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| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | The abstract is too long and narrative. Some numeric data can be abbreviated. The abstract should be structured by referring to the IMRAD structure (Introduction, Methods, Results, Conclusion) and the statistical methods used in this study. The writing can be more to the point. |  |
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| **Optional/General** comments | Manuscripts are stronger when they include graphs/tables of inferential statistics (not just descriptive data). It is important to convey the practical implications of the research results in a more in-depth discussion.This manuscript is scientifically solid and has the potential to be published after revision. However, major weaknesses are in the English language, the quality of references, and the lack of in-depth discussion. Substantial major revision is needed. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Suherman, Universitas Muhammadiyah Parepare, Indonesia**