|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Journal Name:  | [**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**](https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS)  |
| Manuscript Number:  | **Ms\_AJESS\_137606**  |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **The Effect of Interactive Mobile Damath Game on Grade 8 Performance in Integers: A Quasi-Experimental Study**  |
| Type of the Article  | **Original Research Article**  |

 **PART 1: Comments**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**

|  |
| --- |
| **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer**  |
| **review.** |  |

  | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.**  | This research article on the effect of interactive mobile Damath game on grade 8 performance in integers: A quasi Experimental study is germane to the contemporary learning approach in the subject of Mathematics and it will be pf great benefit to the scientific community and by extension the Grade 8 students.  |   |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?** **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**  | The title is suitable as the variables are in line with the subject matter discussed in the body the article. However, the author did not mention the location of the study of which I suggest should be captured.  |   |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.**  | The Abstract is informative as it captured key elements such as the title, the methodology adopted for the study, the key findings of the study as well as recommendations were duly captured.  |   |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.**  | The manuscript is scientifically correct because the author had presented empirical evidence in the findings ranging from the average weighted mean to the standard deviation. Plus the hypotheses results were also captured making it robust and interesting to read and comprehend.  |   |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.**  | The references are sufficient and up to date  |   |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**   | The English quality as far as this article concern is encouraging.  |   |
| **Optional/General** comments   | From a professional perspective, the author have done well in the course of writing this manuscript and I am of the opinion that it will be of great benefit to the target audience as well as the Mathematical community.  |   |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Yusufu Shehu Amanyi, Federal University Of Technology Minna, Nigeria**