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| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title is mostly understandable and educational but we can refine it to enhance readability and scientific clarity. Like “College going students” can be cut down to “college students”.****Alternative title can be “Immediate effects of manual trigger point release versus post isometric relaxation in college students with trapezitis : A Comparative Study.** |  |
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