**Editor’s Comment:**

1. **Title of the manuscript:** The title is broad and has a promising context.
2. **Abstract**: Well briefed but considering water quality as a broad term with multiple parameters such chemical, physical, heavy metals etc, the context covered is very narrow.
3. **Introduction:** Well written.
4. **Materials and method:** This section is not well presented since it misses all information about the study area, summarized as a report rather than having several subsections as a manuscript paper.
5. **Results and discussion:** The coverage is extremely limited in terms of analyzed parameters, it lacks designs that could impress the reader and novelty is merely missing.
6. **Contextual coverage**: The coverage seems to be isolated entity as other water quality parameters and critical discussion are missing.
7. **Conclusion**: Has a limited scope.

**Decision**: The manuscript \_2025\_ACRI\_138801 **IS REJECTED,** due to very limited coverage and insufficient data.
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