 1. **The references in the manuscript appear to be relevant and include a mix of recent studies and foundational works related to maternal morbidity and the MEOWS system. However, a few points can enhance the reference list:** 2. **Recency: While some references are current, it would be beneficial to include more recent studies (from 2021 onwards) to reflect the latest research and advancements in maternal healthcare and MEOWS application.** 3. **Diversity of Sources: Including references from a broader geographical scope or studies conducted in similar resource-limited settings can provide additional context and support for the findings.** 4. **Suggested Additional References:** 5. **Recent systematic reviews or meta-analyses on maternal early warning systems.** 6. **Studies focusing on the implementation of MEOWS in different healthcare settings.** 7. **Research addressing the impact of training healthcare providers on the use of MEOWS.** 8. **Including these types of references could strengthen the manuscript's credibility and relevance.** | There is a paucity of literature on the topic as Indian studies are limited . Though we have tried to include as many studies that are available |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. | **YES , I AGREE** |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | NO |