| **EDITORIAL COMMENT’S on revised paper (if any)** | **Authors’ response to editor’s comments** |
| --- | --- |
| 1. 1. **Title of the manuscript:** The title is not well designed to call attention of the reader. For example, what is the year in the title for? 2. **Abstract**: the word ‘this is an ecological study’ bring ambiguous, source of data seems to be secondary …obtained from…, but the manuscript is written original. Conclusion in the abstract is ambiguous too ….fluoride levels provided to the population…. 3. **Introduction:** The first paragraph in the introduction does not call attention to the reader to proceed reading the manuscript, the art of writing is missing. The last paragraph … constant monitoring of fluoridation equipment and maintenance of fluoride concentrations….lack the art of writing. 4. **Materials and method:** The study area is under methodology, but wrongly presented here. It lacks the art of writing. 5. **Results and discussion:** The map in the results is a part pf methodology section but wrongly placed. There are insufficient data, too much references as if the work is a review manuscript. 6. **Contextual coverage**: Missing the art of writing manuscripts. | i) Dear Editor, this title was suggested by one of the reviewers. However, after the reviewers asked, the article team got together and worked hard to come up with a title that would meet the editorial board's needs and attract the reader's attention. Thank you for your consideration.  ii) Dear Editor, the abstract has been revised to meet the needs of the editorial board. We appreciate your considerations.  iii) Dear editor, I agree with your suggestion. I added the second paragraph to the first, making the text flow more dynamically and presenting the problem of the article, making the reader want to continue reading.  iv) Dear Editor, there was a request from the reviewers that the topic "study area" be created and added before the methodology. This request was met to the letter. Below I put it exactly as the reviewers requested  'After the "Introduction", please add a "Study Area" section that describes the physical and social characteristics of the study site.'  v) Dear Editor, the map in the results is actually part of the 'results' section. In addition, some references have been deleted and a new correction has been made in order to ensure a more fluid text that meets the editor's needs.  vi) Dear editor, I apologize for what happened and I assure you that all requests were followed to the letter. |