|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Journal Name: | **[Asian Journal of Research in Botany](https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB)** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_AJRIB\_137711** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Sonerila gigantea (Melastomataceae) – A new species from Western Ghats, India.** |
| Type of the Article |  |
| **PART 1: Comments** |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment****Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The article proves to be important for presenting an exuberant species with previously scarce data. Understanding this species may help to better understand related genera and taxa, and emphasize the importance of preserving the collection site of the type specimen.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes.** |  |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **I made suggestions on the manuscript; it's good overall. The main issue is some agreement errors in English.** |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **Yes, but the authors could have gone more in-depth with details, perhaps about pollination since pollen was mentioned, maybe a pollen key to differentiate the closely related species, as well as information on the seed dispersers or potential dispersers, based on studies of the genus or on the morphology of the seeds themselves.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have****suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **Yes.** |  |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | **It’s not perfect; I suggest having it reviewed for grammar, but it’s understandable.** |  |
| **Optional/General** comments |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

**Leandro Matheus de Carvalho Vaz, Instituto de Pesquisas Ambientais, Brazil**