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| **PART 1: Comments** |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment****Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | Particularly in light of sustainable pulse crop production and climate-informed pest forecasting, I find great value in this work for the scientific community. Using a two-year field dataset under natural infestation conditions, the study offers strong empirical data relating seasonal occurrence of *Helicoverpa armigera* to particular meteorological variables. Its use of statistical and predictive modeling, particularly the comparison of Exponential and Gompertz functions, specifically fits very nicely with present worldwide attempts to include ecologicalmodeling into pest control tactics under different environmental situations. Significantly, the results help to close knowledge gaps in localized pest behavior and have application for early warning systems and decision-making processes in chickpea agroecosystems. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes. The title is quite nebulous and does not capture the:1. Seasonal and phenolic specificity of the incidence investigated
2. Framework for predictive modeling (including use of exponential and Gompertz models)
3. Scientific worth of including climatic factors into pest prediction

As stressed in ecological modeling literature, the study combines climate-pest interactions, regression modeling, and seasonal dynamics. |  |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | While the abstract includes relevant findings, it lacks several essential components for a scientifically comprehensive abstract in the context of climate-pest ecology.Phrases "weather-driven" are not clear; instead, for scientific clarity use "climatic factors," or "meteorological variables." Excess repetition of broad trends-that is, stating the same weeks several times—could be summed up to improve concision. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes.The work is scientifically accurate, featuring a well-organized experimental approach, appropriate statistical application, andbiologically valid interpretations. The data collecting and analysis methods adhere to established entomological field standards and widely recognized ecological modeling frameworks in climate-insect population research. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The existing references are adequate for a national-scale observational study; however, the inclusion of global, mechanistic, and modeling-based sources would:* Enhance the scientific rationale for the observed trends.
* Enhance the climatic analysis
* Enhance the significance of international integrated pest management and climate adaptation research.
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Björkman, C., & Niemelä, P. (Eds.). (2015) Climate Change and Insect Pests. CABI.* + Chapters by Battisti et al. and Kalinkat et al. discuss climate-driven pest shifts and trophic disruption.
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